

## QUALITY REPORT

# SÜTAS TIRE BIOGAS PLANT

GS-851 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-7C5BB133 · Generated: 2026-04-18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

## 5.9

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.4
■ Transparency (25%)	5.6
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.8
■ Documentation (15%)	5.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This is a reasonably documented Gold Standard biogas project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no unresolved material findings, but there are important evidence gaps around leakage, permanence, and quantified monitoring results. The record also contains date and method contradictions between the PDD and monitoring report, which lowers confidence in the claims.

## Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-851
Sector	biomass
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	The Gold Standard Revised Consolidated Baseline Methodology for GHG Emission Reductions from Manure Management Systems and Municipal Solid Waste v1.0
Crediting Period	2022 — 2027
VVB	Earthood Services Limited
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	13 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-18

## Red Flags

- Leakage is described as negligible, but no quantified deduction is shown and the PDD is said to have left leakage unaddressed.
- Reversal risk is not clearly addressed, and the record says reversal events are not addressed rather than explicitly absent.
- The crediting period differs between documents, and the additionality test type is inconsistent across sources.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 6.4 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a combined additionality test in the PDD.

- Leakage treatment is weakly evidenced: the monitoring report says it is deemed negligible, but no deduction percentage is provided and the PDD is noted as not addressing it.

The verification record shows additionality was confirmed by Earthood Services Limited, and the PDD describes a combined additionality test. However, leakage is only described as deemed negligible in the monitoring report, with no quantified deduction, and reversal risk is not clearly addressed because the record says reversal events are not addressed rather than explicitly absent. The baseline is project-based, but the last reassessment date is not found in the available documents.

### Transparency — 5.6 / 10

+ The monitoring report names Earthood Services Limited and covers a defined monitoring period from 2022-08-22 to 2024-05-31.

- No verified emission reduction totals are provided in the extracted record, and key monitoring details such as usage monitoring and baseline reassessment are not stated.

The documentation identifies the verifier and gives a monitoring period from 2022-08-22 to 2024-05-31, which supports some traceability. At the same time, the extracted record does not provide verified emission reduction totals, usage monitoring details, or a baseline reassessment date. The absence of these items limits the ability to independently check the reported performance.

### Claim Safety — 5.8 / 10

+ The project uses a Gold Standard methodology for manure management and municipal solid waste, which is generally more robust than an unstructured baseline.

- Claim safety is weakened by missing quantified leakage, no stated usage-rate verification, and contradictions on additionality and crediting period.

Claim safety is moderate because the project sits under a Gold Standard methodology for manure management and municipal solid waste, which is a recognized framework. But the record lacks quantified leakage treatment, does not state verified usage rates, and does not provide the emission reduction totals needed to check over-crediting risk. The contradictions on additionality test type and crediting period further weaken confidence in the claim.

### Documentation — 5.1 / 10

+ Multiple validation findings were raised and then closed out, suggesting active review and follow-up by the validator.

- Extraction confidence is only medium, and several core fields are not found in the available documents, including buffer pool, baseline reassessment, and quantified ERR figures.

The file set includes a PDD and monitoring report, and the validation process appears active: many corrective action and clarification requests were raised and then closed. Still, extraction confidence is only medium, and several important items are missing from the extracted record, including buffer pool coverage, baseline reassessment, and quantified ERR figures. The project also has unresolved documentation gaps around reversal treatment and leakage quantification.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed additionality
● <b>Permanence</b>	Reversal risk not clearly addressed
● <b>Leakage</b>	Negligible claim without quantified deduction
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a quantified leakage assessment, including any deduction percentage and the supporting methodology.

→ Publish verified emission reduction totals, baseline reassessment details, and a clear statement on reversal treatment and buffer coverage.

## Documents Reviewed

- T-PerfCert\_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form\_Süta■\_Tire\_Biogas\_Plant\_2022.pdf
- GS4817\_T-PerfCert\_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report\_SutasTire.pdf
- Su■tas■\_Tire\_MR\_v6\_ITR\_2\_GS.pdf
- GS4817\_T-PerfCert\_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report\_SutasTire\_2025.pdf
- Conf\_Final\_ER Calculations\_622 Tire biogas\_v04\_16-11-2021.xlsx
- DEV\_705.pdf
- Final\_Val Report\_622 Tire biogas\_Sandeep Kanda\_v06\_04-06-2024\_clean.pdf
- 430\_V1.3\_IQ\_SDG-Impact-tool\_SütasTireBiogas\_v1\_GS.xlsx
- ER Calculations\_Tire Biogas\_VER\_v6\_GS.xlsx
- PDD\_SUTAS Tire Biogas Project\_18.04.2024\_track changes.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-851
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-851
- FVR\_GS.VER.24.70\_SUTAS TIRE\_PUB\_CL\_08-12-2025.pdf

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-7C5BB133 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-18 · Generated: 2026-04-18  
[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)