

QUALITY REPORT

Uganda Gender Responsive Safe Water Project

GS-1542 · GS · Uganda

Report ID: CM-094D5F27 · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.5
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

Project shows moderate integrity: additionality was confirmed by a VVB and a project-specific baseline is used, but key permanence and leakage safeguards (buffer pool, reversal history) are not documented. Transparency and documentation are limited by missing VVB identification, incomplete error reporting and an evidence-docs gap. Claim safety is middling because methodological choices (local field FNRB, 0% leakage deduction) are plausible but contradicted by earlier documents and lack full public traceability.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1542
Sector	water
Country	Uganda
Vintage	2022
Project Methodology	TPDDTEC v1
Crediting Period	2019 — 2026
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	40 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

Red Flags

- VVB name not provided in extracted records
- Contradictory records on the FNRB method and prior non-addressing of leakage
- No buffer pool percentage or reversal history reported

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.2 / 10

- + additionality confirmed by the VVB in verification documentation (monitoring report, 2025-02-12)
- no buffer pool percentage given and reversal events not documented, increasing permanence risk

Additionality was confirmed by a VVB as recorded in the monitoring/verification materials (monitoring report, 2025-02-12), and the project uses a project-specific baseline methodology (TPDDTEC, v1). However, no buffer pool percentage is reported and there is no documented record of reversal events, which leaves permanence exposed. Leakage deduction is reported as 0% in the extracted record but earlier documents reportedly did not address leakage — that contradiction reduces confidence in the leakage treatment. No material findings or corrective actions were recorded in the verification record.

Transparency — 4.5 / 10

- VVB name and error figures not stated in available documents (no verified vs claimed ERR numbers)
- ~ monitoring period (2023-04-01 — 2024-03-31) and 10 documents used indicate ongoing MRV but evidence docs are labelled 'unknown'

Key transparency items are missing: the VVB name is not present in the extracted records and verified vs claimed error figures are not provided. The monitoring period is stated (2023-04-01 — 2024-03-31) and 10 documents were used, which supports some MRV activity, but the evidence document list is 'unknown' and extraction confidence is only medium, limiting traceability and verifiability.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

- + usage rate verified at 95% (monitoring report) reduces usage-assumption risk
- FNRB method and leakage treatment are contradicted across documents (local field vs national default; quantified vs not addressed)

The project reports a field-derived FNRB value (0.81) and usage rate verified at 95%, which lower over-crediting risk if correctly applied. However, there is a contradiction between documents on the FNRB method (local field vs national default) and on leakage treatment (quantified vs earlier not addressed). CORSIA/CCP status is not stated, so dual-claim risk cannot be excluded from the record. These unresolved contradictions raise moderate claim-safety concerns.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

- + recent monitoring report dated 2025-02-12 and 10 documents used indicate recency and some breadth
- minimum extraction confidence is only medium and evidence documents list is 'unknown'; VVB independence cannot be verified from extracted record

A recent monitoring report (2025-02-12) and 10 documents referenced suggest up-to-date monitoring, but the minimum extraction confidence is medium and the evidence_docs listing is uninformative. The VVB is unnamed in the extracted data and no baseline reassessment date is provided, which lowers documentation completeness and independent verifiability.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed
● Permanence	buffer/reversal not disclosed
● Leakage	0% deduction but inconsistent record
● Baseline	project-specific baseline
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status unknown

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide explicit buffer pool/reversal arrangements and full leakage assessment documentation (with methodology and data) and reconcile earlier contradictory records.

Documents Reviewed

- PoA GS1247 Annual-Report - signed.pdf
- Lango Uganda - T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form - Dec 2022 v2(6).pdf
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_11.06.2019 to 31.03.2020_v5.pdf
- Lango Safe Water Project_ERs_MP6 (SWS caps applied) V4(3).xlsx
- Lango Uganda - T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form_2023.pdf
- Lango Safe Water Project_ERs_MP5 GS CAPS V4.xlsx
- Lango Safe Water Project_ERs_MP8 V3(6).xlsx
- Lango Project_MR_MP8 V5 CLEAN(6).docx
- Lango Project_MR_MP7 V4 CLEAN(2).pdf
- Lango_MR_MP5 V4 CLEAN.pdf
- Lango Safe Water Project_ERs_MP7 (SWS caps applied) V4(2).xlsx
- Lango Project_MR_MP6 V8 CLEAN(6).pdf
- Final_Ver Report_1205 Lango Safe Water_Report_Sanjay Kumar_v09_17032025R2 CLEAN(6).docx
- CO2b User Numbers Deviation-Request-Form v1_Final decision.pdf
- DEV_255 (1).pdf
- Lango_Ex_Ante_calcs_Oct_2023_v2(1).xlsx
- T-v1.1-Design-Change-Memo_GS1247_v2_CLEAN.pdf
- T-v1.1-Design-Change-Memo_GS1247_COL, HAITI, GUAT v2_CLEAN.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v17.3_CLEAN.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v17.2_TRACKED_R2_Earthhood.pdf
- PDD_05.24.2013_v3.pdf
- GS1247_IKR_Micro_PoA-DD_CP2_v16_TRACKED.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v15.3 TRACKED.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v11_CLEAN.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v14_CLEAN.pdf
- GS7365_VPA-DD v4 CLEAN.pdf
- Lango_Safe_Water_Project_VPA-DD_v2(1).pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v10 CLEAN.pdf
- KAOB SW GS1359 VPA-DD v2 CLEAN.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1542
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1542
- 1.xlsx
- FVR_GS1247_v3.0_PoA_Clean.pdf
- Lango Safe Water Project_ERs_MP5 GS CAPS V3.xlsx
- GS1247_PoA-DD Clean_CP2_Improved Kitchen_19-02-2025.pdf
- Final-Verification-Report-GS5106-GS5186-GS5197-GS6349-GS6351-GS7362-GS7366-MP7-Lango-Project v.2.doc(5).pdf
- GS1247_Internal_Validation_PoA_13062013_final.pdf
- Lango_MR_MP5 V3 CLEAN.pdf
- GS 1247_FVR Clean _Improved Kitchen_19-02-2025[23].pdf
- GS 1247_Lango Safe water Project_Revised FVR_GS review_Resubmission_Clean versi(1).pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-094D5F27 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy