

QUALITY REPORT

Emissions Reductions from PET Recycling, Romania

GS-1640 · Gold Standard · Romania

Report ID: CM-F865B770 · Generated: 2026-04-10 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.6 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a Gold Standard PET recycling project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversals, which supports a moderate integrity profile. However, the record shows several unresolved inconsistencies in credited versus verified emissions and some missing monitoring details, which weakens confidence in the claims.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1640
Sector	other
Country	Romania
Vintage	2020
Project Methodology	AMS-III.AJ 6.0
Crediting Period	2017 — 2026
VVB	EPIC Sustainability Services Private Limited
Verified ERs	106,625 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2025
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	29 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-10

Red Flags

- Credited and verified emission totals differ materially across documents, including a later monitoring figure of 70,746 claimed versus 106,625 verified in the extracted record and an earlier verification figure of 168,012.
- Leakage is described as negligible in one verification report, but a later monitoring report is noted as not addressing leakage, creating a reliability gap.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ The verification report confirms additionality through a first-of-its-kind test and says the VVB, EPIC Sustainability Services Private Limited, validated it.

- The project uses a project baseline rather than a more standardized baseline, and the record shows five corrective actions were required.

The verification report states that additionality was confirmed using a first-of-its-kind test, which is a meaningful positive signal for this PET recycling project. The same record reports no reversal events and a leakage deduction of 0% with leakage deemed negligible, but the baseline is project-specific rather than standardized and five corrective actions were required, which tempers confidence in the overall integrity case.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

+ The monitoring period is stated, the VVB is named, and the project is documented across multiple official files, including a monitoring report and verification report.

- The extracted record contains conflicting emissions totals and several monitoring fields are not stated, including usage monitoring details and baseline reassessment timing.

Transparency is moderate because the record names the VVB, states the monitoring period, and shows that multiple official documents were used. At the same time, several key monitoring details are not stated in the extracted record, including usage monitoring method and baseline reassessment timing, and the emissions totals conflict across documents, which reduces clarity.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ The project is an avoidance activity under Gold Standard with no reported reversal events and a leakage deduction of 0% justified as negligible in the verification report.

- Claim safety is weakened by inconsistent emissions figures across documents and by missing information on CORSIA eligibility and CCP status.

Claim safety is middling: the project is an avoidance activity under Gold Standard, with no reported reversals and a documented negligible leakage position in the verification report. However, the record contains materially different emissions totals across documents, and CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not found, so the risk of overstated claims cannot be ruled out cleanly.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

+ The record draws on 29 documents and includes a named VVB, FPIC, grievance mechanism, and benefit-sharing description.

- Extraction confidence is only medium, and five corrective actions were required, suggesting the documentation package was not fully clean.

The documentation base is fairly broad, with 29 documents used and evidence of FPIC, a grievance mechanism, and benefit sharing. Still, extraction confidence is only medium, and the presence of five corrective actions indicates that the documentation was not fully robust or error-free.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed first-of-its-kind test
● Permanence	Avoidance project; no reversals reported
● Leakage	0% deduction with mixed documentation
● Baseline	Project baseline; reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish a reconciled emissions table that explains the differences between claimed and verified totals across all monitoring and verification documents.
- Provide explicit monitoring methodology details, baseline reassessment timing, and a clear statement on CORSIA eligibility and CCP status.

Documents Reviewed

- SDG 13_CO2 Savings_01Jan2021-30Sept2023_07.05.2024.xlsx
- 2023 Annual Report Greentech Plastic Recycling CO2 Saving Project_final.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_01Jan2021-30Sept2023_v3.1_30.08.2024_clean.pdf
- Greentech SDG13_CO2 Savings MR02_2018-2020.xlsx
- 2020 Greentech Annual Report.pdf
- 2023 Annual Report Greentech Plastic Recycling CO2 Saving Project_20.12.2024.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_01Oct2023-28Feb2025_30.01.2026_version 1.4_Clean.pdf
- 2021 Greentech Plastic Recycling Project Annual Report_12Dec2022.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_01Jan2019-31Dec2020_17.08.2023_Clean.pdf
- 2024 Annual Report Greentech Plastic Recycling CO2 Saving Project_20.12.2024.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_01Jan2021-30Sept2023_v2_06.12.2023.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_MR02_01Feb2018-31Dec2020.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_MR02_01Feb2018-31Dec2020_11.04.2023(1).pdf
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_01.02.2016 to 31.01.2018_v2.pdf
- 2022 Annual Report Greentech Plastic Recycling Project_20Dec2023.pdf
- Greentech SDG13_CO2 Savings_01Jan2019_31Dec2020_MR02_17Aug2023.xlsx
- GS4GG Transition Annex_Greentech_07.04.2021_final_clean.pdf
- Greentech_Deviation-Request_30.05.2023_DEV_COVID_360_decision(1).pdf
- SDG 13_CO2 savings_01Oct2023-28Feb2025_26.06.2025.xlsx
- GS Passport_15.02.2019_v4.pdf
- REC_DEV_360_COVID .pdf
- PDD_15.02.2019_v4.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1640
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1640
- GS_FVER_REP_Greentech_23BGMD06_3.0Aa_11092024.pdf
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_01.02.2016 to 31.01.2018_v1.pdf
- FVR_GS_FVER_REP_Greentech_25BGCE594_Rev04.0Aa_13022026_.pdf
- Verification report_Greentech_12Jan2023_MR02.pdf
- 25 Aug 2023_Verification report_Greentech_clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-F865B770 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-10 · Generated: 2026-04-10

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy