

QUALITY REPORT

Concepcion 1

PUR-432524 · Puro · Bolivia

Report ID: CM-430C6D9A · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.3 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has VVB-confirmed additionality, but the extracted record shows major quantification and data-consistency issues that required numerous corrective actions in the verification. Key elements that typically support robust crediting—baseline approach, leakage treatment, and permanence/buffer provisions—were not found in the extracted record, increasing over-crediting risk. CORSIA ineligibility reduces aviation-claim risk, but overall claim safety remains constrained by MRV reliability gaps.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-432524
Sector	biomass
Country	Bolivia
Vintage	2023, 2024
Project Methodology	Biochar Methodology Edition 2022 v3
VVB	EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd
Monitoring Period	2026 — 2026
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	29 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Numerous corrective actions in the verification report indicate material weaknesses in emissions accounting inputs and consistency across tools/documents (e.g., diesel, electricity, LCA/CORC inconsistencies, missing production quantities).
- Core crediting parameters (baseline approach, leakage deduction/justification, buffer pool or reversal provisions) were not found in the extracted record.
- Low extraction confidence suggests at least one key document was poorly readable, reducing confidence in completeness and accuracy of the extracted facts.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + The verification report (2026-02-26) confirms additionality by the VVB.
- The verification report (2026-02-26) lists extensive corrective actions affecting core quantification elements (production emissions, diesel/electricity records, LCA/CORC inconsistencies), undermining robustness.

The verification report (2026-02-26) indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB, which supports integrity at a high level. However, the same verification report lists many corrective actions tied to core emissions/removals quantification (e.g., missing production emissions in the CORC calculation, diesel record issues, electricity use based on trials rather than invoices, and inconsistent LCA/CORC parameters), which materially weakens confidence in the robustness of credited removals. Baseline approach, leakage treatment, and any buffer/reversal provisions were not found in the extracted record, leaving key integrity safeguards unsubstantiated.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + A named VVB (EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd) and a defined monitoring period (2026-01-13 to 2026-02-12) are provided in the verification record.
- Verified vs. claimed removals were not found in the extracted record, and multiple CARs suggest the underlying MRV data trail was not initially reliable (verification report, 2026-02-26).

Transparency is mixed: the VVB is identified (EnergyLink Services Pty Ltd) and the monitoring period is clearly stated (2026-01-13 to 2026-02-12) in the verification record. But the extracted record does not provide claimed or verified total removals for the period, preventing an external reader from reconciling issuance quantities. The volume and nature of corrective actions in the verification report (2026-02-26) also suggest that initial monitoring data and calculation transparency were insufficient until corrected.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

- + The project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible, reducing risk of high-stakes aviation claims (extracted registry facts).
- Over-crediting risk is elevated because baseline and leakage treatment were not found in the extracted record and the verifier identified multiple calculation/data issues (verification report, 2026-02-26).

The project is stated as not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces the risk of problematic aviation-related claims based on these credits. Nevertheless, claim safety is constrained by missing key parameters in the extracted record (baseline method, leakage deduction/justification, and any permanence/buffer provisions) and by the verifier's extensive corrective actions affecting calculation integrity (verification report, 2026-02-26). With removals (claimed and verified) not found in the extracted record, the risk of over-claiming cannot be independently screened.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

- + A substantial number of documents were used in extraction (28), indicating a potentially broad document set.
- Minimum extraction confidence is low and the evidence document list is not specific, limiting auditability of the extracted record.

Documentation quality is limited by low minimum extraction confidence, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable and increasing the chance of missing or mis-extracted critical details. While 28 documents were reportedly used, the evidence document list is not specific (listed as "unknown"), reducing traceability. The verification report (2026-02-26) includes many corrective actions, which also signals that documentation and records (e.g., diesel, electricity invoices, stock/production records) required significant remediation.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	Buffer/reversal provisions not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not found
● Baseline	Baseline approach not found
● Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance not evidenced
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or clearly reference the baseline approach and leakage assessment (including any deduction and justification) in the project's public documentation and registry record.

→ Disclose period totals for claimed and verified removals and provide a clear reconciliation to issuance, alongside the corrected LCA/CORC tools and underlying activity data (diesel, electricity invoices, production/stock records).

Documents Reviewed

- _report.pdf
- _statement.pdf
- Audit_2024_ExomadConception1_statement.pdf
- Audit_2023_ExomadConception1_statement.pdf
- Audit_2024_ExomadConception1_report.pdf
- Additionality_ExomadConception1.pdf
- Report_MP11.pdf
- Report_MP13.pdf
- Audit_2023_ExomadConception1_report.pdf
- Description_ExomadConception1.pdf
- Report_MP14.pdf
- Satetement_MP11.pdf
- Statement_MP13.pdf
- Statement_MP14.pdf
- Report_MP12.pdf
- Puro_Registration_ExomadConception1.pdf
- Statement_MP12.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-430C6D9A · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy