

## QUALITY REPORT

# Bussme-01-Munka-Ljungby

PUR-299495 · Puro · Sweden

Report ID: CM-7BE73EA4 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

# 5.8

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.2
■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.6
■ Documentation (15%)	6.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has third-party verification and a stated buffer contribution, but several core quantifications (baseline approach, leakage treatment, and verified removals) were not found in the extracted record. A material discrepancy in shipped vs. produced volumes was identified and corrected, which supports process integrity but also signals MRV/control weaknesses that increase over-crediting risk.

## Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-299495
Sector	biomass
Country	Sweden
Vintage	2022, 2023
Project Methodology	Puro Biochar Methodology Edition 2022 Version 3
VVB	DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	8 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

## Red Flags

- Verified and claimed removal totals were not found in the extracted record, limiting the ability to assess crediting accuracy.
- A material discrepancy between reported production and shipped volumes caused a 7% impact on issued CORCs, indicating MRV/data control weaknesses.
- Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 6.2 / 10

- + The verification report confirms additionality was verified by the VVB (DNV).
- The verification report reports a material discrepancy in volumes with a 7% impact on CORCs, raising over-crediting and control-risk concerns.

The verification report (2025-03-03) indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab), which supports additionality robustness. However, the same verification report identifies a material discrepancy between reported production and shipped volumes at the Svedala site with a 7% impact on CORCs, which is a meaningful integrity risk even though it was corrected. A buffer contribution of 2.5% is stated in the extracted record, but reversal events and their management were not found in the extracted record, limiting permanence assessment for a removal credit.

### Transparency — 5.4 / 10

- + The VVB is identified (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and the monitoring period is specified (2023-01-01 to 2024-01-31) in the verification report.
- Key quantified outputs (claimed/verified removals) and leakage treatment were not found in the extracted record.

The verification report provides clear auditor identification (DNV) and a defined monitoring period (2023-01-01—2024-01-31). Still, the extracted record does not include the total removals claimed or verified, which materially reduces transparency on the core quantified outcome. Leakage deduction and justification were also not found in the extracted record, leaving an important accounting element opaque.

### Claim Safety — 5.6 / 10

- + CCP status is listed as eligible, which can reduce some buyer-side quality concerns if substantiated by the program.
- Baseline and leakage approach were not found in the extracted record, and a material volume discrepancy suggests non-trivial over-crediting risk.

CCP status is listed as eligible in the extracted record, which may support market acceptance, but CORSIA eligibility was not found in the extracted record, leaving some double-claim channel risk unresolved. The absence of baseline method details and leakage treatment in the extracted record increases over-crediting/greenwashing risk because buyers cannot easily evaluate conservativeness. The verification report's 7% volume discrepancy (and subsequent correction) is a concrete indicator that credit quantities could be sensitive to data handling errors.

### Documentation — 6.1 / 10

- + A recent verification report is available (dated 2025-03-03) and includes material findings and corrective action closure.
- Some key fields are not found in the extracted record (e.g., baseline method, leakage, grievance mechanism), and extraction confidence is only medium.

A recent verification report (2025-03-03) is present and includes both a material finding and a documented corrective action (revision of CORCs calculation), which is a positive sign of audit trail and issue resolution. However, several important elements were not found in the extracted record (baseline method, leakage, grievance mechanism, FPIC), suggesting incomplete document extraction or incomplete disclosure. With medium minimum extraction confidence and evidence documents listed as unknown, documentation completeness is only moderate.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed additionality
● <b>Permanence</b>	Buffer stated; reversals not evidenced
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage treatment not found
● <b>Baseline</b>	Baseline approach not found
● <b>Safeguards</b>	Safeguards mentioned; key elements missing
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CCP-eligible; CORSIA status unclear

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Disclose (or extract) the verified and claimed removal totals for the monitoring period, including calculation steps and uncertainty/QA checks tied to CORCs issuance.

→ Provide explicit leakage assessment (deduction percentage and justification) and baseline approach details from the Puro Biochar Methodology application for this project, plus evidence of grievance mechanism/FPIC where applicable.

## Documents Reviewed

- Audit\_2024\_Bussme-01-Munka-Ljungby\_Svedala\_report\_final.pdf
- Audit\_2023\_Bussme01MunkaLjungby\_statement.pdf
- Puro\_Registration\_Bussme01MunkaLjungby.pdf
- Audit\_2024\_Bussme-01-Munka-Ljungby\_Svedala\_statement.pdf
- Additionality\_Bussme01MunkaLjungby.pdf
- Audit\_2022\_Bussme-01-Munka-Ljungby.pdf
- Description\_Bussme.pdf
- Audit\_2023\_Bussme01MunkaLjungby\_report.pdf

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-7BE73EA4 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)