

QUALITY REPORT

IDN/REC/GTL/GS/21072025/00017

OG-687dec1c · Gold Standard · Indonesia Listed Credits

Report ID: CM-2EF442ED · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

1.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	2.0
	■ Transparency (25%)	0.5
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	2.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	0.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The extracted record contains no usable project documentation, so core quality elements (baseline, additionality, leakage, and MRV results) cannot be substantiated. With low extraction confidence and zero documents captured, the risk of over-crediting and weak claims is high by default.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	OG-687dec1c
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Indonesia Listed Credits
Vintage	Recent
Confidence	Low
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- No project documents were available in the extracted record (0 documents), preventing validation of baseline, additionality, leakage, and monitoring results
- Low extraction confidence indicates at least one key source was poorly readable, increasing the risk of missing or incorrect critical details

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 2.0 / 10

- Additionality, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and any findings/corrective actions were not found in the extracted record

- ~ Project is labeled as renewable energy avoidance, but the absence of baseline and MRV evidence prevents assessing over-crediting risk

No project documents were captured in the extracted record, so additionality testing, baseline method, leakage treatment, and any permanence/reversal provisions were not stated in available documents. Because this is an avoidance renewable energy project, integrity depends heavily on baseline/grid assumptions and verified monitoring results, none of which were found. With these evidence gaps, integrity is scored very low by default.

Transparency — 0.5 / 10

- No VVB name, monitoring period, or verified vs claimed ER figures were found in the extracted record

- Registry/documentation completeness cannot be assessed because no evidence documents were captured

The extracted record includes no evidence documents and does not provide a VVB name, monitoring period, or any comparison of claimed versus verified emission reductions. With low extraction confidence and no documents, MRV quality and public transparency cannot be evaluated. This warrants a very low transparency score.

Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- No information found on CORSIA eligibility, CCP status, baseline setting, or leakage justification—key inputs to assess greenwashing/over-crediting risk

- ~ Renewable energy claims can be sensitive to baseline and grid factors, but these were not found in the extracted record

No information was found on CORSIA eligibility, CCP status, baseline setting, leakage justification, or any verified ER quantities, all of which are central to assessing over-crediting and marketing-claim risk. Given the complete absence of substantiating documentation, the project's claims should be treated as high risk until evidence is provided.

Documentation — 0.8 / 10

- Zero documents were used in extraction, indicating a major evidence gap

- Low extraction confidence reduces reliability of any missing/partial information

The extracted record shows 0 documents used and low extraction confidence, indicating severe incompleteness and potential unreadable sources. Without validation/verification/monitoring reports in the record, independence, recency, and corrective actions cannot be assessed. Documentation is therefore scored near the floor.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No additionality evidence found
● Permanence	Avoidance type, but no evidence on reversals/buffer
● Leakage	No leakage treatment found
● Baseline	No baseline method found
● Safeguards	No safeguards/FPIC evidence found
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the Gold Standard PDD, validation report, and the latest monitoring/verification report(s) so baseline, additionality, leakage, and verified ERs can be checked

→ Publish/confirm registry fields and eligibility statuses (VVB name, monitoring period, claimed vs verified ERs, CORSIA eligibility and CCP status) to reduce claim and double-claim risk

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-2EF442ED · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy