

QUALITY REPORT

Brandon Production Facility

PUR-300346 · Puro · United Kingdom

Report ID: CM-33EFA5CF · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.1

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.1
■ Transparency (25%)	3.6
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.2
■ Documentation (15%)	2.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has VVB-confirmed additionality via an investment test in the latest verification record, but key integrity parameters (leakage treatment, permanence/buffer, and baseline reassessment timing) are not evidenced in the extracted record. Multiple cross-document inconsistencies (including verified removals and crediting period) and low document readability reduce confidence and increase over-crediting/claims risk.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-300346
Sector	other
Country	United Kingdom
Vintage	2022, 2023
Project Methodology	Carbonated Materials Methodology v2
Crediting Period	2023 — 2024
VVB	350Solutions
Verified ERs	14,873 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	12 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Verified removals conflict across documents (14,873 vs 20,831), creating over-crediting and reporting reliability risk.
- Leakage treatment is not evidenced (no deduction or justification found), despite being a core integrity element.
- Permanence/risk management is unclear (no buffer pool percentage and no reversal reporting found in the extracted record).
- Corrective actions include a correction to fossil CO₂ subtraction in the calculation file and a required marketing disclaimer, indicating prior claims/calculation control weaknesses.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.1 / 10

- + The verification report (2024-12-27) confirms additionality using an investment test and reports no material findings.
- Leakage and permanence risk controls are not evidenced in the extracted record (no leakage deduction/justification; no buffer pool information; no reversal reporting).

The verification report dated 2024-12-27 confirms additionality via an investment test and reports no material findings. However, the extracted record does not provide any leakage deduction or leakage justification, and it also lacks a buffer pool percentage and any clear reversal-event reporting, leaving permanence and leakage controls unsubstantiated. The baseline is described as project-specific, and no baseline reassessment timing is provided, which weakens baseline robustness.

Transparency — 3.6 / 10

- + The verification report (2024-12-27) provides a specific monitoring period and a verified total of 14,873.
- Low extraction confidence and contradictions in key fields (verified removals and crediting period) reduce MRV transparency and traceability.

The monitoring/crediting period is clearly stated as 2023-10-01 to 2024-09-30 in the verification record (2024-12-27), and a verified total of 14,873 is reported. Transparency is reduced by low extraction confidence and by inconsistencies across documents in key reported figures (notably the verified removals and the crediting period). The absence of stated methods for usage monitoring and fossil/non-fossil parameterization in the extracted record further limits MRV clarity.

Claim Safety — 4.2 / 10

- + The project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible in the extracted record, reducing aviation-claim channel risk.
- Corrective actions require fixing fossil CO₂ subtraction and adding a “carbon negative” disclaimer tied to registry credit management, increasing greenwashing/over-crediting risk.

The extracted record states the project is not CORSIA-eligible, which lowers the risk of high-profile aviation-related claims. Claim safety is nevertheless weakened because corrective actions require correcting the fossil CO₂ subtraction in the calculation file and adding a marketing disclaimer clarifying that associated credits are managed in the Puro registry, both of which indicate elevated risk of misleading product claims or over-crediting if not tightly controlled. CCP status is not found in the extracted record, leaving uncertainty about alignment with higher-integrity labels.

Documentation — 2.6 / 10

- + A relatively recent verification record is present (dated 2024-12-27) and references multiple documents overall (11 used).
- Evidence document labeling is weak (listed as “unknown”) and minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating documentation quality/readability issues.

The project has a recent verification record (2024-12-27) and the extraction references 11 documents, which is a positive sign for coverage. Documentation quality is undermined because the minimum extraction confidence is low (suggesting at least one key document was poorly readable) and the evidence document list is not well identified (shown as “unknown”). The presence of required corrective actions also suggests documentation/calculation controls needed strengthening during verification.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test (latest verification)
● Permanence	Buffer/reversal controls not evidenced in extracted record
● Leakage	No leakage deduction or justification found
● Baseline	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing not evidenced
● Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned inconsistently; no FPIC or grievance mechanism
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not found

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish/clearly reference the underlying calculation workbook and parameter sources (including fossil CO₂ subtraction approach) and reconcile the verified removals discrepancy (14,873 vs 20,831) with an auditable change log.

→ Provide explicit leakage assessment (quantified deduction or justified negligible leakage) and document permanence/risk management (e.g., buffer contribution or equivalent long-term liability provisions) in publicly accessible project documentation.

Documents Reviewed

- OTechnologies_statement.pdf
- OTechnologies_report.pdf
- OTechnologies.pdf
- Puro_Registration_BrandonProductionFacility.pdf
- OTechnologies_statement_v4.pdf
- OTechnologies_statement_v1.pdf
- Audit_2024_AmBrLd_report.pdf
- Audit_2024_AmBrLd_statement.pdf
- Withdrawal_2023_Brandon.pdf
- OTechnologies_report_v4.pdf
- OTechnologies_Brandon.pdf
- OTechnologies_report_v1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-33EFA5CF · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy