

## QUALITY REPORT

# Safe Water Programme – Nigeria - VPA 82

GS-4331 · GS · Nigeria

Report ID: CM-8FB1ED3C · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

## 5.5

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
■ Transparency (25%)	5.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
■ Documentation (15%)	6.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has some positive quality signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, recent baseline reassessment in 2021, and documented safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, key risk areas are weakly documented: leakage is not addressed, reversal treatment is unclear, and several important figures show contradictions across documents, which reduces confidence in the claimed climate benefits.

## Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-4331
Sector	industrial
Country	Nigeria
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	TPDDTEC 3.1
Crediting Period	2024 — 2029
VVB	Earthood Services Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	12 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

## Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in the extracted record, despite a project type where usage and market leakage can matter.
- The record contains contradictions on leakage justification, usage monitoring, FNRB, and crediting period, which weakens reliability.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 5.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, which supports the project's core eligibility case.

- Leakage is not addressed and reversal events are marked as not addressed, with no buffer pool information found.

The validation report confirms additionality through VVB review, and the baseline method is identified as project-based with a baseline reassessment in 2021. That said, leakage is not addressed, reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage is available, so permanence and leakage robustness are only moderate.

### Transparency — 5.2 / 10

+ The monitoring period is stated for December 2024, and the VVB is named as Earthood Services Private Limited.

- Total ER claims and verification totals are not found in the extracted record, limiting public MRV clarity.

The record identifies Earthood Services Private Limited as the VVB and gives a clear monitoring window for December 2024. But total ER claimed versus verified is not found in the extracted record, and the monitoring method is only described at a high level as an annual survey, which limits transparency.

### Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ The FNRB value is close to the national default, which does not suggest an obvious inflation of non-renewable biomass assumptions.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, and leakage justification is not addressed, increasing over-crediting and dual-claim concern.

The FNRB value is close to the national default, which is a modest positive for claim conservatism. However, leakage justification is not addressed, usage-rate verification is missing, and the project is marked CORSIA-eligible, all of which raise over-crediting and claim-safety concerns.

### Documentation — 6.1 / 10

+ Ten documents were used, including a validation report and stakeholder consultation material, and extraction confidence is medium rather than low.

- The validation report is dated 2022 while the monitoring period is 2024, and several key fields are not stated in available documents.

The evidence base is reasonably broad, with ten documents used and references to a validation report, appendix, and stakeholder consultation. Still, the validation report is older than the monitoring period, several fields are not stated in available documents, and the extraction confidence is only medium, so documentation quality is solid but not strong.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed additionality
● <b>Permanence</b>	No buffer or reversal treatment stated
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage not addressed
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline with reassessment date only
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear leakage assessment with quantified deduction or a documented justification for why leakage is negligible.

→ Publish the verified emission reduction totals, clarify reversal/buffer treatment, and reconcile the conflicting monitoring and crediting-period records.

## Documents Reviewed

- GS11189\_NG\_MP6\_Annual-Report\_1-12-24\_31-12-24.pdf
- GS11189 VPA 74-104(GS12390-12420) Nigeria WPS - Ex-ante ER sheet v3.0 09042024(8).xlsx
- GS.VAL.23.53\_VPA 74 to 104 Inclusion Report\_CL(39).pdf
- GS VPA-DD 12398 Nigeria VPA-82\_ver5.0\_09042024(1).docx
- DEV\_387.pdf
- DEV\_703.pdf
- GS 11189 Design Consultation Report V1.1 22052022.pdf
- GS 11189-Stakeholder-Consultation-Report Final with Annex.pdf
- GS PoA 11189 PoA-DD v5.0 25052022.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-4331
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-4331
- FVR\_PoA Validation\_11189-updated(FB\_round) clean.pdf

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-8FB1ED3C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy