

QUALITY REPORT

VPA 35 - GHG Emission Reduction through use of Bondhu Chula (Improved Cook Stoves) in Bangladesh

GS-775 · GS · Bangladesh

Report ID: CM-9B73232C · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.6Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.4
■ Transparency (25%)	5.8
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.2
■ Documentation (15%)	6.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive signs, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a high verified usage rate, but key integrity elements are weakly documented. Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents, and the verification report raises several data-quality issues that reduce confidence in the claimed reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-775
Sector	industrial
Country	Bangladesh
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves version 1.0
Crediting Period	2014 — 2034
VVB	TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- Leakage is described as negligible in the monitoring report, but the later verification report says it is not addressed.
- The verification report lists multiple data inconsistencies and requests additional documentary evidence, including missing phone numbers and mismatches between survey and installation records.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.4 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program, which supports the project's core crediting claim.
- Leakage is not addressed in the verification report, and reversal risk is also not addressed; no buffer pool is stated.

The project benefits from additionality being confirmed by the VVB, which is a meaningful positive for integrity. However, the baseline is project-specific, leakage is not addressed in the verification report, and reversal risk is also not addressed, with no buffer pool information found. The verification report also records multiple data-quality issues, including inconsistent respondent records and missing contact information, which weakens confidence in the emission reduction calculation.

Transparency — 5.8 / 10

- + The monitoring period is clearly stated, and the verification report identifies the VVB and records several closed findings.
- Total emission reductions claimed versus verified are not stated in the extracted record, and the verification report asks for additional source documents.

The monitoring period is clearly identified, and the verification report names TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program as the verifier. That said, the extracted record does not state total emission reductions claimed versus verified, and the verification report explicitly requests additional documentary evidence such as sales statements, warranty activation certificates, customer agreements, and photos. This leaves important parts of the audit trail incomplete in the available record.

Claim Safety — 5.2 / 10

- + The verified usage rate is very high at 99.1%, close to the assumed 99.0%, which reduces usage-related over-crediting concern.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly standardized or recently reassessed baseline, and leakage justification is inconsistent across documents.

Claim safety is helped by the very high verified usage rate of 99.1%, which is close to the assumed 99.0% and suggests limited usage inflation. On the other hand, the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized, and the leakage treatment is inconsistent: the monitoring report says it was deemed negligible, while the later verification report says it is not addressed. The project is marked CCP-eligible, which does not eliminate risk and keeps the claim from scoring higher.

Documentation — 6.1 / 10

- + Multiple official documents were available, including the PDD, validation report, monitoring report, and verification report, with 20 documents used in extraction.
- The verification report notes numerous data inconsistencies and missing evidence items, which weakens documentation reliability despite medium extraction confidence.

The record draws on a substantial set of official documents, including the PDD, validation report, monitoring report, verification report, and appendix, with 20 documents used overall. The extraction confidence is medium, not low, which is acceptable, but the verification report still highlights several unresolved documentation issues and requests more evidence. The crediting period is also inconsistent across documents, with the verification report showing a longer period than the PDD, which adds to documentation uncertainty.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	Leakage inconsistently addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline only
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CCP-eligible; CORSIA status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear, quantified leakage assessment and reconcile the monitoring report with the verification report.

→ Publish a complete reconciliation of claimed versus verified emission reductions, along with the missing supporting evidence requested by the verifier.

Documents Reviewed

- GS 3112 MP5 ER calculator v4.0 18102023.xlsx
- GS 3112 MP3 ER calculator v 4.0 26092022.xlsx
- GS3112 MP4 MR v 4.0 15052023_Clean.pdf
- GS 3112 - VPA01-36 MP3 Monitoring Report v5.0 26092022_Clean.pdf
- GS3112 MP5 Monitoring Report v4.0 18102023_Clean.pdf
- T-V3.0-Deviation-Request-form_GS Micro scale PoA GS 3112_GSdecision(2).pdf
- GS 3112 SDG-Impact-Tool.xlsx
- GS Micro scale PoA GS 3112_PoA Renewal Deviation_SC_FINAL.pdf
- GS 3112 Transition-Annex- v 3.0 13012022.docx
- GS 3112 PoA-DD ver 6.0 08122025_clean.pdf
- PDD_01.20.2015_v4.docx
- PDD_06-09-2015.docx
- GS 3112 PoA renewal PoA-DD v3.0 09092022.docx
- PDD_13-01-2016.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-775
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-775
- GS 3112 Final Verification Report v2.0_Clean.pdf
- 22-094_FVerR-GSPoA3112_ 15-06-2023_Clean.pdf
- FVerR-GSPoA3112_31-10-2023.pdf
- CCIPL 2863 PoA FVR_Clean.pdf
- GS3112 Emission Reductions_Not Applicable.xlsx
- CCIPL 1323_Renewal PoA_FVR_clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-9B73232C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy