

QUALITY REPORT

Water for Climate Rwanda Project

GS-1531 · GS · Rwanda

Report ID: CM-B662B8BD · Generated: 2026-04-17 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.5 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.2
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a recent baseline reassessment, but several core risk controls are weak or unclear. Leakage is not addressed in the latest monitoring record, reversal risk is not clearly managed, and the record contains multiple contradictions that reduce confidence in the claims. Documentation is broad but uneven, with low extraction confidence and several corrective actions still open.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1531
Sector	industrial
Country	Rwanda
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	Technologies and practices to displace decentralized thermal energy consumption (TPDDTEC) 3.1
Crediting Period	2019 — 2024
VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	38 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-17

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the monitoring report, despite a prior document saying it was negligible.
- The record contains contradictions on FNRB method, usage rates, crediting period, and CCP status, which weakens reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project is described as deemed additional under the Gold Standard methodology.

- Leakage is not addressed in the latest monitoring report, and reversal events are not addressed either.

The verification record supports additionality because the VVB confirmed it and the project is described as deemed additional under the stated methodology. The baseline was reassessed in 2024, which is a positive sign, but leakage is marked as not addressed in the latest monitoring report and reversal events are also not addressed. Material findings include multiple CARs, CLs, and FARs, and the corrective actions indicate ongoing QA/QC and maintenance issues.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ The monitoring period, VVB name, and usage monitoring approach are stated, and the baseline was reassessed in 2024.

- Total claimed and verified emission reductions are not found in the extracted record, and the extraction confidence is low.

The record identifies the VVB, monitoring period, methodology, and usage monitoring method, which helps traceability. However, total claimed and verified emission reductions are not found in the extracted record, and the extraction confidence is low, which weakens confidence in the completeness of the public evidence trail. The presence of multiple document types is helpful, but the key quantitative claims are not fully visible here.

Claim Safety — 4.2 / 10

+ The project uses a project baseline and reports a quantified leakage deduction of 0%, which is better than an unquantified claim.

- The record shows contradictions on leakage treatment, FNRB method, usage rates, and crediting period, raising over-crediting and claim reliability concerns.

Claim safety is weakened by the contradiction on leakage: one monitoring record says leakage is not addressed, while an earlier monitoring record says it was deemed negligible. The project also has contradictions on the FNRB method, usage rates, and crediting period, which makes the emissions claim less reliable. I privileged the more recent monitoring report for leakage and FNRB because it is later and operationally closer to issuance, but the conflicting records still reduce confidence.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

+ Multiple document types were used, including monitoring, validation, PDD, and issuance records, with 36 documents extracted.

- Extraction confidence is low, and several corrective actions and findings remain open in the monitoring record.

Documentation breadth is decent because the extracted set includes monitoring, validation, PDD, and issuance materials, and 36 documents were used. Still, the extraction confidence is low, which suggests at least one key source was hard to read, and several corrective actions remain open. The record also lacks clear verified totals for claimed versus issued reductions, limiting completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed deemed additional
● Permanence	reversal risk not clearly addressed
● Leakage	leakage treatment inconsistent
● Baseline	project baseline with reassessment
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CCP status unclear

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear reconciliation of the conflicting leakage, FNRB, usage-rate, and crediting-period statements, with the latest verified values highlighted.

→ Provide complete claimed-versus-verified issuance figures and close out the open corrective actions from the monitoring process.

Documents Reviewed

- 2024_ER calculation_5th issuance_Water for Climate Rwanda_v2..xlsx
- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form_GS6598-Dec. 2022_correct.pdf
- DEV_634.pdf
- 20201203_GS6598_MR_v2.0_clean.pdf
- T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report_GS6598_2024_v2.docx
- GS6598_MR_5th issuance_v5.0_clean.pdf
- 20230710_ER calculation_4th issuance_Water for Climate Rwanda_v0.5(1).xlsx
- 20221128_ER calculation_3rd issuance_Water for Climate Rwanda_v2.0.xlsx
- 20221129_GS6598_MR_3rd issuance_v2.0_clean.pdf
- Annual_Report_2025_WAR_signed.pdf
- GS6598_MR_4rd issuance_v7.0_clean.docx
- 20211111_ER calculation_2nd issuance_Water for Climate Rwanda_v2.1.xlsx
- 20200504_GS6598_MR_clean.pdf
- GS6598_MR_4rd issuance_v10.0_clean.pdf
- 20211219_GS6598_MR_v3.2_clean.pdf
- c. Project-Design-Documents_RCP_v1.0_clean.docx
- Rwanda_fNRB Calculation Sheet_24 May 2021.xlsx
- c. Project-Design-Documents_RCP_v0.7_clean(1).docx
- LSC report_Water for Climate Rwanda_v3.1_20190530.docx
- CCIPL 2109 FVR(1).pdf
- CCIPL 936-Assessment of design change -WAR project_Ver 2.0 (clean).pdf
- T-v1.1-Design-Change-Memo_GS6598_fNRB update_final_v2_clean.pdf
- SDG-Impact-tool_GS_6598(1).xlsx
- ER calculations_Water for Climate Rwanda_v.3.1.xlsx
- LSC_report_Water_for_Climate_Rwanda_v2.0_20181116_track.docx
- (1)T-v1.0-Design-Change-Memo_GS6598_v.1.1.docx
- 20210830_PDD_Water for Climate Rwanda_v.5.4_clean.pdf
- PDD_Renewal_ER_v0.3.xlsx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1531
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1531
- CCIPL1317_FVR_GS4GG_clean.pdf
- CCIPL 2109 FVR- TC.pdf
- CCIPL 2548 FVR-1.5_Clean.pdf
- CCIPL 651 GS FVR VKS-08-05-2020-Clean.pdf
- CCIPL 2025 FVR_1.4_CC.pdf
- CCIPL 651 Validation Report_rev VKS-08.02.2019.pdf
- CCIPL 651 Validation Report_rev VKS-08.02.2019(1).pdf
- CCIPL 2025 FVR_1.2_Clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-B662B8BD · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-17 · Generated: 2026-04-17

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy