

QUALITY REPORT

VPA 30 - GHG Emission Reduction through use of Bondhu Chula (Improved Cook Stoves) in Bangladesh

GS-769 · GS · Bangladesh

Report ID: CM-31E9038F · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.4Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.6
■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a reasonably documented improved cookstove project with VVB-confirmed additionality, a clear project baseline, and safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, the verification report lists multiple corrective actions and unresolved data-quality issues in survey records, and the evidence on leakage, permanence, and double-claim risk is incomplete or mixed.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-769
Sector	industrial
Country	Bangladesh
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves version 1.0
Crediting Period	2014 — 2034
VVB	TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The verification report lists four corrective actions and several findings, including inconsistent respondent names and missing mobile numbers in survey records.
- Leakage treatment is only described as negligible, while permanence and double-claim risk are not fully evidenced in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.6 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses the Gold Standard simplified methodology for efficient cookstoves.

- The verification report records multiple findings and four corrective actions, including inconsistent survey identities and missing respondent contact data.

The verification report confirms additionality through the VVB and identifies the Gold Standard simplified cookstove methodology, which supports the project's basic integrity case. At the same time, the report lists four corrective actions and several findings, including inconsistent respondent names and missing mobile numbers in survey records, which lowers confidence in the underlying MRV quality. Leakage is only described as negligible, and no buffer pool or reversal history is provided in the extracted record, so permanence and leakage robustness remain only partially evidenced.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

+ The monitoring period, VVB name, and usage monitoring approach are stated in the verification and monitoring records.

- Total claimed versus verified emission reductions are not stated in the extracted record, limiting traceability of the final issuance outcome.

The extracted record provides a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and an annual survey-based usage monitoring method, which helps transparency. However, total emission reductions claimed versus verified are not stated, and the record does not provide a complete registry-style issuance trail. The evidence base is broader than a single document, but the documentation still leaves important gaps in public traceability.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ The verified usage rate of 99.1% is close to the assumed 99.0%, which reduces concern about usage overstatement.

- Leakage is only described as negligible, and the record does not clearly resolve CORSIA or CCP status, leaving residual claim-risk uncertainty.

The verified usage rate of 99.1% is very close to the assumed 99.0%, which is a positive sign for over-crediting risk. Even so, the baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly reassessed standardized baseline, and leakage is only treated as negligible without a quantified deduction. CORSIA eligibility is not stated and CCP status is only noted as not mentioned, so dual-claim risk cannot be ruled out from the extracted record alone.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

+ Multiple document types were used, including the PDD, monitoring report, verification report, and appendix, and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low.

- The verification report still notes unresolved documentation issues in survey forms and monitoring summaries, which weakens record quality.

The record draws on several official sources, including the PDD, monitoring report, verification report, and appendix, and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low. The crediting period is long and the monitoring period is recent, but the verification report still contains multiple findings and requested documentary evidence. Overall, the documentation is usable but not clean, with enough gaps and corrections to keep the score below a strong level.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No reversal evidence, but buffer not stated
● Leakage	Leakage called negligible, but not quantified
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing unclear
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not clearly resolved

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete issuance trail with total emission reductions claimed and verified, plus registry evidence on retirement or issuance status.

→ Document quantified leakage treatment, buffer or reversal provisions, and a clearer statement on CORSIA and CCP eligibility.

Documents Reviewed

- GS 3112 MP5 ER calculator v4.0 18102023.xlsx
- GS 3112 MP3 ER calculator v 4.0 26092022.xlsx
- GS3112 MP4 MR v 4.0 15052023_Clean.pdf
- GS 3112 - VPA01-36 MP3 Monitoring Report v5.0 26092022_Clean.pdf
- GS3112 MP5 Monitoring Report v4.0 18102023_Clean.pdf
- T-V3.0-Deviation-Request-form_GS Micro scale PoA GS 3112_GSdecision(2).pdf
- GS 3112 SDG-Impact-Tool.xlsx
- GS Micro scale PoA GS 3112_PoA Renewal Deviation_SC_FINAL.pdf
- GS 3112 Transition-Annex- v 3.0 13012022.docx
- GS 3112 PoA-DD ver 6.0 08122025_clean.pdf
- PDD_01.20.2015_v4.docx
- PDD_06-09-2015.docx
- PDD_13-01-2016.docx
- GS 3112 PoA renewal PoA-DD v3.0 09092022.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-769
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-769
- GS 3112 Final Verification Report v2.0_Clean.pdf
- 22-094_FVerR-GSPoA3112_ 15-06-2023_Clean.pdf
- FVerR-GSPoA3112_31-10-2023.pdf
- CCIPL 2863 PoA FVR_Clean.pdf
- GS3112 Emission Reductions_Not Applicable.xlsx
- CCIPL 1323_Renewal PoA_FVR_clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-31E9038F · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy