

QUALITY REPORT

Native Clean Water Programme South East Asia: NE_VPA 01_IND

GS-685 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-1B2D02F3 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.9
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive safeguards and a VVB-reviewed verification report, but key evidence gaps remain around additionality, permanence, and baseline robustness. The record also contains several document-level contradictions, which lowers confidence in the claimed reductions and the reliability of the documentation.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-685
Sector	industrial
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	TPDDTEC v1.0
Crediting Period	2019 — 2029
VVB	SustainCERT
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	19 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- No additionality test type is stated in the extracted record, and VVB confirmation of additionality is not found.
- Reversal handling is unclear: one document says reversal events were not addressed, while an earlier source reportedly said they were reported.
- Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction, but the justification is thin and earlier material reportedly did not address leakage.
- The project uses a project-specific baseline and the baseline reassessment timing is not stated.
- Contradictions exist on usage monitoring, usage rate, and crediting period across documents.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

~ Additionality is not clearly evidenced in the extracted record, although the project uses the TPDDTEC methodology and was verified by SustainCERT.

- Reversal risk is weakly handled: the verification report says reversal events were not addressed, and no buffer pool is stated.

The project is verified by SustainCERT under the TPDDTEC methodology, and the verification report reports no material findings or corrective actions. However, no additionality test type is stated in the extracted record, the baseline is project-specific, and the baseline reassessment date is not found in available documents. Permanence is also weaker because reversal events are described as not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage is stated.

Transparency — 5.6 / 10

+ The verification report is from SustainCERT and the monitoring period is clearly stated as 2022-05-01 to 2023-04-30.

- Total ER claimed and verified are not found in the extracted record, and several cross-document contradictions reduce reporting clarity.

The monitoring period is clearly stated, and the verification report provides some structured MRV information, including annual survey-based usage monitoring. That said, total ER claimed and verified are not found in the extracted record, and the record contains contradictions on usage monitoring method and usage rate across documents, which weakens transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.9 / 10

~ Leakage is deducted at 0% and described as negligible, but the justification is brief and earlier documentation reportedly did not address leakage.

- The baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized or recently reassessed, and the FNRB value is based on a national default.

Claim safety is mixed because leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction, but the justification is limited and earlier documentation reportedly did not address leakage. The project also relies on a project-specific baseline and a national-default FNRB approach, which does not strongly support conservative crediting. The usage rate is shown as fully verified in the extracted record, but conflicting historical values reduce confidence in the claim.

Documentation — 5.2 / 10

+ The record includes multiple official documents, with 17 documents used and no material findings or corrective actions reported in the verification report.

- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the record contains several contradictions on reversal events, usage monitoring, usage rate, leakage treatment, and crediting period.

Documentation quality is moderate: the record draws on 17 documents, includes a named VVB, and reports no material findings or corrective actions in the verification report. However, extraction confidence is only medium, and several contradictions remain unresolved, including reversal events, usage monitoring method, usage rate, leakage treatment, and crediting period. The crediting period is also not fully aligned across sources, which further reduces documentation reliability.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No clear test stated
● Permanence	Reversal risk not addressed
● Leakage	0% deduction, thin justification
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	Registry status not clearly excluding dual claims

What Would Improve This Score

→ State and document the additionality test used, with explicit VVB confirmation in the verification materials.

→ Provide a clear reversal management approach, including buffer pool treatment or a formal explanation of why reversal risk is not material, and reconcile the conflicting monitoring and crediting-period records.

Documents Reviewed

- GS3964-ER-Calculations-2020-2022(1)(1).xlsx
- GS3964 T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report_V3(1)(1).pdf
- GS3964 T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report_V3(1)(1)(1).pdf
- GS 3698 PoA Design Consultation Report_January.2015.doc
- GS 3698- 101.5-Transition Annex Clean.pdf
- GS3964 VPA-DD_09.10.19_v5.pdf
- GS3698 VPA-DD_11.04.19_v4.doc
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-685
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-685
- GS3964-ER-Calculations-2020-2022(2).xlsx
- Final-Verification-Report-GS3964-MP2-MP3-POA-GSGS3698(1)(1).pdf
- GS3964 T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report_V3(1).pdf
- Final-Verification-Report-GS3964-MP2-MP3-POA-GSGS3698.pdf
- GS3964_T-PerfCert_V1.2-Microscale-Verification-Appraisal-Report.pdf
- GS 3964 T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-2023_signed.pdf
- GS 3964 T-PerfCert_V1.2-Annual-Report (1) (1).pdf
- (1)GS 3964 T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report Clean.pdf
- GS3964 T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report_V3(1)(2).pdf
- Final-Verification-Report-GS3964-MP2-MP3-POA-GSGS3698(1).pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-1B2D02F3 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy