

QUALITY REPORT

V-Grid (c/o South Corner Dairy)

PUR-472483 · Puro · United States

Report ID: CM-64914D56 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.8

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.2
■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.3
■ Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Puro biochar removal project has a VVB-confirmed investment additionality test and no reported material findings in the verification record. However, key integrity and MRV elements (leakage treatment, permanence/buffer approach, and quantified verified removals) are not stated in the extracted record, and there is a material inconsistency in the stated crediting period across documents.

Project Details

Registry	Puro
Registry ID	PUR-472483
Sector	biomass
Country	United States
Vintage	2022, 2024
Project Methodology	Puro Biochar Methodology v4
Crediting Period	2023 — 2024
VVB	350Solutions
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	6 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Crediting period is inconsistent across documents (2023–2024 vs 2021–2022), raising data reliability concerns.
- No quantified claimed or verified removals were found in the extracted record, limiting the ability to assess over-crediting risk.
- Leakage deduction and justification are not stated in available documents for a biomass/biochar pathway where upstream/downstream leakage can be relevant.
- Permanence risk management (e.g., buffer pool or reversal provisions) is not stated in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ The verification report confirms additionality using an investment test and indicates it was confirmed by the VVB (350Solutions).

- Leakage treatment, baseline reassessment timing, and permanence risk controls (buffer/reversal provisions) are not stated in the extracted record.

The verification report (2024-04-03) indicates additionality was assessed via an investment test and confirmed by the VVB (350Solutions), which supports additionality robustness. Baseline approach is described only as project-specific, and the timing of any baseline reassessment is not stated in available documents, which weakens baseline confidence. Leakage deduction and leakage justification are not found in the extracted record, and permanence risk management elements such as a buffer pool percentage or any reversal monitoring outcomes are also not stated.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ A defined monitoring period is provided (2023-01-01 to 2024-02-29) and the VVB is identified as 350Solutions.

- Claimed vs verified removals are not available in the extracted record, and key MRV parameters (e.g., usage monitoring method) are not stated.

The verification report (2024-04-03) provides a clear monitoring period (2023-01-01 to 2024-02-29) and identifies the VVB as 350Solutions. However, the extracted record does not include total removals claimed or verified, preventing a basic cross-check of issuance quantities. Key MRV descriptors such as the usage monitoring method and any relevant parameters (e.g., biomass sourcing/usage rates) are not stated in available documents, reducing transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.3 / 10

+ The project is assessed under the Puro Biochar Methodology (v4) with third-party verification indicated.

- CORSIA/CCP status is not stated and the inconsistency in crediting period increases the risk of misinterpretation or over-issuance claims.

Use of the Puro Biochar Methodology (v4) and third-party verification (verification report dated 2024-04-03) helps reduce greenwashing risk relative to unverified claims. Nonetheless, the extracted record lacks the core quantitative outcome (verified removals), which elevates over-crediting and marketing-claim risk because stakeholders cannot reconcile claims to verified numbers. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in available documents, so buyers cannot easily determine alignment with higher-integrity eligibility screens.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

+ The extracted record references a verification report dated 2024-04-03 and shows no corrective actions or material findings.

- Evidence document naming is incomplete (listed as “unknown”) and extraction confidence is only medium, indicating documentation gaps.

The extracted record indicates 6 documents were used with medium extraction confidence, suggesting some key fields may be missing or hard to read. The verification report (2024-04-03) reports no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is a positive signal for audit outcomes. However, the evidence document list is not well-specified (shown as “unknown”), and several critical fields (leakage, buffer/reversal provisions, quantified results) are not found in the extracted record, limiting documentation completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Buffer/reversal provisions not stated
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not stated
● Baseline	Project-specific baseline; reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned but details missing
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or extract the verified removal quantity for the monitoring period and provide a clear reconciliation between claimed and verified removals in the verification/monitoring documentation.

→ Disclose leakage assessment (deduction and justification) and permanence risk management (e.g., buffer contribution, reversal monitoring and remedies), and resolve the crediting-period inconsistency with an explicit registry timeline.

Documents Reviewed

- _statement.pdf
- Puro_Registration_VGridSouthCornerDairy.pdf
- hCfbcJCPTDCG_1yE26pZ4Qo18b9
- Audit_2022_VGridSouthCornerDairy.pdf
- Description_VGrid.pdf
- _report.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-64914D56 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy