

QUALITY REPORT

NetZero-002-Lajinha

PUR-141608 · Puro · Brazil

Report ID: CM-EB24E2D0 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The verification record indicates additionality was assessed via an investment test and confirmed by the VVB, with no material findings or corrective actions reported. However, key quantifications that underpin credit integrity and claims (verified removals, leakage treatment, permanence/buffer approach) were not found in the extracted record, and at least one key document was poorly readable, limiting confidence.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-141608
Sector	biomass
Country	Brazil
Vintage	2023
Project Methodology	Biochar Methodology v3.1
VVB	350Solutions
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2025
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	7 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Verified removal volume was not found in the extracted record, limiting confidence in the credited amount.
- Leakage deduction and its justification were not found in the extracted record for a biomass/biochar project where upstream and market leakage can be relevant.
- Permanence risk management details (e.g., buffer contribution or reversal provisions) were not found in the extracted record.
- Low extraction confidence indicates at least one key document was poorly readable, increasing the risk of missing or misread critical parameters.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.2 / 10

+ The verification report (2025) confirms additionality using an investment test and reports no material findings or corrective actions.

- Leakage and permanence risk management (buffer/reversal provisions) were not found in the extracted record, weakening confidence in net removals.

The verification report (2025-12-17) indicates additionality was assessed via an investment test and confirmed by the VVB, and it reports no material findings and no corrective actions. The baseline is described as project-specific, with a baseline reassessment year of 2023, but the underlying baseline parameters and quantified outcomes were not found in the extracted record. Permanence controls (buffer contribution) and any reversal monitoring/results were not found in the extracted record, which is a material gap for a removal project. Leakage treatment (deduction and justification) was also not found in the extracted record, reducing confidence that net removals are conservatively estimated.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ A VVB is identified (350Solutions) and a clear monitoring period is stated (2024-11-01 to 2025-10-31) in the verification record.

- Claimed vs verified removal totals were not found in the extracted record, and low readability reduces MRV transparency.

The VVB is identified as 350Solutions and the monitoring period is clearly stated (2024-11-01 to 2025-10-31) in the verification record. However, the total removals claimed and the total removals verified were not found in the extracted record, preventing a basic cross-check of issuance quantities against verification. The evidence document list is not specific ("unknown"), and the minimum extraction confidence is low, suggesting that key MRV details may be missing or unreadable in at least one source document.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ Baseline reassessment timing is stated (2023) alongside a project baseline approach under the Biochar Methodology v3.1.

- CORSIA and CCP status were not found in the extracted record, and missing leakage/permanence quantification increases over-crediting and greenwashing risk.

The project is a biochar removal activity under the Biochar Methodology v3.1, with a project-specific baseline and a stated baseline reassessment year (2023), which helps but does not by itself demonstrate conservative crediting. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not found in the extracted record, so buyers cannot easily assess alignment with higher-integrity claim frameworks. Missing quantified leakage treatment and missing permanence risk provisions (buffer/reversal details) elevate the risk of over-crediting and therefore increase greenwashing exposure for end users.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

+ The extracted record references multiple documents (6) and includes a dated verification report (2025-12-17).

- Evidence document labeling is weak (listed as "unknown") and extraction confidence is low, indicating incomplete/uncertain documentation capture.

A verification report dated 2025-12-17 is present and the extracted record indicates six documents were used, which is a positive sign for coverage. Nonetheless, the evidence documents are not clearly identified (listed as "unknown"), and the minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable. Given these issues, several critical fields (verified removals, leakage, buffer/reversal provisions, crediting period) were not found in the extracted record, limiting documentation completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Buffer/reversal provisions not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not evidenced
● Baseline	Project baseline; limited detail
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide the verified net removal total for the monitoring period and a clear reconciliation between claimed and verified quantities in the verification/monitoring documentation.
- Disclose and document leakage assessment (including any deduction and justification) and permanence risk management (buffer contribution and reversal monitoring provisions) in publicly accessible project documents.

Documents Reviewed

- Audit_2024_NetZero002Lajinha.pdf
- Description_NetZero-002-Lajinha.pdf
- _NetZero002Lajinha_statement.pdf
- _NetZero002Lajinha_report.pdf
- c3Xo48xeS5yOq3Vx2Spbswo18fb
- Additionality_NetZero002Lajinha.pdf
- Report_MP3.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-EB24E2D0 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy