

QUALITY REPORT

Myanmar Stoves Campaign

GS-1496 · Gold Standard · Myanmar

Report ID: CM-A65922AD · Generated: 2026-04-10 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.6

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
■ Transparency (25%)	5.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.6
■ Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This cookstove project has some positive safeguards and a quantified leakage deduction, but the evidence base is uneven and several key items are contradictory across documents. The project is Gold Standard certified, yet the available record shows a project-specific baseline, unresolved reversal treatment, and large differences between claimed and verified emission reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1496
Sector	cookstoves
Country	Myanmar
Vintage	2020, 2021
Project Methodology	Old meth New GS microscale methodology Version 01, February 2013
Crediting Period	2017-07-01 — not_stated
VVB	SustainCERT
Verified ERs	25,298 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	25 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-10

Red Flags

- The verification report shows 9,320 tCO₂e claimed versus 25,298 tCO₂e verified, a major discrepancy that raises over-crediting and reporting consistency concerns.
- Contradictions appear on additionality, FPIC, usage rate, and crediting period, which weakens confidence in the document trail.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ The verification report from SustainCERT confirms an investment-test approach and reports no material findings or corrective actions.

- The baseline is project-specific and the record does not show a baseline reassessment date; reversal events are noted as not addressed.

The verification report from SustainCERT indicates an investment-test additionality approach and reports no material findings or corrective actions, which supports the project somewhat. However, the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized, the last reassessment date is not found in the extracted record, and reversal events are explicitly not addressed. These gaps, together with the cookstove context and only partial permanence treatment, keep integrity below average.

Transparency — 5.2 / 10

+ The monitoring period is stated as 2022-01-01 to 2023-06-30, and the report identifies the verifier as SustainCERT.

- The record shows a large gap between claimed and verified ERs, and the extraction confidence is only medium with several fields not stated in available documents.

The monitoring period is clearly stated, and the verifier is named as SustainCERT, which helps traceability. At the same time, the record shows a large mismatch between emission reductions claimed and verified, and several key fields are not stated in available documents. The extraction confidence is medium, so the documentation trail is usable but not fully robust.

Claim Safety — 3.6 / 10

+ Leakage is at least quantified, with a 5% deduction and a stated justification.

- The usage rate evidence is inconsistent across monitoring documents, and the project-specific baseline plus unresolved reversal treatment leave residual over-crediting risk.

The project has some claim-safety support because leakage is quantified at 5% with a stated justification, and the project is Gold Standard certified. Still, the project-specific baseline, unresolved reversal treatment, and inconsistent usage-rate evidence across monitoring documents increase over-crediting risk. The large difference between claimed and verified ERs further weakens confidence in the conservativeness of the claim.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

+ The record draws on multiple document types, including validation, monitoring, verification, PDD, appendix, and stakeholder consultation materials.

- The crediting period end is not stated, and the extracted record contains contradictions on FPIC, additionality, usage rate, and crediting period.

The evidence set is fairly broad, with validation, monitoring, verification, PDD, appendix, and stakeholder consultation documents all represented. Even so, the extracted record shows medium extraction confidence and several missing or not stated fields, including the end of the crediting period and baseline reassessment timing. The contradictions on FPIC, additionality, usage rate, and crediting period reduce documentation reliability.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Investment test present but VVB confirmation disputed
● Permanence	Avoidance project, but reversal treatment not addressed
● Leakage	Leakage quantified with 5% deduction
● Baseline	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present, but contradictory reco
● Double-claim	CORSIA and CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a reconciled document pack that resolves the contradictions on FPIC, additionality, usage rate, and crediting period with a clear hierarchy of source documents.

→ Publish a clear baseline reassessment history and explicit reversal/permanence treatment, including any buffer or risk-management provisions.

Documents Reviewed

- VPA 007 (GS 6129)-(Fourth Term) V1.1-Monitoring-Report .docx
- VPA 007-Project-Annual-Report-Form(1).pdf
- Monitoring Report_3rd monitoring period_01.07.2019 to 30.06.2020_v3.pdf
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01.07.2018 to 30.06.2019_v4.pdf
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_01.03.2017 to 28.02.2018_v1.pdf
- VPA 007 (GS6129) - Ex-Post Emission Reduction Calculation (Fourth Term).xlsx
- GS1729 PoA-DD_21.09.2016_v4.docx
- DEV_550.pdf
- GS1729 PoA Design Consultation Report_16.05.2013_round2.pdf
- DEV_809_new.pdf
- DEV_809.pdf
- DEV_657.pdf
- Transition Annex_01.03.2017_v2.2.pdf
- PDD_10.04.2018_v4.PDF
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1496
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1496
- Validation_Report_GS1729_POA_RCP_DesignChange-R1_(1).pdf
- T-PreReview_V2.0-Stakeholder-Consultation-Report - Myanmar(1).docx
- Myanmar PoA-Design-Change-Memo-1729 31.08.2022(3).docx
- Validation_Report_GS1729_POA_RCP_DesignChange-R1_.pdf
- CCIPL1733_FVR_29022024-track 03042024 - CL.pdf
- T-V5.0 GS 6129-Deviation-Request-Form.pdf
- Verification Report_3rd monitoring period_01.07.2019 to 30.06.2020.pdf
- VR-Myanmar Stove-Microscale-Validation-Appraisal-Report - RCP and PRC.docx
- Myanmar Stoves Campaign PoA-DD-08.11.2022.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-A65922AD · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-10 · Generated: 2026-04-10

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy