

QUALITY REPORT

Glanris

PUR-375603 · Puro · United States

Report ID: CM-23374B75 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.3

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	3.2
■ Transparency (25%)	3.0
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.3
■ Documentation (15%)	2.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has some positive signals (a named VVB and a recent verification report with no material findings), but key integrity-critical elements are missing from the extracted record. The low document readability and the presence of a corrective action related to biochar end use increase uncertainty and over-crediting risk.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-375603
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	United States
Vintage	2022, 2023
Project Methodology	Biochar Methodology v2.6.1
Crediting Period	2021 — 2023
VVB	EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	4 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Additionality approach and whether the VVB confirmed it are not found in the extracted record.
- Leakage treatment (deduction and justification) is not stated in available documents.
- A corrective action was required on “Biochar End Use,” which is central to permanence and quantification for biochar removals.
- Low extraction confidence indicates at least one key document was poorly readable, reducing reliability of the extracted evidence.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 3.2 / 10

+ The verification report (2023-02-20) reports no material findings.

- Additionality, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and reversal/buffer provisions are not found in the extracted record.

The project is presented as a biochar removal activity under the Puro Biochar Methodology (v2.6.1), but the extracted record does not include the additionality test type, whether additionality was confirmed by the VVB, or any baseline approach. Leakage is also not addressed in the extracted record (no deduction percentage and no justification), which is important for biochar supply-chain and feedstock-related emissions. While the verification report (2023-02-20) reports no material findings, it also lists a corrective action on “Biochar End Use,” which is a core integrity element for biochar permanence and credit quantification.

Transparency — 3.0 / 10

+ The verification report provides a clear monitoring period (2021-04-01 to 2022-08-31) and names the VVB (EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd).

- Verified vs. claimed removals are not available in the extracted record, and low extraction confidence limits MRV transparency.

The verification report (2023-02-20) provides a defined monitoring period (2021-04-01 to 2022-08-31) and identifies the VVB as EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd. However, the extracted record does not contain the total removals claimed or verified, preventing cross-checks of issuance quantities and uncertainty. Low extraction confidence further reduces confidence that all relevant MRV details were captured from the underlying documents.

Claim Safety — 4.3 / 10

+ Use of a defined Puro Biochar Methodology (v2.6.1) provides a standardized framework in principle.

- CORSIA/CCP status and key quantification safeguards (leakage, end-use controls, reversal/buffer) are not found in the extracted record.

Claim safety is weakened because the extracted record lacks the verified removal total and does not provide leakage treatment, reversal/buffer provisions, or end-use monitoring details—each of which can materially affect net removals for biochar. The corrective action on “Biochar End Use” in the verification report (2023-02-20) increases the risk that credited storage assumptions or eligible applications were not fully evidenced at the time of assessment. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not found in the extracted record, leaving uncertainty about how credits might be marketed and whether additional eligibility screens apply.

Documentation — 2.6 / 10

+ A dated verification report (2023-02-20) is referenced and a VVB is identified.

- Evidence documents are not clearly identified (“unknown”) and extraction confidence is low, indicating incomplete/uncertain documentation.

Although four documents were used, the evidence document list is not specific (listed as “unknown”), and the minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable. The verification report is dated (2023-02-20) and includes a monitoring period and VVB name, but key quantitative fields (claimed/verified removals) and several governance/safeguard elements (grievance mechanism, FPIC) are not found in the extracted record. The presence of a corrective action also suggests documentation gaps existed at verification time for at least one critical topic (biochar end use).

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality not evidenced
● Permanence	End-use/permanence controls unclear
● Leakage	Leakage not evidenced
● Baseline	Baseline approach not evidenced
● Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned, details missing
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not evidenced

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or provide readable copies of the verification/monitoring documentation that clearly state total removals claimed and verified, uncertainty, and calculation inputs/outputs.

→ Disclose and evidence the additionality assessment, leakage treatment (deduction and justification), and the specific controls/monitoring used to ensure eligible biochar end use and long-term storage.

Documents Reviewed

- Description_Glanris.pdf
- 0.pdf
- FacilityRegistrationDocument_Accend_Glanris.pdf
- Audit_2023_Glanris.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-23374B75 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy