

QUALITY REPORT

Lapwall Factory 1

PUR-134828 · Puro · Finland

Report ID: CM-0E1AC58C · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
■ Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro Alternative Materials project has some credibility signals (third-party verification by DNV and no reported material findings), but key integrity-critical elements are not evidenced in the extracted record. Missing information on additionality, baseline setting, leakage treatment, and quantified verified removals materially increases over-crediting risk.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-134828
Sector	other
Country	Finland
Vintage	2021, 2022
Project Methodology	Puro CO2 Removal Marketplace Methodology 2.0
VVB	DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Additionality approach and whether the VVB confirmed it are not found in the extracted record.
- Baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing are not stated in available documents.
- Leakage deduction and justification are not stated in available documents.
- No claimed or verified net removals are available in the extracted record for the stated monitoring period.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + The verification report (2021-09-20) reports no material findings and no corrective actions required.
- Additionality, baseline, and leakage treatment are not evidenced in the extracted record, weakening confidence in credit quantification.

The verification report (2021-09-20) indicates no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a positive signal for process quality. However, the extracted record does not show the additionality test type or whether additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and it also lacks any baseline method or reassessment date. Leakage treatment is also not stated in available documents, and while a 10% buffer pool is listed, no reversal risk narrative or reversal event reporting is available in the extracted record.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The VVB is identified as DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab and a monitoring period is specified (2020-01-01 to 2021-06-30) in the verification report (2021-09-20).
- Key MRV outputs (claimed/verified removals) and monitoring details (e.g., usage monitoring method) are not found in the extracted record.

The verification report (2021-09-20) provides a clear monitoring period (2020-01-01 to 2021-06-30) and names the verifier (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab). However, the extracted record does not include claimed or verified net removals for that period, nor does it provide the usage monitoring method or other quantification inputs. With the evidence documents listed as "unknown," it is difficult to independently trace key assertions to specific public documents.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + The project is marked CCP-eligible, which can reduce some buyer-side quality concerns if substantiated by the program.
- Over-crediting risk cannot be ruled out because baseline, leakage, and verified removal totals are not available in the extracted record.

The project is marked as CCP-eligible in the extracted record, which may support market acceptance, but the basis for that eligibility is not evidenced here. CORSIA eligibility is not stated in available documents, leaving uncertainty for aviation-claim use cases. Because baseline setting, leakage treatment, and verified removal totals are not available in the extracted record, the risk of over-crediting and therefore unsafe claims remains elevated.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

- + A verification report dated 2021-09-20 is present and identifies the VVB (DNV).
- Evidence document list is incomplete (listed as "unknown"), and several core fields are missing; extraction confidence is only medium.

A verification report dated 2021-09-20 is present and includes the VVB name, and the record indicates two documents were used. Still, the evidence document list is not specific ("unknown"), and many core quantification and safeguards fields are not found in the extracted record. The minimum extraction confidence is medium, which suggests some limitations in document readability or extraction completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality not evidenced
● Permanence	Buffer pool listed (10%), reversals not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance not evidenced
● Double-claim	CCP-eligible; CORSIA status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or provide extractable documentation of the additionality assessment (test type, rationale) and explicit VVB confirmation of additionality.

→ Disclose the baseline approach and leakage treatment (including any deduction and justification) and report the claimed vs verified net removals for the monitoring period with supporting calculation tables.

Documents Reviewed

- Description_Lapwall.pdf
- Audit_2021_LapwallFactory1.pdf
- Puro_Registration_LapwallFactory1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-0E1AC58C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy