

QUALITY REPORT

Municipal Waste Composting in Dschang, Cameroon

GS-819 · GS · Cameroon

Report ID: CM-1ACA158C · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.9Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.2
■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.8
■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive quality signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a negligible leakage claim, and no reported reversal events in the extracted record. However, the evidence set has meaningful gaps and contradictions, especially around safeguards, benefit sharing, and the crediting period, which reduce confidence in the documentation and claim safety.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-819
Sector	industrial
Country	Cameroon
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	AMS.III-F 12
Crediting Period	2017-03-01 — null
VVB	SustainCERT
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

Red Flags

- The extracted record contains contradictions on safeguards, benefit sharing, leakage treatment, and the crediting period, which weakens reliability.
- No buffer pool percentage, baseline reassessment date, or verified usage-monitoring details were found in the available documents.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.2 / 10

+ Additionality was tested using a barrier approach and was confirmed by the VVB, which supports the project's core eligibility case.

- Leakage is only described as negligible with a 0% deduction, while reversal handling is not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is stated.

The verification report from 2023-09-21 confirms additionality through a barrier test and says SustainCERT served as the VVB, which is a strong positive. The project also uses AMS.III-F methodology version 12 and reports a leakage deduction of 0% with leakage deemed negligible, but reversal handling is not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is available. The absence of a baseline reassessment date further limits confidence in long-term integrity.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

+ The project has a named verifier, SustainCERT, and a defined monitoring period for 2024, which helps anchor the reporting window.

- Key MRV fields are missing, including verified usage rates, usage-monitoring method, and any quantified ERR totals in the extracted record.

Transparency is moderate because the record identifies the verifier, the registry, and a clear monitoring period from 2024-01-01 to 2024-12-31. However, the extracted facts do not include verified ERR totals, a usage-monitoring method, or any quantified usage-rate evidence, which makes it hard to independently assess reported performance. The medium extraction confidence also suggests at least one key source was not fully legible.

Claim Safety — 5.8 / 10

+ The VVB-confirmed additionality and a 0% leakage deduction reduce the immediate over-crediting concern.

- The project is marked as CORSIA-eligible, and the record does not provide CCP status or a verified baseline reassessment, leaving some claim-risk unresolved.

Claim safety is mixed. The VVB-confirmed additionality and negligible leakage claim support the project, but the project is marked CORSIA-eligible and CCP status is not stated, so dual-market claim risk is not fully resolved. The lack of verified usage-rate data and the missing baseline reassessment date also leave some over-crediting risk unresolved.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

+ The record draws on multiple document types, including a verification report, monitoring report, PDD, and appendix, with 20 documents used.

- Extraction confidence is only medium, and several important items are not stated, including buffer pool coverage, baseline reassessment timing, and verified ERR totals.

Documentation is reasonably broad, with evidence drawn from a verification report, monitoring report, PDD, and appendix, and 20 documents were used. Still, the extraction confidence is only medium, and several important items are not stated in available documents, including buffer pool coverage, verified usage rates, and ERR totals. The crediting period is also inconsistent across documents, which reduces documentation reliability.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed barrier test
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	0% deduction, justification mixed
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance present, but inconsistent record
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible, CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear, reconciled evidence pack showing the final crediting period, leakage treatment, safeguards status, and benefit-sharing terms across all documents.

→ Provide verified ERR totals, a documented usage-monitoring method, baseline reassessment evidence, and buffer pool or reversal-risk treatment.

Documents Reviewed

- Transition-Annex_GS 4593 Municipal Waste Composting in Dschang_Track_25 09 2019.docx
- GS 4593_Monitoring_Report_V3_22_09_2023(1).pdf
- GS 4593_2024-Monitoring-Report_V5_Clean.pdf
- GS 4593_VER_Cals_V3_22_09_2023(1).xlsx
- GS 4593_V5-Monitoring-Report_Clean_28-03-2025_Clean.pdf
- Annual-Report_GS4593_vf.pdf
- GS 4593_Monitoring_Report_V3_2023_03_14_clean.pdf
- GS 4593_Confidential_VER_Cals_2023_V1.xlsx
- GS 4593 SDG-Impact-tool.xlsx
- GS 4593 Confidential VER Cals 2024_V1 - R1.xlsx
- PDD_09.08.18_v4.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-819
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-819
- Final-Verification-Report-GS4593-MP4-Standalone-Cameroon.pdf
- GS 4593_VER_Cals_V3_22_09_2023.xlsx
- CCIPL 1436_FVR_v2_20032023_Clean.pdf
- Monitoring_Report_1st monitoring period_01-03-17 to 31-12-19_v1.pdf.pdf
- Final-Verification-Report-GS4593-MP4-Standalone-Cameroon(1).pdf
- GS4593 - FVR - MWC_R1_Clean.pdf
- 2021_08_03 GS 4593_Confidential_MR.pdf
- GS4593 - FVR - Municipal Waste Composting in Dschang_ Clean.pdf
- GS 4593_Monitoring_Report_V3_22_09_2023.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-1ACA158C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy