

## QUALITY REPORT

# Ekovilla 3 Ylistaro

PUR-220156 · Puro · Finland

Report ID: CM-66FD5C88 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

# 3.9

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	3.8
■ Transparency (25%)	4.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.6
■ Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has a third-party verifier identified and reports no material findings for the 2021 monitoring period, but key integrity elements (additionality, baseline approach, and leakage treatment) are not stated in the extracted record. A 10% buffer pool is noted, yet reversal risk and how the buffer is applied are not evidenced. Overall, the evidence base is too thin to support high-confidence climate claims.

## Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-220156
Sector	biomass
Country	Finland
Vintage	2021, 2022
VVB	DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	4 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

## Red Flags

- Additionality approach and whether it was confirmed by the verifier are not stated in the extracted record.
- Baseline method and any reassessment timing are not stated in the extracted record, increasing over-crediting risk.
- Leakage deduction and justification are not stated in the extracted record for a biomass/alternative materials project type.
- No verified emissions reduction/removal total is available in the extracted record for the 2021 monitoring period.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 3.8 / 10

- + The verification report (2022-05-24) reports no material findings and no corrective actions required.
- Additionality, baseline method, and leakage treatment are not stated in the extracted record, limiting confidence in credit integrity.

The verification report (2022-05-24) indicates no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a positive signal for process quality. However, the extracted record does not state the additionality test used or whether additionality was confirmed by the verifier, and it also does not state the baseline method or when it was last reassessed. Leakage treatment (deduction and justification) is also not stated in the extracted record, which materially weakens integrity for a biomass/alternative materials project type.

### Transparency — 4.2 / 10

- + The verifier is identified as DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab and the monitoring period is specified (2021-01-01 to 2021-12-31) in the verification report (2022-05-24).
- Key quantified MRV outputs (claimed and verified totals) and key parameters (e.g., fossil/non-fossil split method) are not stated in the extracted record.

The verification report (2022-05-24) clearly identifies the VVB (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and specifies the monitoring period as 2021-01-01 to 2021-12-31. At the same time, the extracted record does not include the claimed or verified total climate benefit for the period, nor does it provide key calculation inputs such as the fossil/non-fossil determination method. The evidence document list is not informative ("unknown"), limiting traceability for external reviewers.

### Claim Safety — 3.6 / 10

- + No material findings were reported in the verification report (2022-05-24), which reduces (but does not eliminate) misstatement risk.
- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in the extracted record, and baseline/leakage details are missing, elevating greenwashing and over-crediting risk.

Because the baseline method, leakage approach, and key quantified outputs (claimed/verified totals) are not stated in the extracted record, there is elevated risk that credits could be perceived as overstated or not reproducible by third parties. The verification report (2022-05-24) reporting no material findings helps, but it does not substitute for missing public-facing quantification and methodological clarity. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in the extracted record, so buyers cannot easily assess cross-program claim risks.

### Documentation — 4.1 / 10

- + A dated verification report (2022-05-24) is present and names the VVB (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab).
- Evidence documents are poorly specified (listed as "unknown"), and multiple core fields are not stated in the extracted record despite two documents being used.

A verification report dated 2022-05-24 is present and names the verifier, and the extraction confidence is medium, suggesting the document was at least partially readable. However, the extracted record lacks many core fields (methodology, baseline, additionality, leakage, quantified results), and the evidence documents are not clearly identified (listed as "unknown"). With only two documents used, the documentation set appears incomplete for a robust quality assessment.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	Additionality not evidenced
● <b>Permanence</b>	Buffer noted, reversal risk not evidenced
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage treatment not evidenced
● <b>Baseline</b>	Baseline method not evidenced
● <b>Safeguards</b>	Safeguards not evidenced
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or provide the underlying methodology/baseline description and the additionality demonstration as referenced in the verification package, including when the baseline was last reassessed.

→ Disclose the quantified claimed and verified totals for the 2021 monitoring period and the key calculation inputs (including leakage treatment and any fossil/non-fossil determination approach) with clear document references.

## Documents Reviewed

- Audit\_2022\_Ekovilla3Ylistaro.pdf
- Puro\_Registration\_Ekovilla3Ylistaro.pdf
- Description\_Ekovilla.pdf
- Audit\_2021\_Ekovilla3Ylistaro.pdf

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-66FD5C88 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02  
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy