

QUALITY REPORT

Safe Water Systems for Rural Uganda

GS-3746 · Gold Standard · Uganda

Report ID: CM-119B56C4 · Generated: 2026-04-11 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.5

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.2
■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.4
■ Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some solid integrity signals, including a quantified leakage deduction, a recent monitoring period, and no material findings in the monitoring report. However, key items such as VVB identity, verified additionality, and buffer pool coverage are not stated in the extracted record, which limits confidence. Overall, this looks like a moderately credible Gold Standard water project, but not one with especially strong documentation or claim-safety evidence.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-3746
Sector	water
Country	Uganda
Vintage	2023
Project Methodology	Emission Reductions from Safe Drinking Water Supply 1.0
Crediting Period	2022 — 2027
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	5 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-11

Red Flags

- Additionality was not confirmed by the VVB in the extracted record, and the verifier name is not stated.
- Buffer pool coverage is not found, so permanence protection for any reversal risk cannot be checked from the available documents.
- The project is Gold Standard certified, but CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, leaving some claim-channel uncertainty.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.2 / 10

- + The monitoring report states a combined additionality test and reports no material findings or corrective actions required.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly standardized or recently reassessed baseline, and buffer pool coverage is not stated.

The monitoring report shows a combined additionality test, a quantified leakage deduction of 5%, and no material findings or corrective actions required. That supports a moderate integrity score, but the baseline is described only as project-based and the record does not state whether additionality was independently verified by the VVB. Buffer pool coverage is also not found, so permanence protection cannot be fully assessed from the available documents.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

- + The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2022-03-30 to 2023-03-29, and the report includes quantified usage monitoring and leakage treatment.
- The VVB name, total verified emission reductions, and registry claim details are not stated in the extracted record.

Transparency is mixed because the monitoring period is clearly stated and the report includes quantified usage monitoring and leakage treatment. However, the VVB name is not stated, and the extracted record does not provide total verified emission reductions or a complete registry claim trail. The documentation is usable, but not fully complete for public scrutiny.

Claim Safety — 5.4 / 10

- + The report gives a quantified leakage deduction of 5% and a verified usage rate of 94% against a 90% national default FNRB value.
- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, so the public claim boundary is not fully clear.

Claim safety is helped by the quantified 5% leakage deduction and the verified usage rate of 94%, which is close to the 90% national default FNRB value. Even so, the baseline remains project-specific rather than standardized, and the record does not state CORSIA eligibility or CCP status. That leaves some residual over-crediting and claim-channel uncertainty.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

- + Five documents were used, including a monitoring report and stakeholder consultation material, and extraction confidence is high.
- The extracted record still lacks several key fields, including the verifier identity, verified ER total, and buffer pool information.

Documentation quality is moderate: five documents were used, including the monitoring report and stakeholder consultation material, and extraction confidence is high. The report also notes no material findings and no corrective actions required. Still, several important fields are missing from the extracted record, including the verifier identity, verified ER total, and buffer pool details, which limits completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Test present but not VVB-confirmed
● Permanence	Buffer pool not stated
● Leakage	Quantified 5% deduction
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the VVB verification statement and the verifier name, including explicit confirmation of additionality and any review of baseline assumptions.

→ Disclose buffer pool coverage, verified emission reductions, and explicit CORSIA/CCP claim status in the public record.

Documents Reviewed

- GS 11640 11861_MR_1st round SC clean.pdf
- T-PreReview_V2.0-Stakeholder-Consultation-Report_Uganda.pdf
- SPOUTS_VPA 3 DD_v2.1_clean.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-3746
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-3746

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-119B56C4 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-11 · Generated: 2026-04-11
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy