

## QUALITY REPORT

# Burkina Faso Safe Water

GS-3035 · Gold Standard

Report ID: CM-C38CAA51 · Generated: 2026-04-11 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

# 5.8

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
■ Transparency (25%)	6.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.4
■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This Gold Standard safe water project has some strong quality signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, no reported reversal events, and documented safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, the record also shows multiple material findings, a project-specific baseline, and unresolved leakage treatment, which keep confidence in the crediting claims moderate rather than high.

## Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-3035
Sector	water
Vintage	2022, 2023
Project Methodology	TPDDTEC v 3.1 3.1
Crediting Period	2022 — 2027
VVB	SustainCERT
Verified ERs	11,590 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	13 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-11

## Red Flags

- The verification report lists many findings, including several corrective action requests and clarification requests, which suggests documentation and implementation issues.
- Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents: the verification report says it was not addressed, while the monitoring report is said to quantify it.
- The project uses a project-specific baseline and the baseline reassessment timing is only partially clear, which weakens baseline robustness.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 5.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, which is a meaningful positive signal for project integrity.

- The verification report records multiple findings, including several corrective action requests and clarification requests, and leakage is not clearly resolved in the extracted verification record.

The project benefits from VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversal events, which supports the core environmental claim. At the same time, the verification report lists numerous findings, including several corrective action requests and clarification requests, and the baseline is project-specific rather than jurisdictional or standardized. Leakage is also weakly supported in the extracted verification record because it is marked as not addressed there, even though another document is said to quantify it.

### Transparency — 6.2 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and a verified emissions result of 11,590 credits for the period covered.

- The extracted record does not provide a claimed emissions figure, and the leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents, reducing clarity.

The project has a named verifier, SustainCERT, and a clearly stated monitoring period from 2023-01-01 to 2024-12-31, which helps traceability. The record also includes a verified emissions total of 11,590 and a documented usage monitoring method based on annual surveys. However, the claimed emissions figure is not found in the extracted record, and the leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents, which limits transparency.

### Claim Safety — 5.4 / 10

+ The project is an avoidance activity under Gold Standard, with a national-default FNRB value of 0.9 and verified usage monitoring based on annual surveys.

- The baseline is project-specific rather than standardized, and the leakage justification is not addressed in the verification report, which raises over-crediting risk.

This is an avoidance project under Gold Standard, with a national-default FNRB value of 0.9 and verified usage at 73.89, which is a useful check on demand-side assumptions. Still, the baseline method is project-specific, and the leakage justification is not addressed in the verification report, so the risk of over-crediting is not negligible. The absence of a clear CORSIA or CCP status keeps dual-claim risk from being fully resolved, though there is no evidence of double registration.

### Documentation — 6.0 / 10

+ The record draws on multiple official documents, including validation, monitoring, and verification reports, and the extraction confidence is high.

- The verification report contains many findings, and the crediting period versus monitoring period relationship is not fully clear from the extracted record.

The evidence base is reasonably broad, with validation, monitoring, and verification documents referenced, and extraction confidence is high. FPIC, grievance handling, and benefit sharing are all mentioned, which improves completeness on safeguards. Even so, the verification report contains many findings, and the extracted record does not fully clarify the relationship between the crediting period and the monitoring period.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed additionality
● <b>Permanence</b>	Avoidance project; no reversals reported
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage treatment inconsistent
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project-specific baseline
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a consistent, document-level explanation of leakage treatment across the verification and monitoring reports, including any quantified deduction and the rationale.

→ Publish a clearer reconciliation of the material findings and corrective actions, plus explicit registry/market eligibility status for CORSIA and any CCP-related claims.

## Documents Reviewed

- GS11046-49 Monitoring Report v8 CLEAN(4).pdf
- PoA GS1247 Annual-Report - signed.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v17.3\_CLEAN.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v17.2\_TRACKED\_R2\_Earthood.pdf
- KAOB SW GS1359 VPA-DD v2 CLEAN.pdf
- (1)VPA 266-71, 287-300 Burkina Faso Safe Water VPA-DD v4 CLEAN.pdf
- VPA 266-71, 287-300 Burkina Faso Safe Water VPA-DD v4 CLEAN.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-3035
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-3035
- GS11046-49\_Final\_Verification\_Report(3).pdf
- GS1247\_PoA-DD Clean\_CP2\_Improved Kitchen\_19-02-2025.pdf
- FVR\_GS1247\_v3.0\_PoA\_Clean.pdf
- 1.xlsx

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-C38CAA51 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-11 · Generated: 2026-04-11

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)