

QUALITY REPORT

50 MW Wind Power Project in Madhya Pradesh, India

GS-873 · Gold Standard · India

Report ID: CM-274E3EB0 · Generated: 2026-04-11 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.3Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
■ Transparency (25%)	4.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
■ Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a certified Gold Standard wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and a project baseline, which supports a moderate integrity profile. However, the record contains several material inconsistencies, weak leakage treatment, and low extraction confidence, which reduce trust in the reported emissions reductions and documentation quality.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-873
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	2020, 2021
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 17.0
Crediting Period	2016 — 2023
VVB	Applus+ Certification
Verified ERs	243,990 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	24 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-11

Red Flags

- The reported verified emissions reductions conflict across documents, with 243,990 in a 2024 source versus 37,506 in an earlier source.
- The verification report lists multiple corrective actions and notes that key monitoring and baseline details did not match the registered passport.
- Leakage is described as negligible in one document, but the monitoring report does not address it, and reversal risk is not addressed.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using a combined test, which is stronger than an unverified claim.

- The verification report records three corrective action requests and two clarification requests, including mismatches with the registered passport and blank ER sheet values.

The project benefits from VVB-confirmed additionality and a project baseline under ACM0002, which supports the core crediting logic. Still, the verification report dated 2019-04-04 lists three corrective action requests and two clarification requests, and says the monitoring report was not prepared as per the registered passport. Reversal risk is not addressed, and leakage is only described as negligible in one source without quantified treatment in the monitoring record.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

+ The project has a named verifier, Applus+ Certification, and a defined monitoring period for 2024.

- The extracted record shows low extraction confidence, and the reported emissions reductions conflict materially between documents.

The monitoring period is stated for 2024 and the verifier is identified as Applus+ Certification, which helps traceability. However, the extracted record has low extraction confidence, and the reported verified emissions reductions differ sharply across documents, with 243,990 in a 2024 source versus 37,506 in an earlier source. That inconsistency weakens confidence in the public reporting trail and registry completeness.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

+ The project is an avoidance-type wind project under ACM0002 with a project baseline and no evidence of double registration in the extracted record.

- Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents, and the large discrepancy in verified emissions reductions raises over-crediting risk.

This is a renewable electricity avoidance project, so the basic claim is plausible, and the Gold Standard certification supports some safeguards. But the leakage treatment is not consistently documented, and the large discrepancy in verified emissions reductions creates a meaningful over-crediting concern. No evidence of CORSIA eligibility or CCP approval is present in the extracted record, so dual-channel risk cannot be ruled out from the available facts.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

+ Multiple official documents were used, including validation, monitoring, PDD, and verification materials.

- Low extraction confidence and several unresolved corrective actions indicate incomplete or difficult-to-verify documentation.

The record draws on several official documents, including validation, monitoring, PDD, and verification materials, which is a positive sign. Even so, the extraction confidence is low, and the verification report explicitly says key documents and parameters did not match the registered passport. The crediting period also appears inconsistent across sources, which further reduces documentation reliability.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed combined test
● Permanence	reversal risk not addressed
● Leakage	negligible claim not consistently documented
● Baseline	project baseline with reassessment timing unclear
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a reconciled emissions accounting table explaining the difference between the 243,990 and 37,506 verified figures, with document-level traceability.

→ Publish a complete monitoring package that clearly documents leakage treatment, reversal risk handling, and the basis for all parameter values against the registered passport.

Documents Reviewed

- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_05.05.2016 to 31.03.2018_v2.1.pdf
- GS4962_ER- Version_v2.0.xlsx
- Monitoring-Report-Bercha-V03 (Clean).pdf
- Emission Reduction Calculation_Bercha- Ver-03.xlsx
- GS4962_Annual Report_50 MW Orange Bercha.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report BERCHA Version 2.0 Clean.pdf
- Monitoring-Report_Bercha_50 MW_GS4962_ver05_Cleaned.pdf
- Project-Annual-Report_GS4962 for 2024.pdf
- Monitoring-Report-Bercha-V02.pdf
- GS Passport 11.06.2018_v5.pdf
- Deviation_GS4962_GreenkoBercha_50MW_DEV_523 (1).pdf
- GS.VER.20.27_GS4962_VCR.pdf
- Deviation-Request-Form_GS4962_50 MW_DEV_536.pdf
- PDD_22-03-18.pdf
- GS RCP_PDD_4962_Clean.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-873
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-873
- FVR_GS 4962_Clean(1).pdf
- FVR-GS_VER-GS 4962_12923_PRR-R1_Cleaned.pdf
- FVR_GS 4962.pdf
- GS4962 Validation Report 03.04.2018.pdf
- FVR - GS VER-Orange Bercha_TQC -GS4962-TR29.08.2021.pdf
- FVR - GS VER-Orange Bercha_TQC -GS4962-GS Review R1 (Clean).pdf
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_05.06.2016 to 31.03.2018_rev4.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-274E3EB0 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-11 · Generated: 2026-04-11

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy