QUALITY REPORT

Mandsaur Wind Power Project in Madhya Pradesh

GS-3423 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-488273AC · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.5 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	7.2
	Transparency (25%)	6.1
	Claim Safety (25%)	6.4
	Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a wind project with a VVB-confirmed investment test, a project baseline, and no reported reversal event in the verification report. The main weaknesses are limited visibility into claimed versus verified emission reductions, no documented usage monitoring details, and some document inconsistencies that reduce confidence in the record.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-3423
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 20.0
Crediting Period	2016 — 2026
VVB	Applus+ Certification
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	21 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

■ No claimed-versus-verified emission reduction figures are available, so over-crediting cannot be checked from the extracted record.

■ The record contains contradictions on reversal events, leakage treatment, and the crediting period, which weakens reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 7.2 / 10

+ Additionality was tested using an investment test and was confirmed by the VVB in the verification report.

- The project uses a project baseline rather than a clearly standardized or jurisdictionally reassessed baseline, and buffer pool coverage is not stated.

The verification report from Applus+ Certification confirms additionality through an investment test, which supports the project's core integrity case. The same report states that no extreme event caused a reversal, and leakage is described as negligible with a 0% deduction. However, buffer pool coverage is not stated, and the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized, which keeps the score below the top tier.

Transparency — 6.1 / 10

+ The monitoring period, VVB name, methodology version, and crediting period are identified in the verification report.

- No verified emission reduction totals are provided, and usage monitoring details are not stated in available documents.

The verification report provides the monitoring period, methodology, VVB name, and crediting period, so the project is not opaque. That said, the extracted record does not include total claimed or verified emission reductions, and usage monitoring method is not stated in available documents. The absence of these figures limits public traceability of the issuance claim.

Claim Safety — 6.4 / 10

+ Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction in the verification report.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is not mentioned, leaving some dual-claim uncertainty.

Claim safety is helped by the VVB-confirmed additionality test and the stated negligible leakage treatment. Still, the record does not provide claimed-versus-verified ER totals, so over-crediting cannot be independently checked from the extracted facts. CORSIA eligibility is marked true, while CCP status is not mentioned, which leaves some residual dual-claim risk.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

+ Multiple document types were used, including the PDD, verification report, monitoring report, and stakeholder consultation materials.

- At least one source was poorly readable only at medium confidence, and the record includes several unresolved contradictions.

Documentation breadth is decent because the extracted record draws on the PDD, verification report, monitoring report, and stakeholder consultation materials. The project also shows social process evidence through FPIC, a grievance mechanism, and benefit sharing. Even so, the minimum extraction confidence is only medium, and the record contains several findings and contradictions that reduce documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	No reversal reported, but buffer not stated
Leakage	0% deduction with negligible justification
Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing unclear
Safeguards	FPIC, grievance mechanism, and benefit sharing
Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not mentioned

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the verified emission reduction totals alongside the claimed totals for the monitoring period.

→ Clarify the leakage and reversal treatment across documents and provide explicit buffer pool or permanence handling details.

Documents Reviewed

- Annual Report_MR1-11402.pdf
- MR_GS 11402_V06_21072023_Clean(1).pdf
- 1739525375_GS.VER.24.58_11402_MP3_Ver_Report_Clean(1).pdf
- GS 11402_SDG-Impact-tool(2).xlsx
- MR_GS 11402_V04_18072024 - Clean.pdf
- Project-Annual- Report-GS 11402_v1.pdf
- 1739350122_MR_GS 11402_V02_17022025 - Clean.pdf
- Annual Report_MR2-11402.pdf
- ER Sheet_GS 11402_V04_30042023(1)(1).xlsx
- Annual Report_GS11402_17042025.pdf
- ER Sheet_GS 11402_V02_02092024(1).xlsx
- ER Sheet_GS 11402_180724.xlsx
- GS 11402_SDG-Impact-Tool(1).xlsx
- Stakeholder Consultation Report_GS11402.pdf
- Estimated ER Workbook_CP01_GS11402_V04_30042023(1)(1).xlsx
- PDD_GS 11402_V05 _21072023_Clean(1).pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-3423
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-3423
- GS.VER.23.28_FVR_v3.0 CL.pdf
- FVR verification_GS 11402_TQC30221 - Revised.pdf
- FVR-GS VAL-renewGS11402 - Clean(1).pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-488273AC · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy