

QUALITY REPORT

VPA 36 - GHG Emission Reduction through use of Bondhu Chula (Improved Cook Stoves) in Bangladesh

GS-776 · GS · Bangladesh

Report ID: CM-19CDFA0F · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.6Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a reasonably documented Gold Standard cookstove project with VVB-confirmed additionality, a stated leakage rationale, and safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, the record shows multiple verification findings and several data consistency issues, so the evidence base is not fully clean and claim confidence is only moderate.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-776
Sector	industrial
Country	Bangladesh
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves version 1.0
Crediting Period	2014 — 2034
VVB	TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The verification report lists multiple corrective action requests and clarifications, including missing mobile numbers, name mismatches, and inconsistent survey data.
- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible and CCP-eligible, which raises dual-claim and claim-safety concerns even without evidence of double registration.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses the Gold Standard simplified methodology for efficient cookstoves.

- The verification report records five corrective action requests and one clarification request, plus unresolved reversal handling is not addressed.

The verification report from TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program confirms additionality, which supports the project's core environmental case. The project also cites the Gold Standard simplified methodology for efficient cookstoves and a deemed-negligible leakage rationale in the monitoring record, but reversal handling is not addressed and no buffer pool is reported. Multiple corrective action requests and clarifications in the verification report weaken confidence in the robustness of the monitoring evidence.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

+ The monitoring period, VVB name, usage monitoring approach, and usage rate verified at 95% are stated in the documents.

- Total emission reductions claimed and verified are not stated in the extracted record, limiting public reconciliation of issued claims.

The documents identify the monitoring period, the VVB, the monitoring approach based on annual surveys, and a verified usage rate of 95%. However, total emission reductions claimed and verified are not stated in the extracted record, so the public accounting trail cannot be fully checked from the available facts. The extraction confidence is only medium, which further limits transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

+ The verified usage rate of 95% is close to the assumed 99%, which reduces but does not eliminate over-crediting concern.

- The project uses a project-specific baseline, and the project is marked CORSIA-eligible and CCP-eligible, which keeps claim-safety risk elevated.

The verified usage rate of 95% is close to the assumed 99%, which is a positive sign for over-crediting risk, and the project's FNRB value of 0.83 is explicitly stated. Still, the baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly recent standardized baseline, and the project is marked both CORSIA-eligible and CCP-eligible, which increases the risk of overlapping claims. The leakage justification is present, but the overall claim-safety profile remains only moderate because of the documentation issues and dual-eligibility status.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

+ Multiple official documents were used, including the PDD, validation report, monitoring report, verification report, and appendix material.

- The extraction confidence is only medium, and the verification report shows several data-quality issues and requested documentary evidence.

The record draws on a substantial set of official documents, including the PDD, validation report, monitoring report, verification report, and appendix material, with 20 documents used in extraction. That said, the extraction confidence is only medium, and the verification report itself requests additional documentary evidence such as sales statements, warranty activation certificates, customer agreements, and photos. The crediting period is long and the monitoring period is relatively recent, but the documentation quality is not fully clean.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No reversal treatment stated
● Leakage	Leakage deemed negligible
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP eligible

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean reconciliation of claimed versus verified emission reductions, with the full issuance trail and any registry serial numbers.

→ Publish a complete monitoring package with resolved data inconsistencies, reversal treatment, and clearer evidence for leakage, usage, and safeguard implementation.

Documents Reviewed

- GS 3112 MP5 ER calculator v4.0 18102023.xlsx
- GS 3112 MP3 ER calculator v 4.0 26092022.xlsx
- GS3112 MP4 MR v 4.0 15052023_Clean.pdf
- GS 3112 - VPA01-36 MP3 Monitoring Report v5.0 26092022_Clean.pdf
- GS3112 MP5 Monitoring Report v4.0 18102023_Clean.pdf
- T-V3.0-Deviation-Request-form_GS Micro scale PoA GS 3112_GSdecision(2).pdf
- GS 3112 SDG-Impact-Tool.xlsx
- GS Micro scale PoA GS 3112_PoA Renewal Deviation_SC_FINAL.pdf
- GS 3112 Transition-Annex- v 3.0 13012022.docx
- GS 3112 PoA-DD ver 6.0 08122025_clean.pdf
- PDD_01.20.2015_v4.docx
- PDD_13-01-2016.docx
- PDD_06-09-2015.docx
- GS 3112 PoA renewal PoA-DD v3.0 09092022.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-776
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-776
- GS 3112 Final Verification Report v2.0_Clean.pdf
- 22-094_FVerR-GSPoA3112_ 15-06-2023_Clean.pdf
- FVerR-GSPoA3112_31-10-2023.pdf
- CCIPL 2863 PoA FVR_Clean.pdf
- GS3112 Emission Reductions_Not Applicable.xlsx
- CCIPL 1323_Renewal PoA_FVR_clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-19CDFA0F · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy