

QUALITY REPORT

Improved Jikos - Better Living for Rural Population

GS-363 · GS · Kenya

Report ID: CM-3F403418 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a recent baseline reassessment in 2020, and no reported reversal events. However, the record also shows multiple corrective actions, an open FAR, and a monitoring deviation from the registered plan, which weakens confidence in the emissions claim. Overall, this is a moderately documented project with meaningful reliability concerns rather than a clearly high-quality crediting case.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-363
Sector	industrial
Country	Kenya
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption 1.0
Crediting Period	null
VVB	TÜV NORD CERT GmbH (TÜV NORD)
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	16 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The verification report records multiple corrective actions and an open FAR, and says monitoring was not carried out in accordance with the registered monitoring plan.
- There are several contradictions across documents, including different additionality approaches, different usage-rate assumptions, and different FNRB values.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses an investment test in the more recent PDD.
- The verification report notes an open FAR and states that monitoring did not follow the registered monitoring plan.

The project has a reasonably strong starting point because the VVB confirmed additionality and the baseline was last reassessed in 2020. That said, the verification report records several CARs and CLs, one FAR remains open, and it explicitly says monitoring was not carried out in accordance with the registered monitoring plan, which weakens confidence in the integrity of the quantified reductions. No reversal events are reported, but buffer pool coverage is not stated and reversal handling is described as not addressed.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

- + The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31, and the VVB is identified as TÜV NORD CERT GmbH.
- Total ERs claimed and verified are not stated in the extracted record, limiting traceability of the issuance claim.

The monitoring period is clearly identified, and the VVB is named, which helps traceability. However, the extracted record does not state total ERs claimed or verified, and the registry-level issuance trail is therefore incomplete in the available material. The use of an annual survey for usage monitoring is helpful, but the documentation still leaves important public-facing accounting details missing.

Claim Safety — 4.9 / 10

- + The project reports a leakage deduction of 0% with leakage described as negligible.
- The record contains contradictions on additionality, usage rates, and FNRB values, which raises over-crediting and claim reliability risk.

Claim safety is mixed. The project reports a 0% leakage deduction with leakage deemed negligible, which is positive, but the record also shows contradictions on the additionality test type, usage-rate assumptions versus verified usage, and FNRB values. Because the more recent PDD and verification report were privileged over older PDD values, the score reflects the newer figures, but the inconsistencies still increase over-crediting risk.

Documentation — 5.6 / 10

- + Four evidence documents were used, including the PDD, monitoring report, and verification report, and extraction confidence is not low.
- Multiple corrective actions were raised, and the crediting period is not stated in the extracted record.

Documentation is moderate rather than strong. Four evidence documents were used and the extraction confidence is medium, not low, but the record still contains several unresolved corrective actions and an open FAR. The crediting period is not stated in the extracted record, which makes it harder to judge recency and completeness relative to the monitoring period.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversal events, but buffer not stated
● Leakage	Leakage deemed negligible with 0% deduction
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessed in 2020
● Safeguards	FPIC, grievance mechanism, and benefit sharing documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clean reconciliation of the contradictory PDD and verification values for additionality, usage rates, and FNRB, with a clear statement of which figures govern issuance.

→ Close the open FAR and provide a complete issuance trail, including total ERs claimed and verified, buffer treatment, and a documented response to the monitoring deviation.

Documents Reviewed

- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_01-01-14 to 31-12-15_v1.4.pdf
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_01-01-2014 to 31-12-2015_v1.4.pdf
- GS2457_Monitoring_Report_2018-2020_20211125_FINAL.docx
- T-V5.0-Deviation-Request-Form_GS2457_FINAL_DEV_432_Decision.pdf
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01-01-2016 to 31-12-2017_v2.1.doc
- GS Passport_29-01-2015.pdf
- 20210811_GS2457_ER_calculation_FINAL.xlsx
- PDD_04-09-2015.pdf
- PDD_GS2457_final_v7.0_20210818_Fastenopfer.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-363
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-363
- 2021-11-23 FVR_Improved Jikos_GS2457_revised.pdf
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_01-01-14 to 31-12-15_v1.1.pdf
- Verification Report_3rdMP.pdf
- Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01-01-2016 to 31-12-2016_v1.1.pdf
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_01-01-2014 to 31-12-2015_v1.1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-3F403418 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy