

QUALITY REPORT

Efficient And Clean Cooking For Households In Somalia

GS-2747 · Gold Standard · Kenya

Report ID: CM-E6E810D9 · Generated: 2026-04-11 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.7 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.3
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a certified Gold Standard cookstove project with VVB-confirmed additionality and strong safeguards on paper, but the record shows several reliability concerns. The biggest issues are the unresolved leakage treatment, a project-specific baseline, low extraction confidence, and multiple contradictions across documents that weaken confidence in the claimed emission reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-2747
Sector	cookstoves
Country	Kenya
Vintage	2021, 2023, 2024
Project Methodology	TPDDTEC 03.1
Crediting Period	2019 — 2024
VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.
Verified ERs	565,852 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	35 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-11

Red Flags

- Leakage is shown as a 0% deduction, but the justification is recorded as not addressed in the verification report.
- The claimed emission reductions exceed the verified amount, and the record contains multiple contradictions on usage, FNRB, safeguards, and additionality details.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the validation report identifies a barrier test for the project.

- Leakage treatment is weak: the monitoring report shows a 0% deduction, while the verification report says leakage was not addressed; the baseline is project-specific rather than recently reassessed.

The verification report confirms additionality through a barrier test and notes that the VVB raised and later closed several findings, which supports some integrity. However, the baseline remains project-specific, leakage is shown as a 0% deduction while the verification report says leakage was not addressed, and reversal risk is not clearly addressed in the extracted record. The material findings also include concerns about retroactive stove additions and whether the explanation for missed stoves was convincing enough to support additionality.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and a verified emissions figure in the monitoring record.

- The record shows a large gap between claimed and verified emissions, and the extraction confidence is low, which reduces confidence in the completeness of the public documentation.

The project has a named verifier, a defined monitoring period, and a verified emissions total, which helps transparency. Still, the record shows a large gap between claimed and verified emissions, and the extraction confidence is low, meaning at least one key document was poorly readable. The monitoring and verification materials also show differing approaches on usage monitoring and FNRB, which makes the reporting trail less clean.

Claim Safety — 4.3 / 10

+ The project is Gold Standard certified and CCP-approved, which supports some claim quality.

- The verified emissions are materially below the claimed amount, and the usage and leakage assumptions are not fully robustly justified in the available documents.

Claim safety is weakened by the fact that verified emissions are substantially below the claimed amount, suggesting over-crediting risk. The monitoring report indicates a local-field FNRB approach and a verified usage rate of 87.11%, but the record also contains contradictions on usage assumptions and leakage treatment. Gold Standard certification and CCP approval help, but they do not fully offset the uncertainty created by the discrepancies.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

+ A relatively large set of documents was used, including validation, monitoring, verification, PDD, and stakeholder consultation materials.

- Extraction confidence is low, and the record includes unresolved or partially resolved corrective actions plus several contradictions across documents.

The evidence base is fairly broad, with validation, monitoring, verification, PDD, appendix, and stakeholder consultation documents all represented. Even so, the extraction confidence is low, and the record includes multiple corrective actions and several items that were raised and resolved without a fully clean narrative. The crediting period and monitoring period are also not perfectly aligned across documents, which adds to the documentation weakness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed but challenged
● Permanence	No clear reversal treatment
● Leakage	0% deduction, weak justification
● Baseline	Project-specific baseline
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CCP approved

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear, quantified leakage assessment and reconcile the 0% deduction with the statement that leakage was not addressed.

→ Publish a reconciled emissions table explaining the gap between claimed and verified reductions, and resolve the contradictions on usage assumptions, FNRB, and reversal treatment.

Documents Reviewed

- 25JULY20232023_POA10789_VPA01_ERcal_V2.0_PUBLIC VERSION.xlsx
 - 27 NOV 2025_GS10790 Project Annual_Report_Form_VPA_01 - Signed.pdf
 - DEV_651.pdf
 - 25JULY2023 GS10790 MPIII Monitoring-Report v5.0 CL.pdf
 - NON-CONFIDENTIAL 20JUN2024 Som VPA 01_Ex Post ER.xlsx
 - 04SEPT2024_GS10790_VPA 01_Somalia_MR CL.pdf
 - DEV_604.pdf
 - 12July19 ABC PoA- VPA transition Annex v1.6 (clean).pdf
 - 20220203_GS10790 Monitoring-Report MP1_v4.0_clean.pdf
 - 30AUG2022 POA10789_VPA001_ER cal.xlsx
 - 30AUG2022 GS10790 MPII Monitoring-Report v2.2_Clean.pdf
 - BURN_VPA1_Somalia_Stakeholder-Consultation-Report.pdf
 - BURN GS PoA_VPA 1_Somalia_ER calculation including baseline and project KPT results_v.2.4.xlsx
 - GS 10790_Deviation form_Total Sales Record.pdf
 - GS Passport_16-01-15.pdf
 - VPA-Design-Document_BURN10790_v4.0_clean.pdf
 - 05AUG2025_GS10789_VPA 01_Somalia_Design-Document_CL.pdf
 - GS10790 VPA01 Somalia_Local Stakeholder Consultation Report_final.pdf
 - 11Dec23 403_V1.3_IQ_SDG-Impact-tool PoA.xlsx
 - GS4GG PDD 29.06.2020_v8.1.pdf
 - 11Dec23 ABC PoA-DD v9.2_clean.docx
 - PDD_29-10-15.pdf
 - Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-2747
 - Gold Standard Registry — GS-2747
 - FValR_Design Change Validation Report_GS 10790_clean.pdf
 - CCIPL 873 FVR- GS verification_Clean.pdf
 - CCIPL 1238 FVR 23092022 clean.pdf
 - CCIPL1710 FVR 28072023_CL.pdf
 - 2024-01-03 Design Change Validation Report_PoA2747_clean.pdf
 - BURN GS PoA_VPA 1_Somalia_ER calculation 1st verification_v.4.0.xlsx
 - 12NOV2025_VPA 01 Somalia_VPA DD_clean.pdf
 - GS10790_FVR_MP5_v3.1_clean.pdf
 - CCIPL 873 VPA 01 FVR-16.10.2021-Clean.pdf
 - GS10790_FVR_v4.0_clean.pdf
 - GS10790_FVR_RCP_v3.1_TC_.pdf
-

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-E6E810D9 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-11 · Generated: 2026-04-11

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy