

QUALITY REPORT

Lingasugur Wind Power Project in Karnataka

GS-3425 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-E9D44454 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.5 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	7.1
	■ Transparency (25%)	6.0
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	6.4
	■ Documentation (15%)	6.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reversal events reported in the verification report, which supports a reasonably solid integrity profile. However, leakage is not really explained, some key monitoring figures are missing, and there are document contradictions on the crediting period and reversal-event wording that reduce confidence in the record.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-3425
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 20.0
Crediting Period	2021 — 2026
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A.
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	23 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- Leakage is set at 0% but the justification is not addressed in the extracted documents.
- The record contains contradictions on the crediting period and on how reversal events are described across documents.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 7.1 / 10

- + The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB verified it.
- Leakage deduction is 0% but the justification is not addressed, and reversal-event handling is only partially documented.

The verification report from LGAI Technological Center, S.A. confirms additionality using an investment test, which is a meaningful positive for project integrity. The same report states that no extreme event caused a reversal, but reversal-event treatment is still marked as not addressed in the extracted record, and leakage is shown as 0% without a supporting justification. The baseline method is project-based, which is acceptable but less robust than a recent standardized baseline.

Transparency — 6.0 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and a verification report with multiple CARs closed.
- Total issued/verified emission figures, usage monitoring details, and grid emission factor data are not found in the extracted record.

Transparency is moderate because the project has a named verifier, a defined monitoring period from 2023-09-01 to 2024-03-31, and a verification report with several CARs closed. However, total claimed and verified emission figures are not found in the extracted record, grid emission factor data are missing, and the usage monitoring method is not stated. That leaves important MRV details incomplete.

Claim Safety — 6.4 / 10

- + The project uses ACM0002 Version 20.0 and the baseline was reassessed in 2021, which is better than an unrevised project-specific baseline.
- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is not mentioned, and leakage treatment is not well justified.

Claim safety is helped by the use of ACM0002 Version 20.0 and the baseline reassessment in 2021, which suggests the methodology was at least updated during the crediting period. Still, the project is marked CORSIA-eligible, CCP status is not mentioned, and the leakage justification is not addressed, so over-crediting and dual-claim risk are not fully resolved. The absence of verified usage-rate data also limits confidence in the claimed reductions.

Documentation — 6.2 / 10

- + Four document types were used and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low.
- A FAR remains open for the next verification, and the record includes contradictions that weaken documentation reliability.

Documentation quality is fair but not strong: four document types were used, including the PDD, monitoring report, and verification report, and extraction confidence is medium. The verification report lists many CARs as closed, but one FAR remains for the next verification, indicating unresolved follow-up. The contradictions on the crediting period and reversal-event wording further reduce reliability, even though the benefit-sharing and safeguard elements are present.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversal events reported
● Leakage	0% deduction without clear justification
● Baseline	Project baseline with reassessment timing
● Safeguards	FPIC, grievance mechanism, and benefit sharing documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not mentioned

Documents Reviewed

- Annual Report_MR1-11404.pdf
- MR_GS11404_V06_22072023_Clean(1).pdf
- Project-Annual- Report-GS 11404_v1.pdf
- GS11404_MR_Clean 06 09 2025.pdf
- GS11404_MR Clean_Lingasugur Wind Power_25 09 2024.pdf
- Annual Report_MR2-11404.pdf
- GS11404_Annual Report_MP3_Lingasugur_22 04 2025.pdf
- Annual Report_GS11404_17042025.pdf
- ER Sheet_GS11404_V04_02052023(1)(1).xlsx
- ER Sheet_GS11404_25 09 2024.xlsx
- Estimated ER Workbook_CP02_GS11404_V03_26082022(2)(1).xlsx
- GS11404_ER Sheet_090125.xlsx
- Transition Request Form (revised)- GS 11404_Revised_25-02-2022.pdf
- GS11404_SDG-Impact-tool.xlsx
- GS 11404_SDG-Impact-Tool(1).xlsx
- VPA Design Document GS 11404_Clean.pdf
- PDD_GS11404_V06_22072023_Clean(1).pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-3425
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-3425
- FVR verification_GS 11404_TQC 30421 - Clean(1)(1).pdf
- GS11404_FVR Clean_Lingasugur Wind Power_25 09 2024.pdf
- FVR-GS VAL-renewGS11404 - Clean(1)(1).pdf
- GS11404_FVR_Clean 06 09 2025.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-E9D44454 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy