

QUALITY REPORT

Utsil Naj - healthy homes for all in Mexico

GS-354 · Gold Standard · Mexico

Report ID: CM-8674ADF7 · Generated: 2026-04-11 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.0 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	6.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a Gold Standard cookstove project with VVB-confirmed additionality, a project baseline, and no reported reversals, which supports moderate integrity. However, the record shows several contradictions across documents, a material finding in verification, and some important safeguards and leakage details are either mixed or only partially documented.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-354
Sector	cookstoves
Country	Mexico
Vintage	2018, 2020
Project Methodology	Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption – V.01 1.0
Crediting Period	2011 — 2018
VVB	Earthood Services Private Limited
Verified ERs	89,931 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2019 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-11

Red Flags

- The documents conflict on FPIC, grievance mechanism, safeguards, leakage treatment, usage monitoring, and crediting period, which weakens reliability.
- The verification report records a material finding and the project has no buffer pool information, so permanence and documentation confidence are not fully robust.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the verification report, and the project reports no reversal events.
- The verification report lists a material finding, and the record does not state any buffer pool allocation.

The verification report confirms additionality was checked by Earthood Services Private Limited, and it reports no reversal events. The project uses a project baseline, but the baseline was not shown as recently reassessed, and no buffer pool percentage is stated in the extracted record. A material finding labeled CL 3 appears in the verification report, which slightly weakens confidence in the robustness of the accounting.

Transparency — 6.1 / 10

- + The monitoring period, verified emission reductions, and usage monitoring approach are stated in the official records.
- Several key items are inconsistent across documents, including usage monitoring, leakage treatment, and the project timeline.

The record provides a monitoring period from 2019-04-01 to 2023-03-13, verified emission reductions of 89,931, and an annual survey approach for usage monitoring. However, the documents conflict on the monitoring approach, with one record indicating self-reporting, and the crediting period also appears inconsistent across documents. These inconsistencies reduce transparency even though the core MRV figures are present.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

- + The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces dual-market claim risk.
- The project-specific baseline and a zero leakage deduction with changing leakage descriptions leave some over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is moderate because the project is not CORSIA-eligible and leakage is described as negligible with a 0% deduction in the verification report. Still, the record shows a contradiction where an earlier verification report reportedly quantified leakage, while the later report treats it as negligible, and the baseline is project-specific rather than a more conservative standardized approach. The verified usage rate of about 88.8% is close to the assumed 90%, which is reassuring but not enough to offset the documentation inconsistencies.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

- + Multiple official documents were used, including validation, monitoring, and verification records, with medium extraction confidence.
- One key document was not clearly readable, and the record contains contradictions on several core safeguards and accounting items.

Documentation is reasonably complete, with validation, monitoring, verification, and PDD materials listed among the evidence used. The extraction confidence is medium rather than high, and one key document was poorly readable, which limits certainty. The record also contains contradictions on FPIC, grievance mechanisms, safeguards, leakage, usage monitoring, and the crediting period, so the documentation quality is adequate but not strong.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No reversals reported
● Leakage	Negligible leakage claimed
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment unclear
● Safeguards	Safeguards partly documented
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status unstated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clean, reconciled document set that resolves the contradictions on FPIC, grievance mechanism, leakage treatment, usage monitoring, and crediting period.

→ Provide clearer evidence on baseline reassessment, buffer pool treatment, and the full rationale for the material finding and its closure.

Documents Reviewed

- GS1377_T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report 2024.pdf
- UN VPA4 GIRA Patsari ER Calculations MP1 of CP2 v6 - Public Document.xlsx
- UN VPA4 AURA Patsari ER Calculations MP1 of CP2 v5 - Public Document.xlsx
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01-01-2015 to 31-08-2018_v5.pdf
- UN VPA4 - MR - MP1 of CP2 - v7 - Public Document.pdf
- UN VPA4 FPP Patsari ER Calculations MP1 of CP2 v5 - Public Document.xlsx
- UN VPA4 FPP Onil ER Calculations MP1 of CP2 v5 - Public Document.xlsx
- UN VPA4 SDG Summary v2.xlsx
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_27-12-2011 to 31-12-2014_v8.pdf
- 5. ER Estimations CP2 - GIRA Patsari v2 - Public document.xlsx
- GS Passport_22-05-2015_v5.pdf
- GS.VAL.22.139_FValRep_Utsil Naj_rev.pdf
- 1. UN VPA4 - VPADD - CP Renewal - v7 - Public document.pdf
- DEV_256.pdf
- PDD_22-05-2015_v9.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-354
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-354
- Validation Report_18-02-2019_v2.pdf
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_27-12-11 to 31-12-14_v5.0.pdf
- Validation Report_20-02-2019_v2.pdf
- GS.VER.22.139_FVerRep_Utsil Naj_GS review2_CL.pdf
- Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01-10-2015 to 31-08-2018_v2.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-8674ADF7 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-11 · Generated: 2026-04-11

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy