

QUALITY REPORT

GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso - VPA-09 - tiipaalga F3PA cookstoves in Bam and Loroum

GS-636 · GS · Burkina Faso

Report ID: CM-712E0775 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.7Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.2
■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a reasonably documented cookstove project with VVB verification, a quantified leakage deduction, and no material findings reported in the verification report. However, several key values are inconsistent across documents, and some important items such as buffer pool coverage and total verified emissions reductions are not stated in the extracted record, which limits confidence in the claim quality.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-636
Sector	industrial
Country	Burkina Faso
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves v1.0
Crediting Period	2015 — 2025
VVB	SustainCERT
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The record contains multiple contradictions on usage rate, leakage deduction, FNRB, safeguards, and crediting period, which weakens reliability.
- Buffer pool coverage and total verified versus claimed emission reductions are not stated in the extracted documents.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the verification report, and the project uses the Gold Standard simplified cookstove methodology.

- Permanence controls are incomplete in the extracted record because buffer pool coverage is not stated and reversal events are marked as not addressed.

The verification report from SustainCERT confirms additionality, and the project applies the Gold Standard simplified methodology for efficient cookstoves. Leakage is addressed through a quantified 5% deduction, and no material findings or corrective actions were reported. On the negative side, reversal risk is not clearly managed in the extracted record because reversal events are noted as not addressed and buffer pool coverage is not stated.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

+ The project has a named verifier, SustainCERT, and a defined monitoring period for 2022 in the verification report.

- The extracted record does not state total claimed or verified emission reductions, and the monitoring evidence shows several conflicting values across documents.

Transparency is moderate because the project has a named VVB and a clear 2022 monitoring period in the verification report. The record also shows annual survey-based usage monitoring, which is a concrete MRV approach. However, total claimed and verified emission reductions are not stated, and the extracted facts contain several cross-document contradictions that reduce clarity.

Claim Safety — 5.2 / 10

+ Leakage is quantified with a 5% deduction, which is better than an unquantified or ignored leakage treatment.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is not stated, and the record contains contradictions on usage rate, FNRB, and crediting period.

Claim safety is weakened by the contradictions in usage rate, leakage deduction, FNRB, safeguards, and crediting period. I privileged the values in the 2024 verification report for safeguards and the most recent verified record for additionality, but the older monitoring-report values still create reliability concerns. The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is not stated, so dual-channel claim risk is not fully resolved.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

+ The record draws on multiple document types, including the PDD, monitoring report, and verification report, with 20 documents used.

- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the record includes contradictions plus some missing core fields such as buffer pool coverage.

Documentation is fair but not strong: the record includes the PDD, monitoring report, and verification report, and 20 documents were used. The extraction confidence is medium rather than high, and several important fields are missing or inconsistent, including buffer pool coverage and the emissions reduction totals. The crediting period also appears inconsistent across documents, which further limits documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	buffer pool not stated; reversal risk unclear
● Leakage	quantified 5% leakage deduction
● Baseline	project baseline with reassessment timing available
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear reconciliation of the contradictory values for usage rate, leakage deduction, FNRB, safeguards, and crediting period, with document precedence explained.

→ State buffer pool coverage and provide the total claimed versus verified emission reductions for the monitoring period.

Documents Reviewed

- (2)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_02.02.2015 to 31.12.2015_v2.pdf
- GS 1340 - VPA 01-10 - ER_MP8_v2.0(18).xlsx
- GS 1340 - VPA 01-10 - ER_MP6_v3.0 (1).xlsx
- T-PerfCert_V1.1- MR_GS1340_GS2456_GS3516-GS3524_MP8_v4(18).pdf
- Monitoring Report_5th monitoring period_01.01.2019 to 31.12.2019_v1.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V1.1- MR_GS1340_GS2456_GS3516-GS3524_MP7_v1.0(1).pdf
- (2)Monitoring Report_4th monitoring period_01.01.2018 to 31.12.2018_v1.pdf
- (2)Monitoring Report_3rd monitoring period_01.01.2017 to 31.12.2017_v3.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V1.1- MR_GS1340_GS2456_GS3516-GS3524_MP6_v3.0_clean (1).pdf
- GS 1340 - VPA 01-10 - ER_MP7_v1.0(1)(9).xlsx
- GS1340_POA-DD_CP2_v8.0_clean.pdf
- (1)PDD_29-10-2015.pdf
- PDD_09.12.2014_v3.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-636
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-636
- CCIPL1777_FVR_v1_26072023 (1)(1).pdf
- (2)Verification Report_4th monitoring period_01.01.2018 to 31.12.2018.pdf
- 28052024_Verification-Report_GS2456_GS3516-24-MP8-PoA_GS1340-Burkina-Faso.docx(18).pdf
- T-V5.0-Deviation-Request-Form_PoA GS1340 Verification Vintage 2022_v3.0_SC_FINAL.pdf
- CCIPL 1325 FVR V.5.0 Clean.pdf
- (2)Verification Report_3rd monitoring period_01.01.2017 to 31.12.2017.pdf
- GS1340_GS2456_GS3516-24_6th M.P_Verification Report.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-712E0775 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy