

QUALITY REPORT

GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso - VPA-15 - Improved Cookstove F3PA project in Nahouri

GS-2736 · Gold Standard · Burkina Faso

Report ID: CM-E9E7E754 · Generated: 2026-04-10 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.7Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.2
■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some strong safeguards on paper, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a quantified leakage deduction, and no material findings in the verification report. However, reliability is weakened by several contradictions across documents, missing details on reversal handling and buffer coverage, and the use of a project baseline rather than a more robust standardized baseline.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-2736
Sector	industrial
Country	Burkina Faso
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves v1.0
Crediting Period	2020 — 2031
VVB	SustainCERT
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	16 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-10

Red Flags

- The record contains multiple contradictions, including different values for usage rate, non-renewable biomass fraction, leakage deduction, and crediting period.
- Reversal risk is not addressed in the extracted record and no buffer pool percentage is stated.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the verification report reports no material findings or required corrective actions.

- The baseline is project-specific, reversal handling is not addressed, and no buffer pool share is stated in the available documents.

The verification report from June 2024 confirms additionality through VVB review, and it reports no material findings or corrective actions. On the weaker side, the baseline is project-specific rather than standardized, reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage is stated, which limits permanence confidence.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated for 2022, and the verification report identifies SustainCERT as the VVB.

- Key registry and MRV fields are incomplete in the extracted record, including claimed versus verified emission reductions and public eligibility status details.

The monitoring period for 2022 is clearly identified, and SustainCERT is named as the verifier. But the extracted record does not provide claimed versus verified emission reduction totals, and several registry-relevant details are incomplete or only partially stated, reducing overall transparency.

Claim Safety — 5.2 / 10

+ Leakage is at least quantified, with a leakage deduction of 0.95% and a stated justification.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is only not mentioned, and several contradictory values raise over-crediting risk.

Leakage is not ignored: the record shows a quantified leakage deduction of 0.95% with a stated justification. Still, the project is marked CORSIA-eligible while CCP status is not mentioned, and the contradictions around usage rate, non-renewable biomass fraction, and leakage deduction increase the risk that the credited volume could be overstated.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

+ The record draws on multiple document types, including validation, PDD, monitoring, and verification materials, with medium extraction confidence.

- Some key evidence is missing or not stated, and the contradictory crediting-period and parameter values reduce confidence in the documentation set.

The evidence set includes validation, PDD, monitoring, and verification documents, and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low. Even so, several important fields are missing or only partially specified, and the contradictory crediting-period and parameter values suggest the documentation package is not fully consistent.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal treatment stated
● Leakage	Quantified leakage deduction
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing unclear
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not mentioned

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a reconciled parameter table that resolves the contradictions in usage rate, non-renewable biomass fraction, leakage deduction, and crediting period.

→ Document permanence and claim-safety controls more fully, including reversal treatment, buffer pool coverage, and clear public registry status for CCP and CORSIA eligibility.

Documents Reviewed

- GS 1340 - VPA 14-15-16-17_MP3- VPA 29_MP2-ER_v2.0(8).xlsx
- T-PerfCert_V1.1- GS1340-VPA 14-15-16-17_MP3-VPA 29_MP2-MR_v5.0_clean(7).pdf
- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form_GS1340-tiipaalga-Vintage 2021 (1)(4).docx
- GS 1340 - VPA 14-15-16-17_MP2- VPA 29_MP1-ER_v1.0(5).xlsx
- T-PerfCert_V1.1- GS1340-VPA 14-15-16-17_MP2-VPA 29_MP1-MR_v3.0_clean(4).pdf
- GS1340_POA-DD_CP2_v8.0_clean.pdf
- PDD_09.12.2014_v3.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-2736
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-2736
- GS 1340 - VPA 14-15-16-17-ER_MP1_v1.0.xlsx
- GENDER BASELINE REPORT_V2.pdf
- CCIPL 1325 FVR V.5.0 Clean.pdf
- Verification-Report_GS11074 GS10778-81 -PoA_GS1340-Burkina-Faso(1).pdf
- CCIPL1777_FVR_v1.1_27092023_Clean(4).pdf
- 101.1-OR-POA-T-GS4GG_VPA-DD_PoA GS1340 - VPA-15 - F3PA Nahouri _20210212 v7.0_clean.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V1.1- GS1340-VPA 14-15-16-17-MR_MP1_v3.0_clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-E9E7E754 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-10 · Generated: 2026-04-10

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy