

QUALITY REPORT

Akbuk Wind Farm Project, Turkey

GS-741 · Gold Standard · Turkey

Report ID: CM-955E7D63 · Generated: 2026-04-11 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.2 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.9
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.6
	■ Documentation (15%)	6.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a renewable energy avoidance project with some solid quality signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a quantified leakage treatment, and no reported material findings. However, the record contains several contradictions across documents, and the verified emissions figures and crediting-period dates do not align cleanly, which reduces confidence in the claim strength.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-741
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	2021, 2022
Project Methodology	ACM0002 07
Crediting Period	2009 — 2016
VVB	RINA Services S.p.A.
Verified ERs	94,543 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-11

Red Flags

- The verified emissions total differs across documents, with a later record showing 94,543 while an older monitoring report shows 65,266.
- The crediting-period dates conflict between the verification report and the monitoring report, creating uncertainty about which issuance period is being represented.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a combined additionality test under ACM0002.
- The crediting-period dates conflict between the verification report and the monitoring report, and the leakage rationale changed from being described as negligible to quantified.

The verification report names RINA Services S.p.A. as the VVB and confirms additionality through a combined test, which supports the project's core integrity. The project also uses ACM0002 with a project baseline and reports no material findings or corrective actions required. Still, the record shows a shift in leakage description from negligible in an earlier verification report to quantified in the later one, and the crediting-period dates conflict across documents, which weakens reliability.

Transparency — 5.9 / 10

- + The monitoring period is stated, the VVB is named as RINA Services S.p.A., and the project is on the Gold Standard registry.
- The verified emissions total is inconsistent across documents, and key monitoring details such as usage monitoring are not stated in the extracted record.

The monitoring period is clearly stated, the registry is Gold Standard, and the VVB is identified, which helps transparency. At the same time, the extracted record does not state usage monitoring details, and the verified emissions total differs between documents. That inconsistency makes it harder to trace the exact issuance basis from the available record.

Claim Safety — 5.6 / 10

- + The project is an avoidance-type wind project with a project baseline and a quantified leakage deduction of 0%.
- The verified emissions figures conflict across documents, and CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, leaving residual claim-risk uncertainty.

As a wind avoidance project, the baseline is project-based and leakage is treated with a 0% deduction, which is a positive sign for over-crediting risk. However, the verified emissions amount is inconsistent across documents, and the record does not state CORSIA eligibility or CCP status. Because those claim-channel indicators are missing, the project cannot be treated as low-risk for all market uses.

Documentation — 6.4 / 10

- + Multiple official documents were used, including validation, monitoring, verification, PDD, and appendix materials, with high extraction confidence.
- The record still has several unresolved contradictions, and the crediting-period information appears inconsistent across documents.

The evidence base is reasonably broad, with validation, monitoring, verification, PDD, and appendix documents all listed, and extraction confidence is high. The project also has documented safeguards such as FPIC, a grievance mechanism, and benefit-sharing language. Even so, the contradictions around emissions totals and crediting-period dates show that the documentation set is not fully internally consistent.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	Avoidance project; no reversal events reported
● Leakage	Leakage quantified at 0%
● Baseline	Project baseline stated, reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA and CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a reconciled issuance table that explains the emissions-total discrepancy and ties each value to a specific monitoring or verification period.

→ Publish explicit statements on CORSIA eligibility, CCP status, and any baseline reassessment history to reduce claim and documentation uncertainty.

Documents Reviewed

- (1)Monitoring Report_3rd monitoring period_01-04-2011 to 31-12-2011_v4.pdf
- GS436-Akbuk_WFP-Annual_Report-2023.pdf
- AkbukWFP_MR_v4_clean_24112023.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_19-03-2009 to 31-03-2010_v1.1.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01-04-2010 to 31-03-2011_v2.pdf
- GS436-Akbuk_WFP-Annual_Report-2021.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_4th monitoring period_01-01-2012 to 31-12-2012_v3.pdf
- Final_Val Report_623 Akbuk wind_Seda Atabek_v04_28-07-2022.pdf
- Final_ER Calculations_623 Akbuk wind_v5_26072022.xlsx
- Akbük WPP_Transition-Annex_v3_20200709_clean.docx
- V1.2-Project-Design-Document_v10_18082022.pdf
- (1)PDD_09-11-2015.pdf
- PDD_Akbük_WFP_v15_14022024_clean.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-741
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-741
- (1)Verification Report_3rd monitoring period_01-04-2011 to 31-12-2011_v2.pdf
- GS.VAL.22.65 Akbuk_FVR_CL.pdf
- FVR_GS.VAL(VER).22.65_v1.3_Akbuk WFP.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_19-03-2009 to 31-03-2010_v2.pdf
- Validation Report 18.12.2008_rev1.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01-04-2010 to 31-03-2011_v1.1.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_4th monitoring period_01-01-2012 to 31-12-2012_v1.2.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-955E7D63 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-11 · Generated: 2026-04-11

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy