

## QUALITY REPORT

# VPA 31 - GHG Emission Reduction through use of Bondhu Chula (Improved Cook Stoves) in Bangladesh

GS-770 · GS · Bangladesh

Report ID: CM-1969E832 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

**6.0**Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.4
■ Transparency (25%)	5.8
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.6
■ Documentation (15%)	6.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has some positive quality signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a stated leakage rationale, and documented safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, the evidence base is weakened by multiple monitoring inconsistencies, missing quantified registry/issuance data, and a crediting-period contradiction between the PDD and the verification report.

## Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-770
Sector	industrial
Country	Bangladesh
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves version 1.0
Crediting Period	2014 — 2034
VVB	TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

## Red Flags

- The verification report lists 05 corrective action requests and 01 clarification request, plus several data inconsistencies in respondent records and survey documentation.
- The crediting period differs between the PDD and the verification report, and the monitoring report's leakage treatment is contradicted by the later verification report.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 6.4 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, which supports the project's baseline and counterfactual case.
- The verification report records multiple corrective action requests and unresolved documentation inconsistencies, and reversal risk is not addressed.

The verification report confirms additionality through the VVB, which is a meaningful positive for integrity. On the other hand, the report lists 05 corrective action requests and 01 clarification request, and it also notes several inconsistencies in survey records and respondent identifiers, which weakens confidence in the monitoring controls. Reversal risk is not addressed, and no buffer pool information is available in the extracted record.

### Transparency — 5.8 / 10

- + The monitoring period is clearly stated, and the verification report identifies the VVB as TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program.
- Claimed versus verified emission reductions are not stated in the extracted record, and the monitoring evidence includes missing respondent identifiers and inconsistent survey fields.

Transparency is moderate because the monitoring period and VVB are clearly identified, and the monitoring approach uses annual surveys. However, the extracted record does not state total claimed or verified emission reductions, and the verification report requests additional evidence such as sales statements, warranty certificates, customer agreements, and photos. The missing and inconsistent respondent data further reduces clarity and auditability.

### Claim Safety — 5.6 / 10

- + The verification report says leakage is deemed negligible, and the project's usage rate was verified at 95% versus an assumed 99%.
- The project uses a project-specific baseline rather than a more robust standardized baseline, and the CCP-eligible status raises dual-claim caution.

Claim safety is mixed. The verification report says leakage is deemed negligible, and the verified usage rate of 95% is close to the assumed 99%, which is supportive. But the baseline is project-specific rather than standardized, and the project is marked CCP-eligible, which keeps dual-claim and over-crediting concerns elevated even though no direct double-registration evidence is shown.

### Documentation — 6.1 / 10

- + Twenty documents were used, and the extraction confidence is high.
- The verification report still requests additional documentary evidence, and the crediting period conflicts with the PDD's shorter period.

Documentation quality is fair but not strong. The record shows 20 documents used and high extraction confidence, which helps, and the verification report is relatively recent. Still, the report itself asks for several missing supporting documents, and the crediting period in the verification report conflicts with the PDD's earlier period, indicating documentation inconsistency across sources.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed additionality
● <b>Permanence</b>	No reversal treatment stated
● <b>Leakage</b>	Negligible leakage claimed
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CCP-eligible status

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the verified and claimed emission reduction totals, along with a complete issuance trail and registry references.

→ Resolve the documentation inconsistencies by providing the missing survey evidence, explaining the corrective actions, and clarifying the crediting-period discrepancy.

## Documents Reviewed

- GS 3112 MP5 ER calculator v4.0 18102023.xlsx
- GS 3112 MP3 ER calculator v 4.0 26092022.xlsx
- GS3112 MP4 MR v 4.0 15052023\_Clean.pdf
- GS 3112 - VPA01-36 MP3 Monitoring Report v5.0 26092022\_Clean.pdf
- GS3112 MP5 Monitoring Report v4.0 18102023\_Clean.pdf
- T-V3.0-Deviation-Request-form\_GS Micro scale PoA GS 3112\_GSdecision(2).pdf
- GS 3112 SDG-Impact-Tool.xlsx
- GS Micro scale PoA GS 3112\_PoA Renewal Deviation\_SC\_FINAL.pdf
- GS 3112 Transition-Annex- v 3.0 13012022.docx
- GS 3112 PoA-DD ver 6.0 08122025\_clean.pdf
- PDD\_01.20.2015\_v4.docx
- PDD\_06-09-2015.docx
- PDD\_13-01-2016.docx
- GS 3112 PoA renewal PoA-DD v3.0 09092022.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-770
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-770
- GS 3112 Final Verification Report v2.0\_Clean.pdf
- 22-094\_FVerR-GSPoA3112\_ 15-06-2023\_Clean.pdf
- FVerR-GSPoA3112\_31-10-2023.pdf
- CCIPL 2863 PoA FVR\_Clean.pdf
- GS3112 Emission Reductions\_Not Applicable.xlsx
- CCIPL 1323\_Renewal PoA\_FVR\_clean.pdf

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-1969E832 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)