

QUALITY REPORT

Wind Power Project At Sea – No.5 Wind Power Plant Thanh Hai 1-4

GS-2755 · GS · Viet Nam

Report ID: CM-B37CE4B2 · Generated: 2026-04-17 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.2
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.1
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This wind project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a grievance mechanism with FPIC and benefit-sharing described. However, key risk controls are weak or unclear: leakage is not addressed in the verification report, reversal risk is not addressed, and several important fields are missing or inconsistently reported across documents. Overall confidence is limited by low extraction confidence and multiple contradictions between the validation, PDD, monitoring, and verification records.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-2755
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Viet Nam
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 20.0
Crediting Period	2021 — 2026
VVB	China Classification Society Certification Co., Ltd. (CCSC)
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2025
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	14 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-17

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the verification report, despite an earlier monitoring report saying it was negligible.
- Reversal risk is not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is stated.
- Several core data points are missing, including total ERR claimed and verified, grid emission factor, and usage monitoring details.
- The record contains contradictions on additionality test type, benefit sharing, and crediting period.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the validation report used a common-practice test.
- Leakage is not addressed in the verification report, reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool is stated.

The verification report confirms additionality was checked by the VVB, while the validation report indicates a common-practice test rather than the investment test described in the PDD. I privileged the validation report for the additionality test type because it is the later and more specific assessment of project eligibility, but the inconsistency still weakens confidence. Leakage is marked as not addressed in the verification report, and reversal risk is also not addressed, with no buffer pool percentage found in the extracted record.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and a verification report with several clarification requests and corrective actions closed.
- Total ERR claimed and verified are not stated, and key MRV details such as grid emission factor and usage monitoring method are missing.

The project has a named verifier, China Classification Society Certification Co., Ltd., and the monitoring period is clearly stated. However, total ERR claimed and verified are not found in the extracted record, and important MRV details such as the grid emission factor, usage monitoring method, and FNRB method are missing. The verification report also notes three clarification requests and one corrective action request, which were closed, but the low extraction confidence reduces documentation clarity.

Claim Safety — 4.1 / 10

- + The project is under Gold Standard and uses ACM0002 for a wind project, which is generally a standardised renewable methodology.
- Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents, and no CORSIA or CCP status is stated, leaving dual-claim risk unresolved.

Claim safety is weakened by the inconsistent leakage treatment: the monitoring report said leakage was deemed negligible, but the verification report later says leakage is not addressed. I privileged the verification report because it is the more recent assurance document, but the contradiction raises over-crediting risk. No CORSIA eligibility or CCP status is stated, so dual-claim exposure cannot be ruled out from the available record.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

- + Multiple official documents were available, including validation, verification, monitoring, and PDD records.
- Extraction confidence is low, and several important fields are missing or only partially documented.

The record includes several official documents, which is a positive sign, and the verification report shows that multiple clarification requests and a corrective action request were closed. Still, the extraction confidence is low, and several key fields are missing, including verified emissions totals, buffer pool coverage, and baseline reassessment timing. The crediting period also differs between the PDD and verification report, which further reduces documentation reliability.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	reversal risk not addressed
● Leakage	inconsistent leakage treatment
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a complete monitoring and verification package with total ERR claimed and verified, grid emission factor, usage monitoring method, and baseline reassessment details.

→ Resolve the contradictions on leakage, additionality test type, benefit sharing, and crediting period, and state CORSIA eligibility and CCP status explicitly.

Documents Reviewed

- MP2_CP1_GS Monitoring Report_Ver 1.3_Clean.pdf
- GS10798 No.5 Wind Thanh Hai Annual Report 2025.pdf
- 02_GS 10798_No. 5 Wind Power Plant_ER Calculation Sheet_Ver 2.0.xlsx
- 01_MP1_CP1_GS Monitoring Report_Ver 2.3_Clean.pdf
- Annual Report_No.5 Wind Thanh Hai.pdf
- ER spreadsheet_Version 2.1.xlsx
- GS VerR 10798 01Jan2023-31Jan2025_Ver 1.2_Clean.pdf
- 02_GS 10798_No. 5 Wind Power Plant_ER Calculation Sheet_Ver 1.1.xlsx
- 01_No.5 WPP_GS-PDD_Version 2.4_Clean.pdf
- 01_Draft PDD_No.5 Wind Power Plant_Ver 1.1_Clean.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-2755
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-2755
- Validation report_Version 05_Clean.pdf
- 02 GS Verification Report_Wind Power Project at Sea-No.5-clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-B37CE4B2 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-17 · Generated: 2026-04-17

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy