

QUALITY REPORT

Energy Efficiency measures in DMRC - Phase III elevated stations

GS-830 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-5DC1A111 · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.2 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	3.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.9
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This project has some procedural strengths, including a named VVB, FPIC, and a grievance mechanism, but the core carbon-accounting evidence is thin in the extracted record. Key items such as additionality testing, leakage treatment, monitoring results, and verified issuance figures are not stated, which makes the crediting claim hard to assess confidently.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-830
Sector	industrial
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	AMS-II.E Version 10, CDM EB 35
Crediting Period	2018 — 2028
VVB	M/s Arcadis India Pvt. Ltd.
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	5 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

Red Flags

- Additionality is not evidenced in the extracted documents, and no VVB-confirmed test is stated.
- Leakage is marked as not addressed, with no quantified deduction or justification found.
- No verified or claimed ERR totals are available in the extracted record, limiting transparency and claim safety.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 3.8 / 10

- + The project uses a defined CDM methodology, AMS-II.E Version 10, and the baseline was last reassessed in 2019.
- Additionality testing is not stated, leakage is not addressed, and reversal handling is not described in the extracted record.

The project is built on AMS-II.E Version 10 and the baseline was last reassessed in 2019, which supports some methodological structure. However, additionality testing is not stated in the extracted record, the VVB confirmation of additionality is also absent, and leakage is explicitly marked as not addressed. Reversal events are not described, so permanence-related risk is not well evidenced either.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The PDD identifies the VVB as M/s Arcadis India Pvt. Ltd., and the record includes a crediting period and project documentation.
- Monitoring-period details, verified emission reduction totals, and usage monitoring methods are not stated in available documents.

The PDD names M/s Arcadis India Pvt. Ltd. as the VVB, and the project has a defined crediting period from 2018-06-01 to 2028-05-31. But the monitoring period is not stated, usage monitoring is not described, and both claimed and verified ERR totals are missing from the extracted record. That leaves the public accounting trail incomplete.

Claim Safety — 3.9 / 10

- + The project is an energy-efficiency transport project with a project baseline and no reported material findings in the extracted record.
- Leakage is not addressed, and there is no evidence of verified usage rates or ERR figures to check for over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is weakened by the absence of verified emission reduction totals, missing usage-rate evidence, and leakage being marked as not addressed. The project type and project baseline reduce some uncertainty, but there is not enough quantified evidence here to rule out over-crediting risk. No contradictions were reported, so there is no need to privilege one source over another on conflicting facts.

Documentation — 5.2 / 10

- + Three evidence documents were used, including the PDD and stakeholder consultation material, and extraction confidence is high.
- The record is still incomplete on several core fields, including monitoring period, verified reductions, and additionality evidence.

Documentation quality is moderate because three evidence documents were used, including the PDD and stakeholder consultation material, and extraction confidence is high. Even so, several key fields remain unstated, including monitoring period, additionality test type, and verified reductions. The presence of FPIC, grievance mechanism, and benefit sharing helps, but the record is still incomplete for a full-quality assessment.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No additionality evidence found
● Permanence	Reversal handling not described
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline with reassessment date
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the verification report and monitoring report showing additionality testing, verified emission reductions, and leakage treatment.

→ Disclose monitoring-period data, usage monitoring methodology, and any registry or eligibility status relevant to double-claim risk.

Documents Reviewed

- GS4634 Local Stakeholder Consultation Report_26.12.17_v2.pdf
- PDD_26.12.17_v2.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-830
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-830
- Transition document_revised 07.04.2021.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-5DC1A111 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy