

## QUALITY REPORT

# BRA/REC/WND/GCC/23072025/00019

OG-68806973 · Gold Standard · Brazil Listed Credits

Report ID: CM-06ADB177 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

<b>1.5</b> Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	<b>2.0</b>
	■ Transparency (25%)	<b>0.5</b>
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>2.0</b>
	■ Documentation (15%)	<b>1.0</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The extracted record contains no usable project documentation, so core quality attributes (baseline, additionality, leakage, and MRV) cannot be validated. Given the low readability of at least one key source and zero documents successfully used, the risk of over-crediting and weak substantiation is high based on available evidence.

## Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	OG-68806973
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Brazil Listed Credits
Vintage	Recent
Confidence	Low
Scored	2026-04-02

## Red Flags

- No project documents were available in the extracted record (0 documents used), preventing validation of baseline, additionality, leakage, and monitoring.
- Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable and increasing the risk of missed or misread critical details.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 2.0 / 10

- Baseline approach, additionality assessment, leakage treatment, and any findings/corrective actions were not found in the extracted record (no documents available).

~ Project is labeled as renewable energy avoidance, which typically has low permanence risk, but this cannot be confirmed without documentation.

No project design or assurance documents were available in the extracted record (no evidence documents listed; 0 documents used), so additionality, baseline validity, leakage, and any material findings/corrective actions cannot be evaluated. The project is described as renewable energy avoidance, which often has limited permanence/reversal risk, but permanence provisions (if any) were not found in the extracted record. Given these evidence gaps, integrity is scored conservatively low.

### Transparency — 0.5 / 10

- No VVB name, monitoring period, or verification/monitoring results were found because no documents were available in the extracted record.

- Registry/MRV completeness cannot be assessed from the extracted record (no evidence documents listed).

Transparency is weak because the extracted record contains no monitoring/verification documentation (0 documents used) and does not provide a VVB name, monitoring period, or any quantified results. With minimum extraction confidence marked low, even the completeness of what was extracted is uncertain. As a result, MRV quality and public data availability cannot be substantiated from the extracted record.

### Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- Over-crediting risk cannot be screened because baseline method, grid factors/FNRB, and any ERR checks were not found in the extracted record.

- Eligibility/label risks (e.g., CORSIA/CCP status) were not found in the extracted record.

Claim safety cannot be supported because key over-crediting checks (baseline method, grid emission factor/FNRB approach, and any comparison of claimed vs verified reductions) were not found in the extracted record. Program/label eligibility indicators (such as CORSIA eligibility or CCP status) were also not found. These gaps elevate greenwashing and over-crediting risk from an evidence standpoint.

### Documentation — 1.0 / 10

- Zero documents were used and no evidence documents were listed in the extracted record.

- Minimum extraction confidence is low, reducing confidence in completeness and accuracy of any missing details.

Documentation quality is very poor: no evidence documents were listed and 0 documents were used in extraction. Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key source was poorly readable and increasing the likelihood that critical details were missed. This warrants a very low documentation score and triggers the post-scoring gate rule that would cap transparency if applied.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	No additionality assessment found
● <b>Permanence</b>	Avoidance type but not evidenced
● <b>Leakage</b>	No leakage treatment found
● <b>Baseline</b>	Baseline method not found
● <b>Safeguards</b>	Safeguards not found
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not found

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the PDD and the latest monitoring and verification reports so baseline method, additionality test, leakage assessment, and MRV results can be validated.

→ Provide registry/public documentation fields (VVB name, monitoring period, issuance/verification details, and any CORSIA/CCP status) and ensure documents are machine-readable to resolve the low extraction confidence.

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-06ADB177 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02  
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy