

QUALITY REPORT

Greentech Plastic Offset Credits from Romania: Emission Reductions through Recycling

GS-5099 · Gold Standard · Romania

Report ID: CM-DA79A0D4 · Generated: 2026-04-11 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.3 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.6
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversals, but key baseline and leakage details are missing or weakly documented. Transparency is only moderate because the record contains material contradictions in credited volumes, safeguards, and project dates, and the monitoring evidence is incomplete in places.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-5099
Sector	other
Country	Romania
Vintage	2020
Project Methodology	AMS-III.AJ 6.0
Crediting Period	2017 — 2026
VVB	EPIC Sustainability Services Private Limited
Verified ERs	70,746 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2025
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	28 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-11

Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in a way that supports confidence, with the record showing no quantified deduction and a justification marked as not addressed.
- The extracted record contains multiple contradictions, including different credited emission reduction totals, conflicting safeguard statements, and two different crediting period dates.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.6 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a first-of-its-kind test under the Gold Standard verification report.

- Baseline method is not stated in the extracted record, and leakage treatment is weak because the justification is marked as not addressed.

The verification report confirms additionality through a first-of-its-kind test and names EPIC Sustainability Services Private Limited as the VVB. However, the baseline method is not found in the extracted record, leakage is marked as not addressed, and the report asks for additional supporting documents, all of which weaken confidence in the underlying crediting logic. No reversal events are reported, which helps permanence for an avoidance project, but the overall integrity case remains only moderate.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

+ The monitoring period is stated, the VVB is named, and the verification report provides a quantified emission reduction figure.

- Several core items are inconsistent across documents, including credited emission reductions, safeguards, and the crediting period, which reduces trust in the record.

The record includes a monitoring period, a named VVB, and a verified emission reduction total from the verification report. At the same time, the extracted facts contain major inconsistencies: one set of documents shows 70,746 verified reductions while another shows 106,625, and claimed reductions also differ between 64,288 and 124,701. Safeguards and benefit-sharing are described in the verification report, but the monitoring report is cited in the contradictions as saying otherwise, which lowers transparency materially.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

+ The project is an avoidance activity under Gold Standard, which is generally safer than removal claims, and no reversal events are reported.

- The record does not show a clear leakage deduction or a stated baseline method, and the contradictions in credited volumes raise over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is weakened by the absence of a stated baseline method and the lack of a quantified leakage deduction. The project is an avoidance project under Gold Standard, and no reversal events are reported, but the contradictory emission reduction figures create a meaningful over-crediting concern. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, so there is no strong external claim-safety signal either way.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

+ The file set is fairly broad, with 28 documents used and both verification and monitoring materials available.

- The verification report includes corrective actions requesting missing supporting documents, and extraction confidence is only medium.

The documentation set is reasonably broad, with 28 documents used and both monitoring and verification materials present. Still, the verification report notes that estimated emission reduction is not calculated in the monitoring report and requests SFR documents and follow-up emails, indicating incomplete support. Extraction confidence is medium rather than high, so the documentation quality is acceptable but not strong.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed test
● Permanence	No reversals reported
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	Partially documented
● Double-claim	Status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide a clear baseline description and a quantified leakage assessment, including any deduction and supporting rationale.
- Resolve the contradictory emission reduction, safeguard, and crediting-period figures with a single reconciled document trail.

Documents Reviewed

- SDG 13_CO2 Savings_01Jan2021-30Sept2023_07.05.2024.xlsx
- 2023 Annual Report Greentech Plastic Recycling CO2 Saving Project_final.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_01Jan2021-30Sept2023_v3.1_30.08.2024_clean.pdf
- Greentech SDG13_CO2 Savings MR02_2018-2020.xlsx
- Greentech_Deviation-Request_30.05.2023_DEV_COVID_360_decision(1).pdf
- 2020 Greentech Annual Report.pdf
- 2023 Annual Report Greentech Plastic Recycling CO2 Saving Project_20.12.2024.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_01Oct2023-28Feb2025_30.01.2026_version 1.4_Clean.pdf
- 2021 Greentech Plastic Recycling Project Annual Report_12Dec2022.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_01Jan2019-31Dec2020_17.08.2023_Clean.pdf
- REC_DEV_360_COVID .pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_01Jan2021-30Sept2023_v2_06.12.2023.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_MR02_01Feb2018-31Dec2020.pdf
- Greentech Monitoring Report_MR02_01Feb2018-31Dec2020_11.04.2023(1).pdf
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_01.02.2016 to 31.01.2018_v2.pdf
- 2022 Annual Report Greentech Plastic Recycling Project_20Dec2023.pdf
- Greentech SDG13_CO2 Savings_01Jan2019_31Dec2020_MR02_17Aug2023.xlsx
- GS4GG Transition Annex_Greentech_07.04.2021_final_clean.pdf
- SDG 13_CO2 savings_01Oct2023-28Feb2025_26.06.2025.xlsx
- GS Passport_15.02.2019_v4.pdf
- PDD_15.02.2019_v4.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1640
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1640
- GS_FVER_REP_Greentech_23BGMD06_3.0Aa_11092024.pdf
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_01.02.2016 to 31.01.2018_v1.pdf
- FVR_GS_FVER_REP_Greentech_25BGCE594_Rev04.0Aa_13022026_.pdf
- Verification report_Greentech_12Jan2023_MR02.pdf
- 25 Aug 2023_Verification report_Greentech_clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-DA79A0D4 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-11 · Generated: 2026-04-11
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy