

QUALITY REPORT

BaumInvest Mixed Reforestation in Costa Rica

GS-1795 · Gold Standard

Report ID: CM-B5AB22C5 · Generated: 2026-04-10 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.7

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.2
■ Documentation (15%)	5.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a Gold Standard reforestation project with VVB-confirmed additionality, a 20% buffer pool, and safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, permanence and leakage are not fully clean: reversal events are described in the monitoring record, leakage treatment is only described as negligible, and several corrective actions were still required in verification.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1795
Sector	arr
Vintage	2023, 2024, 2025
Project Methodology	A/R Methodological tool "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality in A/R CDM project activities" A/R Requirements v0.9
Crediting Period	2007 — 2037
VVB	TÜV SÜD South Asia Pvt. Ltd.
Verified ERs	13,308 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2025
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	35 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-10

Red Flags

- A bark beetle infestation caused tree harvest on nearly 50 hectares, and the monitoring record says reversal events were not fully addressed.
- The project has contradictions across documents on buffer pool size, leakage treatment, additionality framing, and crediting period, which weakens reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by TÜV SÜD South Asia Pvt. Ltd. using a combined test, which is stronger than an unverified claim.

- The monitoring record describes tree harvest after bark beetle infestation and says reversal events were not addressed; the verification report also lists several corrective actions.

The verification report confirms additionality through a combined test and names TÜV SÜD South Asia Pvt. Ltd. as the VVB, which supports the project's core environmental case. On the downside, the monitoring record reports bark beetle damage with harvesting on nearly 50 hectares and says reversal events were not addressed, while the verification report also lists corrective actions required. The buffer pool is 20% in the verification report, but an older document reportedly showed 30%, so I privileged the later verification value because it is more recent and project-specific.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period from 2021-02-25 to 2025-06-15, and 13,308 verified emission removals.

- The record does not state claimed versus verified issuance, and key monitoring details such as usage monitoring method are not found in the extracted documents.

Transparency is moderate because the project has a named verifier, a defined monitoring period, and a quantified verified removal figure of 13,308. However, the extracted record does not state claimed issuance, does not provide a usage monitoring method, and leaves several MRV details incomplete. The documentation is usable, but not fully auditable from the extracted facts alone.

Claim Safety — 5.2 / 10

+ The project is marked not eligible for CORSIA, which reduces dual-market claim risk.

- Baseline and leakage evidence are mixed: the baseline is project-based, leakage is only described as negligible, and the documents conflict on whether additionality was framed as combined or investment-based.

Claim safety is weakened by the project-based baseline and the limited leakage treatment, which is only described as negligible rather than quantified. The documents also conflict on additionality framing, with the monitoring report using a combined test while the PDD reportedly refers to an investment test; I privileged the monitoring report because it is later and reflects the verification-era position. CORSIA ineligibility helps reduce dual-claim risk, but the overall over-crediting and narrative-risk profile is still only moderate.

Documentation — 5.8 / 10

+ The evidence set includes multiple official document types, 32 documents were used, and extraction confidence is medium rather than low.

- Several items remain incomplete or inconsistent, including the crediting period conflict, the buffer pool discrepancy, and unresolved reversal treatment.

The documentation set is reasonably broad, with PDD, monitoring, verification, and other official materials represented, and extraction confidence is medium rather than low. Still, there are unresolved inconsistencies on buffer pool size, leakage justification, additionality framing, and crediting period, which reduce confidence in the record. The presence of multiple corrective actions also suggests the file was not fully clean at verification.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed combined test
● Permanence	reversal event reported
● Leakage	negligible but not quantified
● Baseline	project baseline
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	not CORSIA-eligible and no CCP approval stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish a clear, quantified leakage assessment and reconcile the differing leakage statements across documents.
- Provide a full reversal response and updated permanence plan for the beetle-affected area, including any replanting and buffer implications.

Documents Reviewed

- Certificate_final_CR-BRP.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report_GS2913_2022.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report_GS2913_2023_v0.1.pdf
- Perf-Cert-Report_AR-GS_BaumInvest.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V3.0-Project-Annual-Report_GS2913_2024_v0.1.pdf
- 01.b_2.1 Key_project_information_V12_clean(1).pdf
- 2026-02-10_T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report_GS2913_v1.5_clean.pdf
- 2026-03-10_T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report_GS2913_v1.5.1_CC (Final).pdf
- 2025-04-07_T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report_GS2913_2025_v0.1_SIGNED.pdf
- 03.b_3.4 Sustainability_monitoring_plan_v1.1_clean(1).pdf
- 2026-01-14_T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report_GS2913_2025_v0.2_signed.pdf
- 2.1 - Template - Key Project Information_GS 2913_160205(1).pdf
- 2026-03-10_T-PreReview_V1.5-Project-Design-Document_GS2913_v1.5.1_CC (Final).pdf
- CCIPL_2793_GS2913_Joint Design Renewal and Performance Certification Report (CC)_(Final).pdf
- 2026-03-10_T-PreReview_V1.5-Project-Design-Document_GS2913_v1.5.1_CC_Final.pdf
- T-01_CFS-Certification_Report_TöV-SöD.pdf
- 2026-01-15_T-PreReview_V1.5-Project-Design-Document_GS2913_v1.4_clean..pdf
- CR-BRP - Transition Documentation.docx
- 26-02-10_GS2913_EX-ANTE&EX-POST;_model_v2.1..xlsx
- 26-02-10_GS2913_EX-ANTE&EX-POST;_model_v2.1.xlsx
- CCIPL_2793_GS2913_Joint Design Renewal and Performance Certification Report CC.(1).pdf
- PerfCert_4.14_2026-01-19_430_V1.3_IQ_SDG-Impact-tool_GS2913..xlsx
- CR-BRP - Transition Report(1).pdf
- GS-NewAreaCert_BaumInvest_3.pdf
- CCIPL_2793_GS2913_Joint Design Renewal and Performance Certification Report (CC)_Final.pdf
- PerfCert_4.14_2026-01-19_430_V1.3_IQ_SDG-Impact-tool_GS2913.xlsx
- T-06_FSC-Certification_Report_GFA.pdf
- Trk-Chng_GS-NewAreaCert_BaumInvest_2.docx
- 2026-02-09_T-PreReview_V1.5-Project-Design-Document_GS2913_v1.5_clean.pdf
- 2.1 - Template - Key Project Information_GS 2913_160205.pdf
- PDD_BRP_CFS.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1795
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1795
- CCIPL 891_NewAndPerformanceCertification_FVR_28062021.pdf
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_09.01.2007 to 02.28.2015.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-B5AB22C5 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-10 · Generated: 2026-04-10

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy