

QUALITY REPORT

Safe Water Programme – Kenya – VPA 46

GS-3325 · Gold Standard · Kenya

Report ID: CM-2DFA2B08 · Generated: 2026-04-10 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.3 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.9
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a documented grievance mechanism, but key risk controls are weak or unclear. Leakage is not properly justified, reversal handling is not addressed, and the monitoring file contains several material findings and corrective actions, which lowers confidence in the claimed reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-3325
Sector	industrial
Country	Kenya
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	Emission reductions from Safe Drinking Water Supply Version 1.0 – 03/5/2021
Crediting Period	2021 — 2025
VVB	Earthood Services Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	24 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-10

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the monitoring report, despite a prior document saying it was negligible.
- The monitoring report lists multiple material findings and required corrective actions, including two CARs.
- No buffer pool, reversal history, or baseline reassessment date is stated in the extracted record.
- The project's usage and FNRB inputs changed across monitoring reports, creating reliability concerns.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a combined additionality test in the monitoring report.
- Leakage is not addressed in the 2023-03-01 monitoring report, and the file also records material findings and corrective actions.

The monitoring report confirms additionality through a combined test and VVB review by Earthood Services Private Limited, which supports the project's core eligibility. However, leakage is marked as not addressed in the 2023-03-01 monitoring report, reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool is stated in the extracted record. The report also lists CAR#01, CAR#02, FAR#01, and CL#01, which suggests unresolved issues that weaken integrity.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

- + The monitoring period, VVB name, usage monitoring method, and FNRB approach are stated in the monitoring report.
- Claimed versus verified emission reduction totals are not found in the extracted record, and several key fields such as baseline reassessment and buffer pool are missing.

The monitoring report provides a clear monitoring period, VVB identity, usage monitoring method, and a locally derived FNRB method. Even so, the extracted record does not include verified versus claimed emission totals, and baseline reassessment timing is not stated. The absence of these core reporting elements limits transparency and makes it harder to assess the completeness of the MRV trail.

Claim Safety — 4.9 / 10

- + The project uses a project baseline and a locally derived FNRB value, which is more specific than a generic default.
- Leakage treatment is weak, and contradictions in usage rate and FNRB inputs reduce confidence in the conservativeness of the credited reductions.

Claim safety is mixed because the project uses a project baseline and a local field-based FNRB value, which is better than relying only on broad defaults. But the leakage justification is inconsistent across documents, with an older report saying leakage was negligible and the newer monitoring report saying it was not addressed. The contradiction in usage rate and FNRB inputs also raises over-crediting risk.

Documentation — 5.4 / 10

- + Twenty-four documents were used, including a monitoring report, stakeholder consultation material, and an appendix.
- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the monitoring report contains multiple CARs and a FAR, indicating incomplete or problematic documentation.

Documentation breadth is decent, with 24 documents used and references to a monitoring report, stakeholder consultation, and appendix. Still, extraction confidence is only medium, and the monitoring report includes multiple material findings plus required corrective actions. Missing baseline reassessment information and absent verified-versus-claimed totals further reduce documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed combined test
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal handling stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear leakage assessment with a quantified deduction or a defensible negligible-leakage justification in the latest monitoring report.

→ Resolve the reported CARs and provide a complete reconciliation of usage rate, FNRB, and verified emission totals across monitoring periods.

Documents Reviewed

- Public_GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP1 ER sheet v5.0 26092022.xlsx
- GS11189 VPA 31-47 49-61 Kenya WPS - MP4 MR v5.0_Clean.pdf
- GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP3 MR v3.0_03102023.docx
- GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP2 MR v3.0_01032023 - Clean.pdf
- GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP1 MR v5.0 260902022.pdf
- Public_GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP2 ER sheet ver.3.0_01032023.xlsx
- Public_GS11189 VPA 31-47 and 49-61 Kenya_MP4 ER sheet ver.4.0.xlsx
- Public_GS11189 VPA 31-47 Kenya WPS - MP3 ER sheet ver.3.0 03102023.xlsx
- GS11189-Deviation-Request-Form_1_Final decision.pdf
- GS VPA-DD 11289 Kenya VPA - 31 v 5.0 10062022.docx
- DEV_387.pdf
- DEV_703.pdf
- VPA 01-30 Nigeria WPS - Ex-ante ER sheet v4.0 09032022.xlsx
- GS 11189 Design Consultation Report V1.1 22052022.pdf
- GS11189-Deviation-Request-Form_2_Final decision.pdf
- GS 11189-Stakeholder-Consultation-Report Final with Annex.pdf
- GS11189-Deviation-Request-Form_3_Final decision.pdf
- GS PoA 11189 PoA-DD v5.0 25052022.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-3325
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-3325
- GS.VER.23.60_FVR_Kenya_MP04_CL(2).pdf
- GS.VER.21.15_FVR_B2 Kenya_20221019.pdf
- GS.VER.22.47_FVR_Kenya_MP03_V2.0.pdf
- GS.VER.22.47_FVR_B1 Kenya_v1.1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-2DFA2B08 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-10 · Generated: 2026-04-10

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy