

QUALITY REPORT

Moelven Limtre, Moelv

PUR-350917 · Puro · Norway

Report ID: CM-F19847CE · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.8

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.2
■ Transparency (25%)	4.3
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.6
■ Documentation (15%)	4.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has a credible third-party verification and no reported material findings, which supports basic integrity. However, key quantifications (verified removals, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and monitoring details) were not found in the extracted record, increasing over-crediting and claims risk.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-350917
Sector	biomass
Country	Norway
Vintage	2020, 2022
VVB	DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	4 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- No verified or claimed total removals were found in the extracted record, limiting the ability to assess crediting accuracy.
- Baseline approach and any baseline reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record.
- Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record for an alternative materials claim where market and supply-chain leakage can be relevant.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ The verification report (2022) confirms additionality using a common-practice test and reports no material findings or corrective actions.

- Baseline approach, leakage treatment, and any reversal history were not found in the extracted record, weakening confidence in quantified climate impact.

The verification report (2022) indicates additionality was assessed via a common-practice test and confirmed by the VVB, which is a positive integrity signal. The same report shows no material findings and no corrective actions required. However, the baseline approach and leakage treatment were not found in the extracted record, and reversal events were also not found, making it difficult to judge permanence and net climate benefit. A 10% buffer pool contribution is stated in the extracted record, but without reversal and monitoring detail its adequacy cannot be evaluated.

Transparency — 4.3 / 10

+ The VVB is identified as DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab and a monitoring period is specified (2020-12-01 to 2022-06-30) in the verification report (2022).

- Key MRV outputs and methods (claimed vs verified removals, monitoring/usage tracking method) were not found in the extracted record.

The extracted record identifies the verifier (DNV Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and provides a defined monitoring period (2020-12-01 to 2022-06-30) from the verification report (2022). Beyond that, the extracted record does not include claimed or verified total removals, nor the monitoring/usage tracking method, limiting reproducibility. Methodology details were not found in the extracted record (only a version number, 2.7, is provided), which reduces transparency on how credits were quantified.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

+ A buffer pool contribution of 10% is stated in the extracted record, which can reduce reversal-related claims risk if applicable.

- CORSIA/CCP status and core quantification inputs (baseline and leakage) were not found in the extracted record, increasing greenwashing and over-crediting risk.

Because neither claimed nor verified total removals were found in the extracted record, it is not possible to cross-check issuance against quantified performance, elevating over-crediting risk. Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record, which is important for alternative materials claims where upstream/downstream effects can be material. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not found in the extracted record, so buyers cannot easily position the credits against higher-integrity claim frameworks. The stated 10% buffer pool contribution helps somewhat on permanence-related claims, but does not address baseline and leakage uncertainty.

Documentation — 4.8 / 10

+ A dated verification report is present (2022-12-22) with an identified VVB and no corrective actions required.

- Only two documents were used and the evidence document list is not specific (listed as "unknown"), with medium extraction confidence.

A verification report dated 2022-12-22 is present and names the VVB, which supports basic documentation quality. The extracted record indicates two documents were used, but the evidence document list is non-specific ("unknown"), limiting auditability. Several key fields (methodology, baseline, leakage, removals totals, grievance mechanism, FPIC) were not found in the extracted record, indicating incomplete extraction or incomplete underlying disclosure. Medium extraction confidence suggests some caution in relying on the completeness of the extracted data.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed common-practice test
● Permanence	Buffer pool stated; reversals not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not evidenced
● Baseline	Baseline approach not evidenced
● Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned; key elements not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not evidenced

What Would Improve This Score

→ Disclose (or extract) the quantified claimed and verified removals for the monitoring period, including uncertainty and any adjustments, so issuance can be independently checked.

→ Provide the baseline approach and leakage assessment (deduction percentage and justification), plus the monitoring/usage tracking method used to substantiate alternative materials claims.

Documents Reviewed

- Description_MoelvenLimtre.pdf
- Puro_Registration_MoelvenLimtreMoelv.pdf
- Moelv.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-F19847CE · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy