

QUALITY REPORT

SAS OUATTITUDE

PUR-432365 · PUR · France

Report ID: CM-E698CEBD · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has third-party verification by DNV and reports no material findings or corrective actions, which supports basic credibility. However, key integrity-critical elements (additionality approach, baseline method, leakage treatment, and reversal history) were not found in the extracted record, increasing over-crediting and claim risk.

Project Details

Registry	PUR
Registry ID	PUR-432365
Sector	other
Country	France
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	Puro CO2 Removal Marketplace Methodology 1.4
VVB	DNV – Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Additionality test type and whether the VVB confirmed additionality were not found in the extracted record
- Baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record
- Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record
- CORSIA and CCP status were not stated, creating uncertainty for downstream claims and labeling

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + The verification report (2022) reports no material findings and no corrective actions required
- Additionality, baseline, leakage, and reversal information were not found in the extracted record, limiting confidence in credit integrity

The verification report (2022) indicates no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a positive signal for process quality. However, the extracted record does not include the additionality test used, whether additionality was explicitly confirmed by the VVB, the baseline method, or any leakage treatment, all of which are central to assessing over-crediting risk. A 10% buffer pool contribution is stated, but reversal events and their management were not found in the extracted record, limiting permanence assessment.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

- + The monitoring period is clearly stated (2021-12-01 to 2022-01-31) in the verification report (2022)
- Claimed vs verified removals were not found in the extracted record, limiting MRV transparency

The verification report (2022) clearly states the monitoring period (2021-12-01 to 2022-01-31) and identifies the VVB (DNV). However, the extracted record does not provide the claimed versus verified total removals, nor key MRV parameters (such as any usage monitoring method or fossil reference baseline approach), reducing transparency for independent review.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + A buffer pool contribution of 10% is stated, which can reduce non-permanence risk if properly governed
- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not stated, and core over-crediting controls (baseline/leakage/additionality) were not found in the extracted record

Because the baseline method, leakage deduction/justification, and additionality approach were not found in the extracted record, there is elevated risk that credits could be perceived as overstated or hard to substantiate in public claims. The buffer pool contribution of 10% provides some risk mitigation for permanence, but without reversal reporting details it is difficult to judge adequacy. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not stated, so buyers should avoid implying alignment with those frameworks without further evidence.

Documentation — 5.6 / 10

- + Independent VVB identified (DNV – Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and extraction confidence is high
- Only one document was available/used and the evidence document is not clearly identified (listed as "unknown")

Documentation quality is moderate: the VVB is named and the extraction confidence is high, and the verification report is dated 2022-05-01. At the same time, only one document was used and the evidence document is labeled "unknown", suggesting the underlying documentation set is incomplete or not well-referenced in the extracted record. The absence of key quantified fields (claimed/verified removals, baseline, leakage) further indicates documentation gaps.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality assessment not found
● Permanence	10% buffer stated; reversals not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not found
● Baseline	Baseline method not found
● Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance not found
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or provide the PDD/monitoring report sections that specify the additionality test, baseline method (and any reassessment), and leakage assessment (including any deduction and justification).

→ Disclose the claimed and verified total removals for the monitoring period, plus key MRV parameters (e.g., usage monitoring method and any fossil reference baseline approach) and clarify CCP/CORSIA status for claim-making.

Documents Reviewed

- Audit_2022_SASO attitude.pdf
- Puro_Registration_SASO ATTITUDE.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-E698CEBD · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy