

QUALITY REPORT

Alcom-01-NuevaEcijaPhilippines

PUR-227253 · Puro · Philippines

Report ID: CM-B482883C · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.5 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	3.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	3.2
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	2.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has weak demonstrated integrity in the extracted record due to missing quantified leakage, permanence/buffer details, and unreported verified removals. A 2025 verification report lists multiple corrective action requests, including an unimplemented no-double-counting clause, which increases over-crediting and claims risk. Documentation reliability is further reduced by low extraction confidence and a safeguards inconsistency across documents.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-227253
Sector	biomass
Country	Philippines
Vintage	2023
Project Methodology	Biochar Methodology Edition 2022 v3
VVB	EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2025
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	9 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Verification report (2025) lists a corrective action that a no-double-counting clause was not implemented.
- Key quantifications are not found in the extracted record (verified removals, leakage deduction/justification, buffer pool or reversal treatment).
- Low extraction confidence indicates at least one key document was poorly readable, reducing reliability of extracted facts.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 3.8 / 10

- Additionality is not confirmed by the VVB in the verification report (2025), despite an investment test being referenced.
- Permanence/leakage controls are not evidenced in the extracted record (no buffer pool %, no reversal events detail, and no leakage deduction/justification).

The verification report (2025) references an investment-test additionality approach, but additionality is not confirmed by the VVB in the extracted record, weakening additionality robustness. Baseline setting is only described as project-specific, and the timing of any baseline reassessment is not stated in available documents. For a biochar removal project, permanence and leakage controls are not evidenced in the extracted record (no buffer pool percentage, no reversal treatment details, and no leakage deduction or justification). The verification report (2025) also lists corrective action requests related to LCA calculations and delivery emissions, which can materially affect net removal integrity.

Transparency — 3.2 / 10

+ A named VVB (EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd) and a defined monitoring period (2023-12-12 to 2025-05-15) are provided in the verification report (2025).

- Claimed vs verified removals are not found in the extracted record, limiting MRV transparency.

The verification report (2025) provides a named VVB and a clear monitoring period (2023-12-12 to 2025-05-15), which supports basic traceability. However, total removals claimed and total removals verified are not found in the extracted record, preventing an independent check of issuance quantities. Methods for usage monitoring and key parameters (e.g., leakage treatment) are also not stated in available documents, limiting MRV transparency. Low extraction confidence further reduces confidence that all relevant MRV details were captured accurately.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + The project is stated as not CORSIA-eligible in the extracted record, reducing one channel of eligibility-based claims risk.
- The verification report (2025) flags missing no-double-counting provisions and LCA calculation issues via corrective action requests, elevating over-crediting/greenwashing risk.

The extracted record states the project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces the risk of certain aviation-related claims. However, CCP status is not stated in available documents, leaving eligibility/quality signaling uncertain. The verification report (2025) includes a corrective action that a no-double-counting clause was not implemented, which is a direct claims-risk issue. Additional corrective actions on LCA inputs/calculations and delivery emissions (verification report, 2025) increase the risk of over-crediting if not fully resolved before credits are relied upon for claims.

Documentation — 2.6 / 10

- Minimum extraction confidence is low and the evidence document list is not specific (only shown as “unknown”), reducing auditability of the record.

~ Although 9 documents were used and a recent verification report exists (2025-07-31), multiple corrective actions indicate documentation/control weaknesses.

Documentation quality is constrained by low extraction confidence, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable, and the evidence document list is not specific in the extracted record. While a recent verification report date (2025-07-31) is available and 9 documents were reportedly used, the presence of multiple corrective action requests suggests gaps in recordkeeping and controls. The extracted record also lacks several core quantified fields (verified removals, leakage, buffer/pooling), which reduces completeness. Overall, the documentation trail is not strong enough to support high confidence without reviewing the underlying source files directly.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Investment test referenced, but not confirmed by VVB
● Permanence	Buffer/reversal approach not evidenced in extracted record
● Leakage	No leakage deduction or justification found
● Baseline	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards inconsistently documented; no FPIC or grievance mechanism evidenced
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible, but CCP status not stated and no-double-counting clause flagged

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish (or clearly extract) the verified net removals for the monitoring period and the full MRV calculation chain, including LCA inputs, delivery emissions, and how corrective actions were closed.

→ Provide explicit leakage assessment (quantified deduction or justified negligible leakage) and a clear permanence/reversal management approach (e.g., buffer contribution and monitoring for reversals).

Documents Reviewed

- Audit_2023_Alcom-01-NuevaEcijaPhilippines_Statement_2.pdf
- Puro_Registration_Alcom-01-NuevaEcijaPhilippines.pdf
- Additionality_Alcom-01-NuevaEcijaPhilippines.pdf
- Audit_2023_Alcom-01-NuevaEcijaPhilippines_Report.pdf
- Audit_2025_Alcom-01-NuevaEcijaPhilippines_statement.pdf
- Audit_2023_Alcom-01-NuevaEcijaPhilippines_Statement.pdf
- Description_Alcom-01-NuevaEcijaPhilippines.pdf
- Audit_2023_Alcom-01-NuevaEcijaPhilippines_Report_2.pdf
- Audit_2025_Alcom-01-NuevaEcijaPhilippines_report.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-B482883C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy