

QUALITY REPORT

Safe Water Programme – Nigeria – VPA 15

GS-3294 · Gold Standard · Nigeria

Report ID: CM-418DD6E9 · Generated: 2026-04-10 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.2

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.8
■ Transparency (25%)	5.9
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.6
■ Documentation (15%)	6.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some solid quality signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a documented grievance mechanism, FPIC, and a quantified leakage deduction of 0%. However, the evidence record has several unresolved or weak points: baseline reassessment is not stated, reversal risk is not addressed, and there are multiple contradictions across monitoring records that reduce confidence. Overall, this looks like a moderate-quality crediting case rather than a high-confidence one.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-3294
Sector	industrial
Country	Nigeria
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	Emission reductions from Safe Drinking Water Supply Version 1.0 – 03/5/2021
Crediting Period	2021 — 2025
VVB	Earthood Services Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	26 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-10

Red Flags

- Reversal risk is not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is stated.
- Several contradictions appear in the monitoring record, including usage rate, FNRB method/value, leakage justification, and benefit-sharing documentation.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a combined additionality test in the monitoring report.
- Reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage is stated in the available documents.

The monitoring report dated 2024-07-23 confirms additionality through a combined test and says the VVB is Earthood Services Private Limited. Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction, but reversal risk is not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is stated, which weakens permanence confidence. The baseline is project-based, and there is no evidence that it has been recently reassessed.

Transparency — 5.9 / 10

- + The monitoring report is recent and identifies the verifier as Earthood Services Private Limited, with a defined monitoring period from 2023-01-01 to 2023-11-30.
- Total verified and claimed emission reductions are not stated, and the record contains several internal contradictions that weaken reporting clarity.

The documentation includes a recent monitoring period and identifies the verifier, which supports traceability. However, total claimed and verified emission reductions are not stated in the extracted record, and the record shows multiple contradictions across monitoring documents. Because extraction confidence is only medium, the documentation is usable but not fully robust.

Claim Safety — 5.6 / 10

- + Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction in the monitoring report.
- The baseline is project-based rather than jurisdictional, and the FNRB value and method conflict across documents, which raises over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is helped by the explicit leakage deduction of 0% and the presence of Gold Standard registration. That said, the baseline is project-specific rather than standardized, and the FNRB information is inconsistent: the latest monitoring report gives a national default method and a value of 82.3, while an older record shows a different method and a much lower value. Those inconsistencies increase the risk of over-crediting.

Documentation — 6.1 / 10

- + A relatively large evidence set was used, with 26 documents and medium extraction confidence.
- Several key fields are not stated in available documents, including baseline reassessment and verified emission totals, and the corrective-action history is cluttered and repetitive.

The evidence base is fairly broad, with 26 documents used and references to stakeholder consultation, validation, appendix material, and the monitoring report. FPIC, safeguards, grievance mechanism, and benefit sharing are all mentioned, which is positive. Still, several important items are not stated in available documents, including baseline reassessment and verified emission totals, and the corrective-action list is repetitive and somewhat messy.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated; reversal risk unaddressed
● Leakage	0% leakage deduction with justification
● Baseline	Project baseline; reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC, grievance mechanism, and safeguards documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear baseline reassessment history and reconcile the conflicting FNRB and usage-rate values across monitoring records.

→ Document permanence safeguards more fully, including reversal treatment and any buffer pool allocation, and provide clean verified emission totals.

Documents Reviewed

- GS11189 VPA 01-30 Nigeria WPS - MP4 MR v4.0_18072024_Clean.pdf
- GS11189_Physical site visit requirement_04042023.pdf
- Public_GS11189 VPA1-30 Nigeria _MP1 ER sheet v4.0 05082022.xlsx
- Public_GS11189 VPA 01-30 Nigeria_MP4 ER sheet v4.0 18072024.xlsx
- GS11189 VPA 01-30 Nigeria WPS - MP2 MR v3.0 01032023.docx
- GS11189 VPA 01-30 Nigeria WPS - MP1 MR v5.0 061020222 clean.pdf
- Public_GS11189 VPA 01-30 Nigeria WPS - MP2 ER sheet v3.0 01032023.xlsx
- GS11189 VPA 01-30 Nigeria WPS - MP3 MR v3.0 03102023.docx
- Public_GS11189 VPA 01-30 Nigeria WPS - MP3 ER sheet v3.0 03102023(1).xlsx
- GS11189-Deviation-Request-Form_1_Final decision.pdf
- GS VPA-DD 11289 Kenya VPA - 31 v 5.0 10062022.docx
- DEV_387.pdf
- DEV_703.pdf
- VPA 01-30 Nigeria WPS - Ex-ante ER sheet v4.0 09032022.xlsx
- GS 11189 Design Consultation Report V1.1 22052022.pdf
- GS11189-Deviation-Request-Form_2_Final decision.pdf
- GS 11189-Stakeholder-Consultation-Report Final with Annex.pdf
- GS11189-Deviation-Request-Form_3_Final decision.pdf
- GS PoA 11189 PoA-DD v5.0 25052022.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-3294
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-3294
- GS.VER.23.60_Nigeria_FVR_cl(1).pdf
- FVR_PoA Validation_11189-updated(FB_round) clean.pdf
- GS.VER.21.15_FVR_B1 Nigeria clean.pdf
- GS.VER.22.47_FVR_Nigeria_MP 03_Clean.pdf
- GS.VER.22.47_FVR_B2 Nigeria_v1.1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-418DD6E9 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-10 · Generated: 2026-04-10
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy