

QUALITY REPORT

GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso - VPA-07 - tiipaalga F3PA cookstoves in Bam and Loroum

GS-619 · GS · Burkina Faso

Report ID: CM-FBE10E36 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.6Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.1
■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive quality signals: the VVB confirmed additionality, leakage was quantified, and recent verification work found no material findings. However, key baseline and permanence details are incomplete, reversal risk is not addressed, and several contradictions in the extracted documents reduce confidence in the reported figures. Overall, this looks like a moderate-quality cookstove project with meaningful documentation gaps and some reliability concerns.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-619
Sector	industrial
Country	Burkina Faso
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves v1.0
Crediting Period	2015 — 2025
VVB	SustainCERT
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- Reversal risk is not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is stated.
- Several contradictions exist across documents, including leakage deduction, usage rate, safeguards, and crediting period.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the verification report, which supports the project's core eligibility claim.
- Reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage is stated, which weakens permanence confidence.

The verification report by SustainCERT confirms additionality, which is a meaningful positive for integrity. The monitoring record shows a quantified leakage deduction of 0.95% and a project-specific baseline, but reversal events are marked as not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is available, leaving permanence weaker than ideal. The absence of a baseline reassessment date also limits confidence in long-term baseline validity.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

- + The verification report from SustainCERT and a defined 2022 monitoring period provide some traceability for the latest assessment.
- Total emission reductions claimed versus verified are not stated, and the extracted record shows contradictions in usage rate, leakage, and safeguards.

Transparency is mixed because the latest verification report is dated 2024-05-29 and the monitoring period is clearly stated for 2022, but the extracted record does not provide total emission reductions claimed versus verified. The project has identifiable documentation sources, yet the record also contains conflicting values across documents for usage rate, leakage deduction, safeguards, and crediting period. Those inconsistencies reduce the clarity and auditability of the public record.

Claim Safety — 5.1 / 10

- + Leakage was quantified, and the monitoring record reports a leakage deduction rather than leaving it unaddressed.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized or recently reassessed, and the project is marked CORSIA-eligible, which keeps dual-claim risk relevant.

Claim safety is moderate at best. The project uses a project baseline and reports a quantified leakage deduction, but the baseline is not described as jurisdictional or recently reassessed, and the extracted record shows a contradiction in the leakage deduction between 0.95% and 5%. The project is also marked CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is not stated, so dual-channel claim risk is not fully resolved.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

- + Multiple evidence documents were used, including a verification report and monitoring report, and extraction confidence was medium rather than low.
- Some key fields are not found in the extracted record, including buffer pool coverage and verified versus claimed ER totals.

Documentation quality is decent because the record draws on multiple official documents, including a verification report, monitoring report, and PDD-related material, with medium extraction confidence. The VVB is named as SustainCERT, and the latest verification report reports no material findings and no corrective actions required. Still, several important items are not found in the extracted record, including buffer pool coverage and verified versus claimed ER totals, which limits completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No reversal treatment stated
● Leakage	Quantified but inconsistent leakage
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible, CCP not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a reconciled emissions table showing claimed versus verified reductions and explain all document discrepancies.

→ Add explicit permanence treatment, including buffer pool coverage or a clear rationale for why reversal risk is negligible.

Documents Reviewed

- T-PerfCert_V1.1- MR_GS1340_GS2456_GS3516-GS3524_MP8_v4(16).pdf
- GS 1340 - VPA 01-10 - ER_MP6_v3.0 (1).xlsx
- (2)Monitoring Report_3rd monitoring period_01.01.2017 to 31.12.2017_v3.pdf
- (2)Monitoring Report_4th monitoring period_01.01.2018 to 31.12.2018_v1.pdf
- GS 1340 - VPA 01-10 - ER_MP7_v1.0(1)(4).xlsx
- (2)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_14.02.2015 to 31.12.2015_v2.pdf
- GS 1340 - VPA 01-10 - ER_MP8_v2.0(16).xlsx
- T-PerfCert_V1.1- MR_GS1340_GS2456_GS3516-GS3524_MP6_v3.0_clean (1).pdf
- T-PerfCert_V1.1- MR_GS1340_GS2456_GS3516-GS3524_MP7_v2.0_clean(5).pdf
- Monitoring Report_5th monitoring period_01.01.2019 to 31.12.2019_v1.pdf
- GS1340_POA-DD_CP2_v8.0_clean.pdf
- PDD_09.12.2014_v3.pdf
- (1)PDD_29-10-2015.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-619
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-619
- T-V5.0-Deviation-Request-Form_PoA GS1340 Verification Vintage 2022_v3.0_SC_FINAL.pdf
- CCIPL 1325 FVR V.5.0 Clean.pdf
- (2)Verification Report_4th monitoring period_01.01.2018 to 31.12.2018.pdf
- CCIPL1777_FVR_v1_26072023(5).pdf
- 28052024_Verification-Report_GS2456 GS3516-24-MP8-PoA_GS1340-Burkina-Faso.docx(16).pdf
- (2)Verification Report_3rd monitoring period_01.01.2017 to 31.12.2017.pdf
- GS1340_GS2456_GS3516-24_6th M.P_Verification Report.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-FBE10E36 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy