

QUALITY REPORT

Sylva Fertilis, Argentan, FR

PUR-242171 · Puro · France

Report ID: CM-4B2AEE8B · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.4

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.6
■ Transparency (25%)	5.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.4
■ Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has some integrity positives, notably a VVB-confirmed investment additionality test and no reported material findings in the latest verification record. However, key risk controls and accounting elements (leakage treatment, buffer/pooling or reversal provisions, and quantified verified removals) are not clearly evidenced in the extracted record, and there are contradictions across documents on safeguards and additionality verification.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-242171
Sector	biomass
Country	France
Vintage	2023, 2024
Project Methodology	Puro.earth CO2 Removal Marketplace General Rules 3.1 – and Annex A: Biochar Methodology 3.1
Crediting Period	2021 — 2026
VVB	bio.inspecta AG
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	11 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed, with no leakage deduction stated in the extracted record.
- No verified removal volume is available in the extracted record (claimed and verified totals not found).
- Contradictions across documents on whether safeguards are mentioned and whether additionality was verified by the VVB.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.6 / 10

+ The verification report (2024-10-14) indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test.

- Leakage and permanence risk controls are not evidenced in the extracted record (leakage not addressed; buffer pool and reversal information not found).

The verification record (2024-10-14) supports additionality via an investment test and indicates it was confirmed by the VVB, which strengthens additionality. Baseline setting is described only as project-specific, and the timing of any baseline reassessment is not stated in the available documents, which weakens robustness. Leakage is flagged as not addressed and no leakage deduction is found in the extracted record, and permanence controls (buffer pool percentage and any reversal monitoring/results) are also not found, lowering integrity for a removal credit.

Transparency — 5.2 / 10

+ The VVB is identified (bio.inspecta AG) and the monitoring period is specified (2023-09-01 to 2024-08-31).

- Key MRV outputs are missing in the extracted record, including total removals claimed and verified.

The extracted record provides a named VVB (bio.inspecta AG) and a clearly defined monitoring period (2023-09-01 to 2024-08-31), which supports traceability. However, the extracted record does not include total removals claimed or verified for the monitoring period, limiting the ability to independently check issuance quantities. Registry information is generic ("other") and the evidence document list is not specific ("unknown"), reducing practical transparency.

Claim Safety — 5.4 / 10

+ The project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible, reducing aviation-claim channel risk.

- Over-crediting risk cannot be well assessed because verified totals are not found and leakage treatment is not evidenced in the extracted record.

The project is stated as not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces the risk of aviation-related double-claim pathways. CCP status is not found in the extracted record, so high-integrity label alignment cannot be confirmed. Because leakage is not addressed and verified removal totals are not found, there is elevated residual risk of over-crediting or misinterpretation in downstream claims.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

+ A recent verification report date is provided (2024-10-14) and no corrective actions or material findings are reported in that record.

- Evidence document labeling is weak (listed as "unknown"), extraction confidence is only medium, and contradictions suggest document reliability issues.

A recent verification report date (2024-10-14) is present, and the extracted record shows no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a positive documentation signal. Still, the evidence documents are not clearly identified (listed as "unknown" despite 10 documents used), and minimum extraction confidence is only medium, implying some readability/coverage limitations. Contradictions on key fields (safeguards and additionality verification) further reduce confidence in the documentation set.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test, but inconsistent across documents
● Permanence	Reversal/buffer provisions not evidenced in extracted record
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed and no deduction stated
● Baseline	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned but contradicted; no FPIC or grievance mechanism evidenced
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Disclose and/or extract the verified net removal total for the monitoring period (and the calculation summary), including any uncertainty/QA checks from the verification report.

→ Provide explicit leakage assessment and quantified deduction (or a documented justification for negligible leakage) and document permanence controls (e.g., buffer contribution and reversal monitoring outcomes) consistent with the Puro biochar methodology.

Documents Reviewed

- Audit_2021_SylvaFertilisArgentan_statement.pdf
- Argentan_report.pdf
- Argentan_statement.pdf
- FR.pdf
- Audit_2024_SylvaFertilisArgentan_report.pdf
- Description_SylvaFertilisArgentan.pdf
- Withdrawal_2024_SylvaFertilisArgentan.pdf
- Argentan.pdf
- Audit_2024_SylvaFertilisArgentan_statement.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-4B2AEE8B · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy