

QUALITY REPORT

VPA 24 - GHG Emission Reduction through use of Bondhu Chula (Improved Cook Stoves) in Bangladesh

GS-763 · GS · Bangladesh

Report ID: CM-5B325DD2 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.3Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.4
■ Transparency (25%)	6.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	6.0
■ Documentation (15%)	6.7

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This project has some solid quality signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a high verified usage rate, and documented safeguards. However, the evidence also shows material data inconsistencies in the verification report, a project-specific baseline, and limited visibility into leakage and permanence treatment beyond a deemed-negligible statement.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-763
Sector	industrial
Country	Bangladesh
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves version 1.0
Crediting Period	2014 — 2034
VVB	TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The verification report lists multiple inconsistencies in survey and installation records, including missing mobile numbers and mismatched respondent names.
- Leakage treatment is only described as deemed negligible, with no quantified deduction, and reversal handling is not addressed.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.4 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the monitoring approach reports a very high verified usage rate of 99.1% using annual surveys.

- The baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly reassessed standardized baseline, and reversal risk is not addressed in the extracted record.

The verification report confirms additionality through the VVB, which is a strong positive for project integrity. The monitoring evidence also shows a verified usage rate of 99.1% against an assumed 99%, suggesting limited usage inflation. On the negative side, the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly reassessed, leakage is only described as deemed negligible, and reversal events are not addressed in the extracted record.

Transparency — 6.1 / 10

+ The project has a named verifier, a defined monitoring period from March 2020 to February 2022, and public registry context under Gold Standard.

- The extracted record does not provide verified versus claimed emission reduction totals, and the verification report records several data inconsistencies.

The project has a named verifier, a clear monitoring period, and a Gold Standard registry context, which supports basic transparency. However, the extracted record does not include claimed versus verified emission reduction totals, limiting independent cross-checking. The verification report also records multiple inconsistencies in respondent names, missing mobile numbers, and survey-to-spreadsheet mismatches, which weaken confidence in MRV completeness.

Claim Safety — 6.0 / 10

+ The verified usage rate of 99.1% is close to the assumed 99%, which reduces concern about usage overstatement.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible and CCP-eligible, which keeps dual-claim risk from being fully excluded.

Claim safety is helped by the near-match between assumed and verified usage rates, which reduces the risk of major over-crediting from stove use assumptions. Still, the project is marked CORSIA-eligible and CCP-eligible, so dual-market claim risk is not fully excluded. Leakage is treated as negligible, but the lack of a quantified deduction and the project-specific baseline keep residual over-crediting risk above low.

Documentation — 6.7 / 10

+ The record draws on multiple official documents, including a verification report, monitoring report, validation report, and PDD, with 20 documents used overall.

- One key document was only extracted with medium confidence, and the verification report itself notes several record-keeping inconsistencies.

Documentation breadth is reasonably strong because the extracted record references a verification report, monitoring report, validation report, PDD, appendix material, and 20 documents used overall. The monitoring period is recent relative to the long crediting period, but one key document had only medium extraction confidence. The verification report also lists corrective actions and data issues, which suggests the documentation is usable but not clean.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	reversal risk not addressed
● Leakage	negligible but unquantified
● Baseline	project-specific baseline
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	eligible status leaves dual-claim risk

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear reconciliation of claimed versus verified emission reductions, including the source of any differences.

→ Provide a quantified leakage treatment and explicit permanence/reversal management, including buffer or equivalent safeguards if applicable.

Documents Reviewed

- GS 3112 MP5 ER calculator v4.0 18102023.xlsx
- GS 3112 MP3 ER calculator v 4.0 26092022.xlsx
- GS3112 MP4 MR v 4.0 15052023_Clean.pdf
- GS 3112 - VPA01-36 MP3 Monitoring Report v5.0 26092022_Clean.pdf
- GS3112 MP5 Monitoring Report v4.0 18102023_Clean.pdf
- T-V3.0-Deviation-Request-form_GS Micro scale PoA GS 3112_GSdecision(2).pdf
- GS 3112 SDG-Impact-Tool.xlsx
- GS Micro scale PoA GS 3112_PoA Renewal Deviation_SC_FINAL.pdf
- GS 3112 Transition-Annex- v 3.0 13012022.docx
- GS 3112 PoA-DD ver 6.0 08122025_clean.pdf
- PDD_03-09_2015.docx
- PDD_01.20.2015_v4.docx
- GS 3112 PoA renewal PoA-DD v3.0 09092022.docx
- PDD_13-01_2016.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-763
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-763
- GS 3112 Final Verification Report v2.0_Clean.pdf
- 22-094_FVerR-GSPoA3112_ 15-06-2023_Clean.pdf
- FVerR-GSPoA3112_31-10-2023.pdf
- CCIPL 2863 PoA FVR_Clean.pdf
- GS3112 Emission Reductions_Not Applicable.xlsx
- CCIPL 1323_Renewal PoA_FVR_clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-5B325DD2 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy