

QUALITY REPORT

Igloo France Cellulose

PUR-438730 · Puro · France

Report ID: CM-D7156F92 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.3 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project is verified under Puro’s CO2 Removal Marketplace Methodology (v1.4) with a named VVB (DNV), and no material findings or corrective actions were reported in the verification record. However, key integrity and MRV elements (additionality test, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and quantified removals) were not found in the extracted documents, which increases over-crediting and claims risk.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-438730
Sector	biomass
Country	France
Vintage	2022
Project Methodology	Puro CO2 Removal Marketplace Methodology 1.4
VVB	DNV – Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Additionality approach and whether the VVB confirmed it were not found in the extracted record.
- Baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing were not found in the extracted record.
- Leakage deduction and justification were not found in the extracted record.
- Claimed and verified total removals were not found in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + A 10% buffer pool contribution is stated in the verification record (verification report, 2022).
- Additionality, baseline, and leakage treatment were not found in the extracted record (verification report, 2022).

The verification record indicates a 10% buffer pool contribution (verification report, 2022), which supports permanence risk management for a removal credit. However, the extracted record does not include the additionality test type, whether additionality was confirmed by the VVB, the baseline method, or any leakage deduction/justification (verification report, 2022). With these core elements missing, integrity is constrained by uncertainty around baseline validity and potential unaccounted leakage.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The VVB is identified as DNV – Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab and the monitoring period is specified (verification report, 2022).
- Claimed vs verified removals and key monitoring parameters (e.g., usage monitoring method) were not found in the extracted record (verification report, 2022).

Transparency is mixed: the VVB is clearly identified (DNV – Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab) and the monitoring period is specified as 2021-12-01 to 2022-01-31 (verification report, 2022). But the extracted record does not provide claimed or verified total removals, nor the usage monitoring method or other MRV detail needed to independently understand how credits were quantified (verification report, 2022). Registry/eligibility fields (e.g., CORSIA) were also not found in the extracted record.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + No material findings or corrective actions were reported in the verification record (verification report, 2022).
- CORSIA/CCP status and core quantification inputs (baseline/leakage/usage) were not found in the extracted record, increasing greenwashing and over-crediting risk.

No material findings or corrective actions were reported (verification report, 2022), which reduces the likelihood of known non-conformities at verification. Still, the extracted record lacks the baseline approach, leakage treatment, and any claimed-versus-verified removal totals, which elevates over-crediting and marketing-claim risk because the magnitude and conservativeness of credited removals cannot be checked. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not found in the extracted record, leaving uncertainty about how the credits may be positioned in higher-integrity channels.

Documentation — 4.8 / 10

- + A dated verification report (2022-05-01) with a named VVB is present.
- Evidence document list is incomplete/unclear (“unknown”) and several critical fields were not captured in the extracted record (2 documents; medium extraction confidence).

Documentation quality is moderate: there is a dated verification report (2022-05-01) and a named VVB (verification report, 2022), and the extraction confidence is medium. However, the evidence document list is not specific (listed as “unknown”), only two documents were used, and many critical quantitative and methodological fields were not found in the extracted record. The absence of key quantified results (claimed/verified removals) is a major completeness gap.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality not evidenced in extracted record
● Permanence	Buffer pool stated (10%); no reversal info found
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not found in extracted record
● Baseline	Baseline method not evidenced in extracted record
● Safeguards	FPIC/grievance/safeguards not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not found in extracted record

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish or extract the sections of the verification/monitoring documentation that specify the additionality test applied and the VVB’s conclusion on additionality.
- Disclose quantified claimed and verified removals for the monitoring period, including baseline approach, leakage assessment (deduction and justification), and the usage monitoring method used to support MRV.

Documents Reviewed

- Puro_Registration_IglooFranceCellulose.pdf
- Audit_2022_IglooFranceCellulose.pdf
- Description_IglooFranceCellulose.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-D7156F92 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy