QUALITY REPORT

# NPL/EEF/undefined/GS/19072025/00013

OG-687b9742 · Gold Standard · Nepal Total Credits

Report ID: CM-853C0CA6 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

**1.3**
Overall Score
out of 10

| | |
|---|---|
| Integrity (35%) | 2.0 |
| Transparency (25%) | 0.0 |
| Claim Safety (25%) | 2.0 |
| Documentation (15%) | 0.8 |

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The extracted record contains no usable project documentation, so core quality elements (additionality, baseline, leakage, and MRV results) cannot be substantiated. With low extraction confidence and zero documents captured, the risk of over-crediting and weak claims is high by default due to the evidence gap.

## Project Details

| | |
|---|---|
| Registry | Gold Standard |
| Registry ID | OG-687b9742 |
| Sector | industrial |
| Country | Nepal Total Credits |
| Vintage | Recent |
| Confidence | Low |
| Scored | 2026-04-02 |

## Red Flags

- No project documents were available in the extracted record (0 documents), preventing verification of additionality, baseline, leakage, and monitoring results
- Low extraction confidence indicates at least one key document was poorly readable, further reducing reliability

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 2.0 / 10

- Additionality, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and any reversals/buffer provisions were not found in the extracted record

~ Project is labeled as an avoidance credit type, but the underlying methodology and MRV evidence are not available to confirm integrity

No project design, monitoring, or verification documents were captured in the extracted record, so additionality testing, baseline selection/reassessment, leakage deductions/justification, and any permanence provisions (e.g., buffer pool or reversal reporting) are not stated in available documents. Given these missing fundamentals, integrity must be scored conservatively.

### Transparency — 0.0 / 10

- No VVB name, monitoring period, or verification/issuance figures were found in the extracted record
- Registry/documentation completeness cannot be assessed because no evidence documents were captured

The extracted record does not include any evidence documents, so key transparency items such as the VVB identity, monitoring period, and any comparison of claimed versus verified emission reductions cannot be checked. With low extraction confidence and no documents, MRV transparency is effectively unassessable and therefore scored very low.

### Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- Eligibility/quality signals (e.g., CORSIA/CCP status) and key over-crediting controls (baseline and leakage justification) were not found in the extracted record

~ No contradictions were detected, but this is likely because no documents were available to compare

Because baseline method, leakage treatment, and any eligibility indicators (such as CORSIA eligibility or CCP status) are not found in the extracted record, the risk of unsupported or overstated claims cannot be ruled out. The absence of contradictions provides no comfort here because there are no underlying documents to cross-check.

### Documentation — 0.8 / 10

- Zero documents were used in extraction, so the project record is effectively undocumented for scoring purposes
- Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating poor readability/quality of at least one key source

The extracted record lists zero evidence documents and zero documents used, which is a critical documentation gap. Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable, further reducing confidence in completeness and accuracy.

## Risk Indicators

| | |
|---|---|
| ● **Additionality** | No additionality assessment found |
| ● **Permanence** | Permanence provisions not evidenced |
| ● **Leakage** | Leakage treatment not found |
| ● **Baseline** | Baseline method not found |
| ● **Safeguards** | Safeguards evidence not found |
| ● **Double-claim** | CORSIA/CCP status not stated |

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the Gold Standard PDD, latest monitoring report, and verification/certification report so additionality, baseline, leakage, and MRV results can be substantiated

→ Provide registry/issuance details and eligibility statements (e.g., CORSIA eligibility and any CCP status), plus VVB identity and any material findings/corrective actions

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-853C0CA6 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy