

QUALITY REPORT

9.6 MW Wind Energy Project at Jamvadi & Navagam & Kalavad, Jamnagar, Gujarat, India of Rohit Surfactants Pvt. Ltd.

GS-2204 · Gold Standard · India

Report ID: CM-2362E38A · Generated: 2026-04-10 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.9
■ Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a real wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reversal events reported, but the evidence package is incomplete and several key monitoring details are missing. Leakage is not addressed, the baseline is project-based rather than a more robust standardized baseline, and the verification file contains multiple corrective actions that weaken confidence in the claimed reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-2204
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	AMS-I.D. 18.02
Crediting Period	2020 — 2021
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A.
Monitoring Period	2018 — 2020
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	18 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-10

Red Flags

- Leakage was not addressed, and the monitoring record does not provide a justification for the zero leakage deduction.
- The verification report raised multiple corrective actions, including missing supporting documents for emission reductions, commissioning, technical specifications, and calibration.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project's core eligibility case.
- Leakage was not addressed in the monitoring report, and the baseline is project-based rather than a recently reassessed standardized baseline.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and identifies no reversal events, which supports the project's core environmental case. However, the baseline is described only as project-based, the leakage treatment is not addressed, and the monitoring record does not provide a buffer pool or other permanence backstop. These gaps reduce confidence in the robustness of the credited reductions.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and Gold Standard registry context, which helps traceability.
- The verification report lists several CARs and missing supporting evidence, and the extracted record does not state total claimed or verified emission reductions.

The project is tied to Gold Standard and has a named VVB, with a clear monitoring period from 2018-10-21 to 2020-12-31. But the extracted record does not state total emission reductions claimed or verified, and the verification report lists multiple CARs and missing source documents. That combination points to only moderate transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.9 / 10

- + The project is a wind energy activity under Gold Standard, which generally lowers reversal risk compared with land-based projects.
- Leakage justification is not addressed, and the record shows CORSIA eligibility while CCP status is not stated, leaving some claim-channel ambiguity.

Wind projects have inherently low reversal risk, and the record shows no reversal events reported. Still, leakage is not addressed despite a zero deduction, and CORSIA eligibility is marked true while CCP status is not stated, so the claim stack is not fully clean. The missing quantified FNRB and usage-rate fields also limit confidence in over-crediting risk assessment.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

- + The record includes both monitoring and PDD evidence, and the VVB is identified as LGAI Technological Center, S.A.
- The extraction confidence is only medium, and the verification report explicitly says several supporting documents were missing.

The evidence set includes the monitoring report and PDD, and the VVB is identified, which is a positive sign. Even so, the extraction confidence is only medium, and the verification report explicitly notes missing commissioning certificates, technical specifications, calibration details, and emission-reduction support. Those omissions materially weaken documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Wind project; no reversals reported
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline only
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance present, but incomplete safeguard document
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete MRV package with emission-reduction calculations, supporting invoices/JMRs, calibration certificates, and the missing commissioning and technical specification documents.

→ Clarify leakage treatment, reconcile the crediting-period discrepancy, and publish the final verified emission reductions alongside registry and claim-status information.

Documents Reviewed

- GS 7589 Annual Report 2024_RSPL.pdf
- MR_GS7589_v04_Clean.pdf
- MR_GS7589_v02_15032022_Clean.pdf
- GS 7589 Annual report .pdf
- GS7589_ER_Sheet_V01_15032022.xlsx
- GS_7589_ER_Sheet_ver03.xlsx
- GS 7589 Annual report.pdf
- GS_7589_SD-Tool_Performance_01.xlsx
- SCR- GS 7589.pdf
- GS_7589_SD-Tool_v03.xlsx
- GS_7589_estimatedER-sheet_v01.xlsx
- PDD_GS7589_v02_15032022_clean_1.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-2204
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-2204
- FVR ver_GS 7589_TQC 24421.pdf
- FVR val_GS_7589_TQC 24421.pdf
- FVR val_GS_7589_TQC_v02_Clean(1).pdf
- FVR ver_GS 7589_v03_TC.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-2362E38A · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-10 · Generated: 2026-04-10

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy