

QUALITY REPORT

CANTA WPP

GS-144 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-1A6F7800 · Generated: 2026-04-13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.4
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.6
	■ Documentation (15%)	6.7

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some strong quality signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, FPIC, and a grievance mechanism, but key risk controls are incomplete or unclear. The latest verification report also leaves leakage and reversal treatment insufficiently addressed, and there are document contradictions on leakage, benefit sharing, additionality framing, and the crediting period that reduce confidence.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-144
Sector	other
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 21.0
Crediting Period	2022 — 2029
VVB	re-carbon Gözetim Denetim ve Belgelendirme Ltd. ■ti. (RE Carbon Ltd.)
Verified ERs	160,583 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	18 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-13

Red Flags

- Leakage is described as not addressed in the verification report, despite an earlier monitoring report indicating a quantified approach.
- The documents conflict on the crediting period and additionality test framing, which weakens reliability of the project record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.4 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a combined additionality test under ACM0002.
- Leakage is not addressed in the latest verification report, and reversal events are not addressed; no buffer pool share is stated.

The verification report confirms additionality through a combined test and says the VVB accepted it, which supports the project's core environmental claim. However, the same report says leakage is not addressed, reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage is provided, which weakens permanence and leakage robustness. The many corrective and clarification requests were closed out, but the volume of findings still suggests a non-trivial review burden.

Transparency — 5.8 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and a large verified issuance figure of 160,583 ERs.
- The claimed amount is not stated, usage monitoring details are missing, and the record contains contradictions on leakage and the crediting period.

Transparency is moderate because the project has a named verifier, a clear monitoring period, and a verified issuance total of 160,583 ERs in the verification report. At the same time, the claimed ER amount is not stated, usage monitoring methodology is missing, and the record does not provide the grid emission factor or year. The documentation is therefore usable but incomplete for independent replication.

Claim Safety — 5.6 / 10

- + The baseline was last reassessed in 2022, which is relatively recent for this project record.
- CORSIA eligibility is true while CCP status is not stated, and leakage treatment is unclear, increasing over-claim risk.

Claim safety is weakened by the unresolved leakage treatment and by the fact that CORSIA eligibility is true while CCP status is not stated. The baseline method is project-based rather than a more standardized jurisdictional approach, which is acceptable but less conservative. The latest verification report is more cautious than the earlier monitoring report on leakage, so I privilege the newer verification report for risk assessment.

Documentation — 6.7 / 10

- + Sixteen documents were used, including a verification report, monitoring report, PDD, and appendix, with high extraction confidence.
- Several key fields are not stated in available documents, including buffer pool coverage, FNRB, and usage-rate evidence.

Documentation quality is fairly good overall because 16 documents were used and extraction confidence is high. The record includes a verification report, monitoring report, PDD, and appendix, and the VVB is identified. Still, several important items are not stated in available documents, including buffer pool coverage, FNRB, and usage-rate evidence, which limits completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed combined test
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessed in 2022
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear, quantified leakage assessment and reconcile it with the earlier monitoring report.

→ Disclose buffer pool treatment, usage monitoring methodology, and CCP/registry status to reduce double-claim and permanence uncertainty.

Documents Reviewed

- GS1235-T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report 2024.pdf
- Deviation-Request-Form-v2.1.pdf
- Final_Ver Report_613 Cantá wind_An■■■ Söyler_v02_13-06-2024.pdf
- Final_MR_613 Cantá wind_v07_03-06-2024_CC.pdf
- Final_Ver Report_613 Cantá wind_An■■■ Söyler_v02.2_22-01-2025.pdf
- GS1235-T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form-CANTA WPP_25.12.2023.pdf
- GS1235-T-PerfCert_V3.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form-CANTA WPP_30.12.2024.pdf
- T-V5.0-Deviation-Request-Form for GS Projects_09112022 - Cantá WPP_SC.pdf
- GS-1235_Cantá_WPP_2ndCP-1stVer_2026_cc .pdf
- Final_Val Report_694 Cantá wind_Seda Atabek_v04-20-05-2023.docx
- T-PreReview_V1.2-Project-Design-Document-CANTA WPP-20052023_cc.pdf
- Transition Annex_CANTA WPP_01.05.2020_cc.pdf
- DEV_346.pdf
- (1)PDD 01.08.2019_v7.pdf
- PDD_09-07-2020.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-144
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-144
- Final_Verification Report_1249 Çanta Wind_Beyda Altunta■■_v05_07-02-2026_clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-1A6F7800 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-13 · Generated: 2026-04-13

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy