

QUALITY REPORT

Safe Water Programme – Kenya – VPA 32

GS-3311 · Gold Standard · Kenya

Report ID: CM-91904DA5 · Generated: 2026-04-10 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.9
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a recent baseline reassessment in 2021, but leakage treatment is weak and the documentation shows several unresolved or inconsistent items. Transparency is moderate because the monitoring period and verifier are identified, yet the record lacks verified issuance totals and has contradictions in key operating assumptions.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-3311
Sector	industrial
Country	Kenya
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	Emission reductions from Safe Drinking Water Supply Version 1.0 – 03/5/2021
Crediting Period	2021 — 2025
VVB	Earthood Services Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	25 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-10

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the monitoring record, despite a leakage deduction being recorded elsewhere.
- Several key values conflict across monitoring documents, including usage rate, FNRB, and safeguard/benefit-sharing statements.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by Earthood Services Private Limited, and the project uses a combined additionality test.

- Leakage justification is recorded as not addressed, and no buffer pool or reversal history is provided in the extracted record.

The monitoring record shows additionality confirmed by the VVB, using a combined test, which supports the project's core eligibility case. The baseline was last reassessed in 2021, which is reasonably recent for a 2021–2025 crediting period. However, leakage is a weak point because the record says it is not addressed, and there is no buffer pool or reversal evidence in the extracted facts.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

+ The monitoring period is stated for 2023 and the verifier is named, which supports basic traceability.

- Verified and claimed issuance totals are not found in the extracted record, limiting public MRV clarity.

The project has a named verifier, Earthood Services Private Limited, and a clearly stated monitoring period from 2023-01-01 to 2023-11-30. But the extracted record does not provide verified or claimed emission reduction totals, which limits transparency around issuance and performance. The monitoring approach is described as an annual survey, but the underlying evidence trail is incomplete in the extracted facts.

Claim Safety — 4.9 / 10

+ The baseline was reassessed in 2021, which is better than an outdated or missing baseline review.

- The record shows contradictions in usage rate, FNRB, and leakage treatment, which raises over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is weakened by contradictory operating assumptions in the monitoring record. The extracted facts show a usage rate of about 96.3% in one place versus 93.17% in another, and FNRB of 0.9351 versus 93.51% elsewhere; I privileged the more recent monitoring values because they appear tied to later-dated monitoring entries. Leakage treatment is also inconsistent, with one record saying it is not addressed while another says it was deemed negligible, which increases over-crediting risk.

Documentation — 5.6 / 10

+ A relatively large document set was used, including a validation report, stakeholder consultation material, appendix, and monitoring report.

- The extraction confidence is only medium, and the monitoring record includes multiple closed corrective actions and findings, indicating documentation friction.

Documentation is moderately strong because 25 documents were used, including a validation report, stakeholder consultation material, appendix, and monitoring report. The extraction confidence is medium rather than high, so some caution is warranted. The presence of closed corrective actions and findings shows the file was reviewed, but it also suggests the documentation required follow-up before finalization.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed combined test
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal evidence
● Leakage	Leakage treatment inconsistent
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessed in 2021
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	Registry status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish verified and claimed emission reduction totals, along with a clear reconciliation between monitoring reports and registry issuance.

→ Resolve the leakage inconsistency by documenting a quantified leakage approach and aligning all monitoring values across report versions.

Documents Reviewed

- Public_GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP1 ER sheet v5.0 26092022.xlsx
- GS11189 VPA 31-47 49-61 Kenya WPS - MP4 MR v5.0_Clean.pdf
- GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP3 MR v3.0_03102023.docx
- GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP2 MR v3.0_01032023 - Clean.pdf
- GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP1 MR v5.0 260902022.pdf
- Public_GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP2 ER sheet ver.3.0_01032023.xlsx
- Public_GS11189 VPA 31-47 and 49-61 Kenya_MP4 ER sheet ver.4.0.xlsx
- Public_GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP3 ER sheet ver.3.0 03102023.xlsx
- GS11189-Deviation-Request-Form_1_Final decision.pdf
- GS VPA-DD 11289 Kenya VPA - 31 v 5.0 10062022.docx
- DEV_387.pdf
- DEV_703.pdf
- VPA 01-30 Nigeria WPS - Ex-ante ER sheet v4.0 09032022.xlsx
- GS 11189 Design Consultation Report V1.1 22052022.pdf
- GS11189-Deviation-Request-Form_2_Final decision.pdf
- GS 11189-Stakeholder-Consultation-Report Final with Annex.pdf
- GS11189-Deviation-Request-Form_3_Final decision.pdf
- GS PoA 11189 PoA-DD v5.0 25052022.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-3311
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-3311
- GS.VER.23.60_FVR_Kenya_MP04_CL(2).pdf
- GS.VER.21.15_FVR_B2 Kenya_20221019.pdf
- FVR_PoA Validation_11189-updated(FB_round) clean.pdf
- GS.VER.22.47_FVR_Kenya_MP03_V2.0.pdf
- GS.VER.22.47_FVR_B1 Kenya_v1.1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-91904DA5 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-10 · Generated: 2026-04-10

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy